

ering from COVID-19.⁶ In this case, wait time for transplant after initial diagnosis of COVID-19 was 6 weeks and RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 was negative twice in third week as well as pre-operative. Her renal functions were reported to be normal at 60 days post-transplant.

We herein report two cases of kidney transplant after recovery from COVID-19 infection, one of which was a laparoscopic live donor kidney transplant. Ironically, the donor and the recipient both were positive for SARS-CoV-2. This is the first case report of a successful live renal transplant where both recipient and donor had COVID-19 infection. The other patient is the first reported patient in the world with COVID-19 pneumonia who underwent kidney transplant after recovery from pneumonia. Both cases were negative for COVID-19 at the time of transplant. Transplant nephrologists have a challenging task to choose the ideal patient who deserves a timely transplant during this pandemic. They also have the responsibility to prevent and treat COVID-19 in these recipients. COVID-19 is expected to continue for months from now with no definite cure or effective vaccine in immediate future. Kidney disease can be more deadly than COVID-19 itself in some patients. The balancing act of transplant and immunosuppression in the era of COVID-19 will be an uphill task for the Nephrology community with more lessons to be learnt.

Consent: Informed and written consent has been taken from both patients.

REFERENCES

- Akalin E, Azzi Y, Bartash R, Seethamraju H, Parides M, Hemmige V, et al. Covid-19 and Kidney Transplantation. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:2475–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2011117>. Epub 2020 Apr 24.
- Boyarsky BJ, Chiang TPY, Werbel WA, Durand CM, Avery RK, Getsin SN, et al. Early impact of COVID-19 on transplant center. Practices and policies in the United States. *Am J Transplant.* 2020;10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ajt.15915> [Epub ahead of print].
- Gansevoort RT, Hilbrands LB. CKD is a key risk factor for COVID-19 mortality. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2020;26:1–2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41581-020-00349-4>. PMID: 32848205.
- Coronavirus (Covid-19) guidance for patients with kidney disease | Kidney Care UK [Internet]; 2020. Available from: <https://www.kidneycareuk.org/news-and-campaigns/coronavirus-advice/> [cited 09.09.20].
- Kute V, Varugese S, Prasad N, Shroff S, Agarwal SK, COVID-19 Working Group of Indian Society of Nephrology. Renal transplant guidelines with reference to COVID-19 infection. *Indian J Nephrol.* 2020;30:176–8.
- Varotti G, Dodi F, Garibotto G, Fontana I. Successful kidney transplantation after COVID-19. *Transpl Int.* 2020;24, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tri.13703> [Epub ahead of print].

Prabhu Kanchi^a, Swaminathan Sambandam^a, Rajasekaran Siddhan^a, Somasundaram Soundappan^a, Vadivel Pandian Vaseekaran^a, Ankur Gupta^{b,*}

^a GEM Hospitals, Chennai, India

^b Whakatane Hospital, New Zealand

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: parthankur@yahoo.com (A. Gupta).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2020.12.004>

0211-6995/© 2021 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

A retraining program reduces the rate of peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis[☆]

El reentrenamiento programado reduce la tasa de peritonitis en diálisis peritoneal

Dear Editor,

Peritonitis is both a common and serious complication in peritoneal dialysis (PD). It also leads to morbidity and mortality and patients may need to be transferred to haemodialysis. All dialysis programmes should be aware of and monitor the

rate of peritonitis they go through. The guidelines of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis cite an overall target rate of 0.5 episodes/year. Establishing appropriate protocols is essential to normalize clinical practice and achieve the best possible clinical outcomes.^{1,2}

In PD, prevention of peritonitis is very important to reduce its rate.³ It has been suggested that retraining may reduce the risk of peritonitis. Peritonitis rates are significantly reduced in

DOI of original article:
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2020.09.009>.

☆ Please cite this article as: Sastre López A, Linares Fano B, Aguilera Flórez A, Prieto Velasco M. El reentrenamiento programado reduce la tasa de peritonitis en diálisis peritoneal. *Nefrologia.* 2022;42:219–220.

Table 1 – Results summary.

