



nevista de la Sociedad Espanola de Nelfologia

journal homepage: www.revistanefrologia.com

Letter to the Editor

Comments on the article: "Multicenter validation of the Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) formula in Spanish patients with advanced chronic kidney disease"



Comentarios al artículo: "Validación multicéntrica de la fórmula Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) en pacientes españoles con enfermedad renal crónica avanzada"

Dear Editor,

We read with interest the article recently published in *Nefrología* entitled "Multicenter validation of the Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) in Spanish patients with advanced chronic kidney disease". ¹ This is an observational, retrospective, multicenter study that seeks to validate the KFRE equation with 4 and 8 variables at 2 and 5 years in patients with stage 4–5 chronic kidney disease (CKD G4+). This validation may help Spanish nephrologists introduce prediction models into their clinical practice as recommended by the KDIGO guidelines² and, thus improve the daily practice of nephrology.

The KFRE has been extensively validated externally in different countries and populations, as the authors of the study report. 3-6 In the discussion section of the article, the authors note that it had not previously been validated in the Spanish population. However, our group already published an external validation study of both the KFRE and the Grams model in patients with stage 4 CKD in a Spanish center in 2024. This study was the first external validation of both models in the Spanish population. In our study we found that, in a non-North American population, the discrimination for the KFRE with 4 variables at 2 and 5 years, as assessed by the AUC, was higher than that reported in the study by Escamilla-Cabrera et al., and similar to other non-North American populations: 0.894 (95% CI 0.857-0.931) and 0.823 (95% CI 0.779-0.867), respectively. Furthermore, in our study, model calibration was excellent, with a Hosmer-Lemeshow test with p > 0.05 and a Brier score < 0.20 for both the KFRE model at 2 and 5 years and the Grams model.

We agree with the authors on both the strengths and limitations of their study. The fact that it is a multicenter study and that the equation has been validated with 4 and 8 variables and using not only the version corrected for non-North American patients but also the

weighted equation, lends methodological strength to the conclusions. However, the exclusion from the analysis of patients who died from non-renal diseases and who were not candidates for renal replacement therapy could introduce selection bias, resulting in greater homogeneity in the predictor values. It has been reported that this homogeneity in predictors associated with initiation of renal replacement therapy in patients with stage 4 CKD may reduce the discriminatory power of the model,8 which could explain the poorer discrimination and calibration of the KFRE in their study. In fact, the reported AUCs were less than 0.8 (KFRE weighted with 4 variables at 2 years AUC 0.763 (95% CI 0.71-0.81) and KFRE weighted with 8 variables at 5 years AUC 0.763 (95% CI 0.71-0.81). It would have been interesting to include these patients in the analysis and to know the performance of the model under these conditions, without creating an overly homogeneous sample or underestimating the impact of mortality on the progression of chronic kidney disease.

References

- Escamilla-Cabrera B, Montomoli M, Kislikova M, de la Espada V, Olarte-García A, García-Prieto AM, et al. Validación multicéntrica de la fórmula Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) en pacientes españoles con enfermedad renal crónica avanzada. Nefrología. 2025;45:388–96, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2025.02.004
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2024 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 2024;105:S117–314, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2023.10.018
- Tangri N, Grams ME, Levey AS, Coresh J, Appel LJ, Astoret BC, et al. Multinational assessment of accuracy of equations for predicting risk of kidney failure: a metaanalysis. JAMA. 2016;315:164, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.18202
- Ramspek CL, de Jong Y, Dekker FW, van Diepen M. Towards the best kidney failure prediction tool: a systematic review and selection aid. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2020;35:1527–38, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfz018
- da Silva BM, Charreu J, Duarte I, Outerelo C, Gameiro J. Validation of the kidney failure risk equation in a Portuguese cohort. Nefrologia (Engl Ed). 2022;43:467–73, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nefroe.2022.03.007. S2013-2514(22)00172-00179.
- Thanabalasingam SJ, Iliescu EA, Norman PA, Day AG, Akbari A, Hundemer GL, et al. Independent external validation and comparison of death and kidney replacement therapy prediction models in advanced CKD. Kidney Med. 2022;4100440, http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.xkme.2022.100440
- Gallego-Valcarce E, Shabaka A, Tato-Ribera AM, Landaluce-Triska E, León-Poo M, Roldan D, et al. External validation of the KFRE and Grams prediction models for kidney failure and death in a Spanish cohort of patients with advanced chronic kidney disease. J Nephrol. 2024;37:429–37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40620-023-01819-1
- Ramspek CL, Evans M, Wanner C, Drechsler C, Chesnaye NC, Szymczaket M, et al. Kidney failure prediction models: a comprehensive external validation study in patients with advanced CKD. JASN. 2021;32:1174–86, http://dx.doi.org/10.1681/ ASN.2020071077

Eduardo Gallego-Valcarce ${}^{\bullet}$, Amir Shabaka $^{\rm b}$, Ana Tato-Ribera $^{\rm a}$, Enrique Gruss $^{\rm a}$

DOI of original article: $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2025.} 501364$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: egallegov@telefonica.net (E. Gallego-Valcarce).

^a Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

^b Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain