

Editorial

Acute kidney injury, when to dialyze critically ill patients?☆

¿Cuándo iniciar diálisis en la insuficiencia renal aguda en pacientes críticos?

Eva Rodríguez García ^{a,b,*}, Julio Pascual Santos ^{a,b}

^a Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

^b Institut Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques, Barcelona, Spain

After years with no randomised, controlled clinical trials in the field of acute kidney injury (AKI) in critically ill patients, the results of two important clinical trials have been recently published (AKIKI¹ and ELAIN²), aiming to resolve uncertainties about the optimal timing for initiating dialysis in critically ill patients with AKI.

Despite decades of research, the incidence of AKI continues to rise, and the mortality rate remains high.³⁻⁵ In the early 2000s, the main study objective was the dialysis dose, based on the hypothesis that a higher dose of dialysis would result in better renal and patient survival outcomes in dialysis-dependent AKI patients. The study by Bouman et al.⁶ was one of the first to question this hypothesis, and showed that the dialysis dose does not improve survival in these patients; this hypothesis was definitively rejected after publication of the results of the ATN⁷ and RENAL studies.⁸ Moreover, Bouman et al. found no differences, in terms of survival, with initiating replacement therapy at an early stage.⁶

Now the important question is when is the best time to start dialysis in these types of patients, i.e. would early dialysis improve renal and patients survival?

The current evidence comes from observational studies.⁸⁻¹¹ Two meta-analyses^{12,13} suggest a survival benefit associated with early initiation of dialysis. Probably, the benefits may be explained by the better control of fluid therapy and water/electrolyte imbalance and the elimination

of toxins, which would all help to prevent complications such as gastrointestinal bleeding and encephalopathy.¹⁴

The main limitation of these observational studies is the fact that they had no control group; all patients received renal replacement therapy. However, the possibility of spontaneous renal recovery being facilitated by delayed initiation of therapy has not been evaluated.

As detailed below the results of two studies are contradictory and provide no clear answer. In the Artificial Kidney Initiation in Kidney Injury (AKIKI) study, no benefit was obtained from early initiation of dialysis as compared to delayed initiation in septic patients; however, in the early versus delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy in AKI (ELAIN) study, very early initiation of a renal replacement technique could be beneficial in surgical patients with less severe AKI.

Artificial kidney initiation in kidney injury study

The first study designed to answer this question was the open-label, randomised, French multicentre study, AKIKI, which compared early versus delayed initiation of dialysis therapy in severely ill patients with AKI. The primary endpoint was patient survival at 60 days. Patients were randomised 1:1 at

* Please cite this article as: Rodríguez García E, Pascual Santos J. ¿Cuándo iniciar diálisis en la insuficiencia renal aguda en pacientes críticos?. Nefrología. 2017;37:563-566.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: erodriguezg@parcdesalutmar.cat (E. Rodríguez García).

2013-2514/© 2017 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

the time of diagnosis of KDIGO stage 3 AKI to the “early” treatment group (as soon as possible), or “delayed” treatment group (when the patient met one of the criteria considered as signs for urgent dialysis which had been used prior to randomisation as study exclusion criteria).

Exclusion criteria were: oliguria for more than 72 h, elevated BUN, hyperkalaemia, major acidosis, acute pulmonary oedema due to volume overload that causes hypoxaemia with oxygen flow requirements despite diuretic treatment.

The choice of haemodialysis technique (continuous or intermittent), its duration and interval between sessions was at the discretion of each centre. The subjects were adult patients in intensive care units (ICU) diagnosed with AKI secondary to ischaemic acute tubular necrosis or KDIGO stage 3 nephrotoxicity. All patients were intubated or on treatment with vasoconstrictor drugs.

