

Original article

The incidence of new onset diabetes after transplantation and related factors: Single center experience

Ayse Sinangil^{a,*}, Vedat Celik^a, Soykan Barlas^b, Yener Koc^c, Taner Basturk^c, Tamer Sakaci^c, Emin Baris Akin^b, Tüfrik Ecdar^a

^a Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul Bilim University, Istanbul, Turkey

^b Renal Transplantation Unit, Istanbul Bilim University, Istanbul, Turkey

^c Department of Nephrology, Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Research and Educational Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 July 2015

Accepted 17 November 2016

Available online 2 March 2017

Keywords:

PTDM

Renal transplantation

Impaired fasting glucose

ABSTRACT

Aim: New-onset diabetes after transplantation (NODAT) is a frequent metabolic complication and is considered a risk factor for patients undergoing renal transplant. The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence and developing duration of new-onset diabetes after transplant (NODAT) and influencing factors.

Methods: All patients' data was investigated retrospectively. Diabetics, follow-up period < 6 months, age < 18 years were excluded. Demographic, clinical and laboratory data was recorded. Patients were divided into two groups: with/without NODAT. NODAT group was divided into four subgroups according to the time of developing NODAT, which were 0–3, 3–6, 6–12 and 12 months later. Two groups were compared, to investigate the incidence of NODAT and risk factors associated with the occurrence of NODAT.

Results: We retrospectively analyzed the records of 570 patients, of which 420 patients were included. Seventy (16.6%) patients had NODAT (36 female, mean age 51.7 ± 8.2 years, mean follow-up 41.6 ± 21.5 months), 52.8% of patients developed NODAT within the first three months of being diagnosed. 350 patients (116 female, mean age 43.2 ± 12.5 years, mean follow-up 41.6 ± 21.5 months) were without NODAT. The incidence of impaired fasting glucose (IFG) during the first week after transplant was found to be higher in the patients with NODAT ($p < 0.001$). There was positive correlation between NODAT and older age, obesity, family history of diabetes, presence of IFG, fasting plasma glucose, total and LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, parathormone. Old age, obesity, presence of IFG, pretransplant hypertriglyceridemia and hyperparathyroidism were predictors of development of NODAT.

Conclusion: Incidence of NODAT, especially the first six months, was high. All patients should be screened for IFG within the first week. Patients with dyslipidemia, elderly and obese patients should be closely monitored for the risk of development of NODAT.

© 2017 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: aysesinangil@gmail.com (A. Sinangil).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2016.11.022>

0211-6995/© 2017 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Incidencia de diabetes postrasplante de nueva aparición y factores relacionados: experiencia de un único centro

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

DMPT

Trasplante renal

Alteración de la glucemia en ayunas

Objetivo: La diabetes postrasplante de nueva aparición (NODAT, *new-onset diabetes after transplantation*) es una complicación metabólica frecuente y se considera un factor de riesgo para los pacientes a los que se ha realizado un trasplante renal. El objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar la incidencia y la duración del desarrollo de NODAT y los factores que influyen en ello.

Métodos: Se estudiaron los datos de todos los pacientes de manera retrospectiva. Se excluyó a los diabéticos, a los pacientes con un período de seguimiento inferior a 6 meses y a aquellos con menos de 18 años. Se registraron los datos demográficos, clínicos y de laboratorio. Se dividió a los pacientes en 2 grupos: con/sin NODAT. El grupo con NODAT se dividió en 4 subgrupos de acuerdo con el momento en que desarrollaron NODAT, que fueron 0-3, 3-6, 6-12 y 12 meses más tarde. Se compararon los 2 grupos para analizar la incidencia de NODAT y los factores de riesgo asociados con la aparición de NODAT.