Year	Total No. of episodes	No. of patients exposed	No. of months exposed	Percentage Gram-positive	Percentage Gram-negative	Ep./year rate
2014	19	65	734	78.3	21.7	0.57
2015	24	55	366	56.2	43.8	0.55
2016	13	67	549	40	60	0.31
2017	16	65	541	50	50	0.43
2018	4	65	406	50	50	0.12
2019	6	55	545	28.6	71.4	0.18

Ep., episodes.

centres with active retraining programmes compared to those that do not; with retraining, peritonitis caused in particular by Gram-positive germs is reduced.⁴ A multicentre randomised trial analysing the relationship between retraining and the peritonitis rate was recently published including 671 patients from different countries. The relative risk in the rate of peritonitis was found to be 0.93 (95% CI: 0.75–1.16).⁵

At our hospital, we set ourselves the objective of improving our overall peritonitis rate. In 2014, we decided to start retraining all our patients. The nursing staff are responsible of the retraining. It is carried out 30 days after starting home dialysis, after each episode of peritonitis or prolonged hospitalisation, after any interruption in PD, and periodically every six months. Retraining includes re-education on hand washing procedures, connecting, infection control, contamination risks and intraperitoneal medication application. As our rate did not improve, despite the fact that retraining was apparently being carried out, from 2016, periodic retraining was established every six months to achieve the primary objective, to reduce the rate of peritonitis, based on continuous quality improvement in our unit. We set a percentage to achieve the indicator of 90%, and we monitor it every six months.

Since the retraining began in 2014, we have kept a record of peritonitis episodes in exposed patients, the overall peritonitis rate and the type of germs responsible. We compare the peritonitis rate and the type of germ (Gram-positive or negative) establishing the cut-off point at 2016, when we established scheduled retraining as a quality indicator. We have always managed to maintain the periodic retraining indicator above 90% compliance, using the occasion of the six-monthly reviews carried out as part of follow-up. To compare the data, we used the Student's t-test for independent data in the case of the peritonitis rate, and the Chi-square test for the type of germs, obtaining a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$). The rest of the results are shown in Table 1.

Despite following the recommendations established by the clinical guidelines, it was not until we established periodic retraining as a quality indicator that we were able to significantly reduce our peritonitis rate and change the spectrum of the causative germs. However, the improvement in the rate could also be due to other factors, as the design of our study means we cannot demonstrate causality.

We conclude that continuous quality improvement initiatives based on scheduled retraining and site-specific outcomes could reduce peritonitis rates in PD. We believe that the root cause-effect analysis of specific local situations can lead to the design of *ad-hoc* strategies to improve clinical outcomes. There is still a limited amount of literature to support this practice.

REFERENCES

1. Fang W, Ni Z, Qian J. Key factors for a high-quality peritoneal dialysis program — the role of the pd team and continuous quality improvement. *Perit Dial Int.* 2014;34 Suppl 2:S35–42.
2. Yu Y, Zhou Y, Wang H, Zhou T, Li Q, Li T, et al. Impact of continuous quality improvement initiatives on clinical outcomes in peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int.* 2014;34 Suppl 2:S43–8.
3. Li PK, Szeto CC, Piraino B, de Arteaga J, Fan S, Figueiredo AE, et al. ISPD peritonitis recommendations: 2016 update on prevention and treatment. *Perit Dial Int.* 2016;36(5):481–508.
4. Chow KM, Szeto CC, Law MC, Fun Fung JS, Kam-Tao Li P. Influence of peritoneal dialysis training nurses' experience on peritonitis rates. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;2:647–52.
5. Jensen JE, Paulsen D, Petersons A, Ots-Rosenberg, Saha H, et al. Peritonitis Prevention Study (PEPS) Trial Investigators. Retraining for prevention of peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Perit Dial Int.* 2020;40(2):141–52.

Aránzazu Sastre López*, Blanca Linares Fano,
Ana Aguilera Flórez, Mario Prieto Velasco

Servicio de Nefrología, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León,
León, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: aranchasastre@hotmail.com (A.S. López).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefroe.2022.04.002>