A total of 620 patients were randomised: 312 to the early group and 308 to the delayed group. Of the overall cohort, 80% of patients were diagnosed with sepsis and 63% were diagnosed with AKI secondary to nephrotoxicity; 80% were on vasoactive drugs and a similar percentage required ventilatory support by way of orotracheal intubation. In the early group, patients started haemodialysis a mean of 4.3 h after diagnosis of KDIGO stage 3 AKI. Of the patients in the delayed group, only 50% received dialysis, starting after an average of 57 h after diagnosis and randomisation. Intermittent haemodialysis techniques were used in 50% of the patients and continuous therapies in 30%. With respect to the primary endpoint, the mortality rate at 60 days after randomisation was 50%, being similar in both groups. No differences were found in complications potentially related to the dialysis technique, with the exception of catheter-associated infections, which were more common in the early group, and hypophosphataemia, more common in the delayed group. Although survival curves were similar in both groups, recovery of renal function, defined as recovery of diuresis, was faster in the delayed group. In this study, the strategy of delaying the initiation of dialysis in seriously ill AKI patients made the dialysis procedure unnecessary in 50% of the patients.

Early versus delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy in AKI study

The second study was a clinical trial conducted at a German centre that compared early versus delayed initiation of dialysis therapy in seriously ill patients with AKI; the primary endpoint was patient survival at 90 days. Inclusion criteria were: age 18–90 years, diagnosis of KDIGO stage 2 AKI, plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) levels >150 ng/mL and one of the following conditions: severe sepsis, need for catecholamines, pulmonary oedema refractory to diuretic treatment or progression on the SOFA score.

Exclusion criteria were prior grade 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease or AKI resulting from causes other than acute tubular necrosis (thrombotic, interstitial, glomerular or obstructive). Patients were randomised to the early haemodialysis group, in which treatment was initiated within 8 h after randomisation or to the delayed group, with initiation of dialysis within 12 h after progression to KDIGO stage 3 or when one

of the absolute criteria for initiation of dialysis occurred (elevated urea, hyperkalaemia, hypermagnesaemia, diuresis <200 mL/12 h or anuria, or diuretic-resistant oedema). Patients were predominantly surgical, 47% having a history of heart surgery and a high percentage on mechanical ventilation and requiring vasoactive drugs. All were treated by continuous dialysis techniques using the same protocol to ensure uniformity in treatment.

A total of 231 patients were randomised, 112 patients to the early group and 119 patients to the delayed group; all those in the early group received dialysis, compared to only 89% ($n=108$) in the delayed group. The mean time from meeting all inclusion criteria to the initiation of dialysis was 6 h in the early group and 25.5 h in the delayed group. At the time of randomisation, there were no differences between the two groups in terms of renal function. Mortality at 90 days was lower in the early group (44/112) than in the delayed group (65/119), HR 0.66 (CI 0.045–0.97, $p=0.03$). The early dialysis group was found to have greater renal recovery at 90 days, reduced mechanical ventilation time, and decreased hospital admission time, compared to the delayed initiation group.

Contradictory results

When analysing the contradictory results between the two studies, it is essential to analyse the differences carefully (Table 1). In addition to the obvious differences in the number of patients included and the number of participating centres in each study, there is a major difference in the type of patients in each study: AKIKI included serious ill patients due to medical causes, predominantly septic patients, although with a lower incidence of multiple organ failure (SOFA ~11) than in the ELAIN cohort; ELAIN included surgical patients with a higher incidence of multiple organ failure (SOFA ~16) and greater severity as measured by the APACHE scale (~30). There are also differences in the indication for dialysis: in the AKIKI study, it is indicated “early” in KDIGO stage 3, whereas in ELAIN, it is indicated “very early” in KDIGO stage 2. There are also subtle differences between the delayed indication groups in the two studies: the ELAIN study consists of KDIGO stage 3 patients (85%) or patients with certain metabolic abnormalities (15%), meaning that in practice, the ELAIN delayed indication group is practically the same as the early indication group in the AKIKI study. The dialysis groups should therefore be renamed as follows: in ELAIN “very early indication” versus “early indication”; and in AKIKI “early indication” versus “conventional indication”. Moreover, we are unable to explain why a short delay in the initiation of therapy in the ELAIN study could result in a significant decrease in both the duration of therapy and the number of hospital admission days. There are also differences regarding the type and dose of dialysis: in the AKIKI study, the individual doctors decided, and exact modalities and dosages were not detailed in the published text. In the ELAIN study, both modality and dose were defined (continuous veno-venous haemodiafiltration, 30 mL/kg/h with 100% predilution) but, unlike the AKIKI study, the criteria for maintaining or withdrawing therapy are not specified.

In terms of the validity of the data provided by the two studies, the authors of the AKIKI study formulated the following

Table 1 – Key characteristics of the two clinical trials analysed.