Resultados: Se analizaron retrospectivamente los registros de 570 pacientes, de los cuales se incluyó a 420 pacientes. Un total de 70 pacientes (16,6%) presentaron NODAT (36 mujeres, con media de edad de $51,7 \pm 8,2$ años y un seguimiento medio de $41,6 \pm 21,5$ meses). El 52,8% de los pacientes desarrollaron NODAT durante los 3 primeros meses tras el diagnóstico. Otros 350 pacientes (116 mujeres, con una media de edad de $43,2 \pm 12,5$ años y un seguimiento medio de $41,6 \pm 21,5$ meses) no presentaron NODAT. Se encontró que la incidencia de la alteración de la glucemia en ayunas (IFG, *impaired fasting glucose*) durante la primera semana después del trasplante fue mayor en los pacientes con NODAT ($p < 0,001$). Hubo correlación positiva entre NODAT y edad avanzada, obesidad, antecedentes familiares de diabetes, IFG, glucemia plasmática en ayunas, colesterol total y colesterol-LDL, triglicéridos y hormona paratiroidea. Edad avanzada, obesidad, IFG, hipertrigliceridemia e hiperparatiroidismo pretrasplante fueron factores predisponentes a desarrollar NODAT.

Conclusión: La incidencia de NODAT, especialmente durante los primeros 6 meses, fue alta. Se tuvo que examinar a todos los pacientes en busca de IFG durante la primera semana. Se debe controlar estrechamente el riesgo de desarrollar NODAT en pacientes con dislipidemia, ancianos y obesos.

© 2017 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The survival time of renal transplant recipients has gradually increased because of the improved survival rate during the perioperative period and enhancements in treatment with anti-rejection drugs¹⁻⁴; as a result, long-term complications and the quality of life of transplant recipients have recently received more attention.

Posttransplantation diabetes mellitus (PTDM) is a serious and frequent metabolic complication after a renal transplant and is officially considered a risk factor for patients undergoing renal transplantation.⁵ PTDM severely affects the quality of life and long-term survival rate of renal transplant recipients.⁶⁻⁹

Variable rates in the prevalence of PTDM after kidney transplantation were reported in many studies.^{10,11} Porrini et al.¹² reported the prevalence of PTDM was 27, 21, 21 and 30%, at 3, 12, 24 and 36 months, respectively.

The variation in the reported incidence may be due in part to the lack of a standard definition of the condition,

the duration of follow-up, the presence of both modifiable and non-modifiable risks factors, and the type of organ transplants.¹³

The aim of this study was to investigate the frequency of development of PTDM among the renal transplant patients in our center, to determine the characteristics of the patients with PTDM and the duration of PTDM diagnosis and to evaluate the risk factors for PTDM.

Materials and methods

Patients' characteristics

In this retrospective study, we included all adult kidney allograft recipients at the Division of Nephrology and the Transplantation Unit of Istanbul Bilim University, between February 2005 and February 2014. Exclusion criteria were known history of diabetes, age under 18 years at the time of transplantation, multiorgan transplantation, follow up

period <6 months, patients who underwent two or more renal transplantations.

Demographic characteristics such as age, gender, body mass index (BMI), etiologies of primary renal disease, history of dialysis, type of renal transplantation (living or deceased), degrees of related living donors, pharmacologic therapy (induction and maintenance therapy) family history of diabetes and follow-ups were recorded from the patients' medical charts.

Detailed clinical history, pretransplant parameters, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), total cholesterol (T.Chol), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-Chol), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), intact parathormone (iPTH), impaired fasting glucose (IFG) during the first week after transplantation, serum creatinine levels at discharge from hospital and at the last visit, acute rejection attacks and its treatments were also noted.

Definitions

The diagnosis of PTDM was based on the following diagnostic criteria for diabetes proposed by international consensus meeting on posttransplantation diabetes mellitus in 2013; symptoms of diabetes i.e. polyuria, polydipsia, or unexplained weight loss plus random blood glucose ≥ 200 mg/dl or presence of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) of ≥ 126 mg/dl, or second hour plasma glucose ≥ 200 mg/dl during oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT).¹⁴

In addition, it was considered that PTDM developed when the patients used glucose lowering medications (insulin and/or oral hypoglycemic agents for >1 mo) which were given by the nephrologist or endocrinologist at anytime during the study. Diagnosis was always confirmed by measuring FBG and/or HbA1c of these patients.