		ELAIN	AKIKI
Design		RCT	RCT
Scope		1 ICU, Germany (surgical, 47% heart surgery)	31 ICUs, France (80% sepsis)
Population	Inclusion criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KDIGO stage 2 • NGAL > 150 ng/mL • NA > 0.1 µg/kg/min • Diuretic-resistant hypervolaemia • SOFA progression ≥2 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KDIGO stage 3 • NA and/or mechanical ventilation
	Exclusion criteria	CKD (eGFR <30 ml/min)	CKD (eGFR <30 ml/min)
	Randomised patients	231	620
Baseline characteristics	SOFA score (early vs. delayed)	15.6 vs. 16	10.9 vs. 10.8
	Apache II (early vs. delayed)	30.6 vs. 32.7	NS
Intervention	Early RRT	Within 8 hours of KDIGO stage 2 diagnosis	Within 6 hours of KDIGO stage 3 diagnosis
Control	Delayed RRT	<p>Within 12 hours of KDIGO stage 2 diagnosis or urea > 100 mg/dL or K > 6 mEq/mL or diuretic-resistant hypervolaemia.</p> <p>91% (mean of 25.5 h post-randomisation)</p> <p>% patients in delayed group who received RRT</p>	<p>If the following: urea >100 mg/dL or K >6 mEq/L or pH < 7.15, acute pulmonary oedema, oliguria/anuria >72 h</p> <p>51% (mean of 57 h post-randomisation)</p>
RRT method		CVVHDF	Medical choice (51% intermittent)
Primary endpoint	Mortality early vs. delayed	90 days 39.3% vs. 54.7% (p=0.03)	60 days 48.5% vs. 49.7% (p=0.79)
Secondary endpoints	Duration RRT (median days) number sessions day 28 (mean) RRT-end follow-up	9 vs. 25 (p=0.04) NS 13% vs. 15% (p=0.08)	NS Overall patients 4 vs. 4 (p=0.15), living-day 60 3 vs. 6 (p=0.009) 13% vs. 15% (p=0.8)

RRT: renal replacement therapy.

hypothesis: delayed renal replacement therapy may provide an absolute survival benefit of at least 15%, with a statistical power of 90% and a significance level of 5%. The authors of the ELAIN study had almost the opposite hypothesis: early renal replacement therapy may provide an absolute survival rate of 18% with a statistical power of 80% and the same level of significance.

In conclusion, in the AKIKI study, early initiation of a dialysis technique in septic patients with severe AKI provided no benefit over the more conventional delayed initiation. The ELAIN study, although with fewer patients, less importance and quality, and conducted in surgical patients with less severe AKI, suggests that very early initiation of renal replacement may be beneficial.

A meta-analysis of 9 randomised clinical trials comparing the early initiation of dialysis versus standard practice in critically ill patients admitted to the ICU with AKI confirmed that there were no differences in mortality rates between the two groups.¹⁵ Despite the significant efforts, the uncertainty about the optimal timing for initiating dialysis in patients with AKI persists. A search with the terms “acute kidney injury” AND “renal replacement therapy” at ClinicalTrials.gov produces a total of 208 projects focusing on multiple aspects such as technical modality, biomarkers, etc.; only two express interest in the optimal timing for initiating dialysis in these patients. One

of these was from a randomised pilot study in Canada,¹⁶ which provided key points for the design of a large-scale trial that is now fully underway (NCT02568722). The other, limited to patients with septic shock, is a French multicentre trial that is still in the patient inclusion phase (NCT01682590).¹⁷ A large sample, a certain degree of uniformity in baseline diagnoses, with validation of the classification systems,^{18,19} very controlled randomisation and the application of biomarkers as surrogate variables^{20,21} are essential requirements for generating high-quality responses.