As OGTTs were time consuming and impractical in a large transplant program we did not use OGTTs routinely in our unit. We use OGTTs in specific cases such as with patients who had impaired fasting glucose within the first week after transplantation. OGTTs were performed after week six (meanly in week eight) depending on the transient hyperglycemia which was frequently seen in the first six weeks when intensive immunosuppressive therapy was used. Also, OGTTs were performed on the patients with body mass index (BMI) > 30 kg/m² who had a family history of diabetes and the patients who were diagnosed with impaired fasting glucose at any time during the follow-up period.

OGTT detects the possibility of uncovering IGT which is a prediabetic condition. It is defined by a 2-h plasma glucose (2 h PG) of 140–199 mg/dl.

As this study was a retrospective analysis, the patients with transient hyperglycemia were not accepted as PTDM and these patients were included in non-PTDM group. In other words, the patients who were still diabetic and treated with antidiabetic agents on the day of the study were included in PTDM group.

HbA1c was certainly not used as a diagnostic criterion in the first three months after transplantation. Then it was used as a diagnostic criterion in combination with elevated FBG level.

PTDM group was divided to four subgroups according to the time of developing PTDM such as, the first 3 months, 3–6 months, 6–12 months and 12 months after renal transplantation. Patients and laboratory characteristics of PTDM were compared with the patients who did not develop post-transplant diabetes, to investigate the incidence of PTDM and find out various risk factors associated with occurrence of PTDM.

Immunosuppression

Induction therapy was given all patients. Immunosuppression comprised rabbit antithymocyte globuline (ATG) or IL-2 receptor monoclonal antibody (basiliximab) according to induction therapy, methylprednisolone (1000 mg given intraoperatively, followed by sequential tapering to daily oral prednisone 30 mg by one week, 10 mg at one month and 5 mg on the 12th week posttransplantation period), Mycophenolate-mofetil (MMF) or mycophenolate sodium (MMY) at 2×1000 mg/d or 2×720 mg/d postoperatively with dose adjustment for side effects, calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) (tacrolimus or cyclosporin-A started within 24 h after surgery). Cyclosporin-A (CsA) was started at 8 mg/kg/day, Tacrolimus at 0.2 mg/kg/day, and then adjusted according to total blood levels. Target CsA levels at 3 months were 150–250 ng/ml and then tapered to 100–150 ng/ml by 1 year. Target tacrolimus levels were 7–10 ng/ml in the three months, and then tapered to 4–7 ng/ml. The standard immunosuppressive protocol (maintenance therapy) mainly consists of triple therapy composed of steroids, a calcineurin inhibitor (cyclosporine or tacrolimus), and MMF/MMY.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS software version 17.0 for windows. All continuous data were expressed as mean \pm SD and were analyzed by unpaired t test. Categorical data were expressed as number (percentage) and were analyzed by χ^2 test. Univariate vs multivariate logistic regression analysis were done to evaluate odds ratio of various parameters associated with increased risk of PTDM among study population. *p* value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

We retrospectively analyzed the records of 570 patients who underwent a renal transplantation at Istanbul Bilim University, School of Medicine, from 2005 to 2014. The following patients were excluded: 4 patients whose age were under 18, 55 patients whose follow-up period less than 6 months, 3 patients who underwent 2 or more renal transplants, and 88 patients who were diabetic before surgery. The data of the remaining 420 non-diabetic patients who underwent a renal transplant for the first time and had a renal graft survival time of more than 6 months, were included for analysis. Totally 420 patients (152 female; mean age was 44.5 ± 12.3) had an average follow-up time of 42.2 ± 21.8 months years until the endpoints of follow-up.