REFERENCES

- Gaudry S, Hajage D, Schortgen F, Martin-Lefevre L, Pons B, AKIKI Study Group. Initiation strategies for renal-replacement therapy in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:122–33.
- Zarbock A, Kellum JA, Schmidt C, van Aken H, Wempe C, Pavenstädt H, et al. Effect of early vs. delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy on mortality in critical ill patients with acute kidney injury: the ELAIN Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2016;315:2190–9.
- Hoste EA, Bagshaw SM, Bellomo R, Cely CM, Colman R, Cruz DN, et al. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in critically ill

- patients: the multinational AKI-EPI study. *Intens Care Med.* 2015;41:1411–23.
4. Bonventre JV, Basile D, Liu KD, McKay D, Molitoris BA, Nath KA, et al. AKIO: a path forward. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013;8:1606–8.
 5. Mehta RL, Cerdá J, Burdmann EA, Tonelli M, García-García G, Jha V, et al. International Society of Nephrology's Oby25 initiative for acute kidney injury (zaero preventable deaths by 2025): a human rights case for nephrology. *Lancet.* 2015;385:2616–43.
 6. Bouman C, Oudemans H, Tijssen JGP, Zandstra DF, Kesecioglu J. Effects of early high-volume continuous venovenous hemofiltration and recovery of renal function in intensive care patients with acute renal failure: a prospective, randomized trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2002;30:2205–11.
 7. The VA/NIH Acute Renal Failure Trial Network. Intensity of renal support in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:7–20.
 8. RENAL Replacement Therapy Study Investigators Bellomo R, Cass A, Cole L, Finfer S, Gallagher M, Lo S, et al. Intensity of continuous renal-replacement therapy in critically ill patients. *N Eng J Med.* 2009;361:1627–38.
 9. Chou YH, Huang TM, Wu VC, Wang CY, Shiao CC, Lai CF, et al. Impact of timing of renal replacement therapy initiation on outcome of septic acute kidney injury. *Crit Care.* 2011;15:R134.
 10. Guerin C, Girard R, Sellier JM, Perdriz JP, Ayzac L. Initial versus delayed acute renal failure in the intensive care unit: a multicenter prospective epidemiological study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2000;161:872–9.
 11. Kleinknecht D, Jungers P, Chanard J, Barbanel C, Ganeval D. Uremic and non-uremic complications in acute renal failure: evaluation of early and frequent dialysis on prognosis. *Kidney Int.* 1972;1:190–6.
 12. Seabra VF, Balk EM, Liangos O, Sosa MA, Cederoglo M, Javer BL. Timing of renal replacement therapy initiation in acute renal failure: a meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2008;52:272–84.
 13. Karvellas CJ, Farhat MR, Sajjad I, Mogensen SS, Leung AA, Wald R, et al. A comparison of early versus late initiation of renal replacement therapy in critical ill patients with acute kidney injury. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care.* 2011;15:R72.
 14. Wald R, Bagshaw SM. The timing of renal replacement therapy initiation in acute kidney injury: is earlier truly better? *Crit Care Med.* 2014;42:1933–4.
 15. Pasin L, Boraso S, Tiberio I. Initiation of renal-replacement therapy in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:1899–902, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMci1610500>.
 16. Wald R, Adhikari NK, Smith OM, Weir MA, Pope K, Cohen A, et al. Comparison of standard and accelerated initiation of renal replacement therapy in acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int.* 2015;88:897–904.
 17. Barbar SD, Binquet C, Monchi M, Bruyére R, Quenot JP. Impact on mortality of the timing of renal replacement therapy in patients with severe acute kidney injury in septic shock: the IDEAL-ICU Study (Initiation of Dialysis Early versus Delayed in the Intensive Care Unit): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials.* 2014;15:270.
 18. Candela-Toha AM, Recio-Vázquez M, Delgado-Montero A, del Rey JM, Muriel A, Liaño F, et al. The calculation of baseline serum creatinine overestimates the diagnosis of acute kidney injury in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Nefrologia.* 2012;32:53–8.
 19. Rodrigo E, Suberviela B, Albines Z, Castellanos A, Heras M, Rodríguez-Borregón JC, et al. A comparison of acute kidney injury classification systems in sepsis. *Nefrologia.* 2016;36:530–4.
 20. Aguado-Fraile E, Ramos E, Conde E, Rodríguez M, Liaño F, García-Bermejo ML. MicroRNAs in the kidney: novel biomarkers of acute kidney injury. *Nefrologia.* 2013;33:826–34.
 21. Rodríguez E, Riera M, Barrios C, Pascual J. Value of plasmatic membrane attack complex as a marker of severity in acute kidney injury. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:361065.