Table 1 – Demographic and laboratory parameters of PTDM and non-PTDM patients.

	PTDM (n:70)	Non-PTDM (n:350)	p
<i>Pretransplant variables</i>			
Age, years	51.7 (8.2)*	43.2 (12.5)	<0.001
Sex, male/female	34/36	234/116	0.004
Body mass index, kg/m ²	27.4 (4.1)	24 (4.3)	<0.001
Time on dialysis, months	58.1 (48.9)	31.1 (36.7)	0.07
Family history of diabetes mellitus, %	11.7	0.6	<0.001
Hepatitis B virus, positive	5	13	0.19
Hepatitis C virus, positive	0	13	0.10
Pretransplant fasting blood glucose, mg/dl	93.5 (10.9)	90.3 (9.0)	0.008
Pretransplant T.Cholesterol, mg/dl	214 (57)	191 (42)	<0.001
Pretransplant LDL-Cholesterol, mg/dl	120 (40)	107 (34)	0.006
Pretransplant triglyceride, mg/dl	257 (155)	192 (96)	<0.001
Pretransplant parathormone, pg/ml	626 (268)	387 (173)	<0.001
<i>Posttransplant variables</i>			
Follow-up period, months	45 (23.2)	41.6 (21.5)	0.24
Presence of IFG during the first week after transplantation, %	67.1	8.0	<0.001
Serum creatinine level, mg/dl	1.0 (0.3)	1.0 (0.3)	0.63
Acute rejection attacks, %	2.9	5.7	0.32
Use of tacrolimus, %	82.9	86.3	0.45
Use of mTOR inhibitors, %	12.9	9.1	0.37

* Standard deviation.

Seventy (16.6%) of total patients had onset of PTDM, of them 36 were female. Mean age was 51.7 ± 8.2 years, mean BMI was 27.4 ± 4.1 kg/m² and mean follow-up time was 45 ± 23.2 months. 37 of these cases (52.8%) developed PTDM within the first three months, 11 of them (15.7%) developed during the 3–6 months, 4 of them (5.7%) developed during 6–12 months and 18 of them (25.8%) developed PTDM 12 months later after renal transplantation. During the follow-up period, 3 patients died with functioning graft, 10 patients had transferred dialysis due to graft loss, 8 patients were followed in other centers and remaining 49 patients were followed in our unit.

Remaining 350 patients were non-PTDM. 116 of these were female, mean age was 43.2 ± 12.5 years, mean BMI was 24 ± 4.3 kg/m² and mean follow-up time was 41.6 ± 21.5 months. Compared with the non-PTDM group, the PTDM group was older, had higher body mass index values, and had higher proportions of female patients ($p < 0.001$, < 0.001 and 0.004 , respectively). Follow-up period was similar between the two groups ($p = 0.24$). During the follow-up period, 15 patients died with functioning graft, 30 patients had transferred dialysis due to graft loss, 25 patients were followed in other centers and remaining 280 patients were followed in our unit.

The most common causes of primary renal failure were chronic glomerulonephritis and cystic renal diseases in each groups ($p = 0.54$). While 88.6% of PTDM group received dialysis treatment before transplantation for a period of 58.1 ± 48.9 months; that was 90.6% in non-PTDM group, for a period of 31.1 ± 36.7 months. History of dialysis and duration of this therapy were similarly found between patients with PTDM and non-PTDM ($p = 0.07$). While 11.7% of PTDM group had a family history of diabetes, only 0.6% of other group had a family history of diabetes ($p < 0.001$).

Eight patients had cadaveric kidneys in PTDM group, live donor transplant were performed in 62 patients, [75.8% first-degree relatives (mother, father, siblings, childrens), 8.1% second degree relatives (grandparents, grandmother, uncle,

etc.) and remaining 16.1% patients unrelated donor]. In the non-PTDM group 33 patients had cadaveric kidney and live donor transplant were performed in 317 patients (78.1% first-degree relatives, 7.7% second degree relatives and 14.2% patients unrelated donor). There were no significant difference between two groups according to type of donor and degrees of related living donors ($p = 0.64$ and 0.91 , respectively).

The patients' clinical and laboratory parameters are shown in Table 1. PTDM group had higher preoperative FPG and lipid profile (T.Chol and LDL-Chol and TG levels). IFG during the first week after transplantation was determined in 47 (67.1%) of PTDM developed patients and 28 (8%) in non-PTDM group ($p < 0.001$).

OGTTs were performed on a total of 94 patients (75 patients with IFG in the first week after transplantation, 9 patients with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² and had family history of diabetes, 10 patients with IFG during the follow-up period). Among these patients PTDM was diagnosed in 56 patients and IGT was diagnosed in 10 patients.

Five patients were hepatitis B virus (HBV) positive and none of them was hepatitis C (HCV) positive before transplantation in PTDM group. In non-PTDM group, 13 were HBV and 13 were HCV positive before transplant. Incidence of HBV and HCV were found similarly between two groups ($p = 0.19$ and 0.10).

As induction treatment, 29 patients received ATG and 41 patients received basiliximab (IL-2 receptor antagonist) in PTDM groups, whereas 160 patients received ATG and 190 patients received basiliximab (IL-2 receptor antagonist) in non-PTDM groups ($p = 0.54$). It was found that 82.9% of patients with PTDM, 86.3% of patients with non-PTDM used tacrolimus as calcineurin inhibitors while 87.1% and 92.3% of patients used MMF/MMY, respectively. The use of mTOR inhibitors was found 12.9% and 9.1% in both groups respectively. The ratio of using mTOR inhibitors, tacrolimus and cyclosporine were found similar in each group ($p: 0.37$, 0.45 and 0.24 , respectively).

Table 2 – Multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Parameter	p	Odds Ratio (95%CI)
Age	0.031	1.04 (1.00–1.08)
BMI	0.045	1.10 (1.00– 1.21)
Pretransplant triglyceride (for every 100 mg/dl increased)	0.02	1.46 (1.06– 2.02)
Posttransplant IFG	<0.001	19.95 (8.73–45.60)
Pretransplant PTH	<0.001	1.02 (1.00–1.04)

Maintenance therapy was found similar among groups ($p > 0.05$). 2.9% of patients in PTDM group and 5.7% of patients in non-PTDM group had acute rejection attacks during the follow-up period. Incidence of acute rejection attacks was similar between two groups ($p = 0.32$).

Older age, gender, high BMI, presence of IFG during the first week period after transplantation, presence of hypertriglyceride, hypercholesterolemia and hyperparathyroidism during the pretransplant period, presence of family history of diabetes were predictors of development of PTDM in univariate logistic regression analysis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis were shown in Table 2. Older age, high BMI, presence of IFG during the first week period after transplantation, presence of hypertriglyceride and hyperparathyroidism during the pretransplant period were predictors of development of PTDM in multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Discussion

We observed an incidence of 16.6% of PTDM in our cohort. Development of PTDM was highest at the six months period following transplantation. Significant relationships were found between PTDM and presence of family history of diabetes, pretransplant glucose levels, hyperparathyroidism and dyslipidemia, presence of IFG during the first week period after transplantation. Older age, obesity, presence of IFG during the first week period after transplantation, presence of hypertriglyceridemia and hyperparathyroidism during the pretransplant period were predictors of development of PTDM. No association was observed between onset of PTDM and immunosuppressive treatment.

PTDM is a serious metabolic complication and is the major factor leading to dysfunction of the renal graft and patient death moreover, it is a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in these patients.^{15–17} Several in-depth clinical and animal studies have been conducted to study the prevalence rate, risk factors, and pathogenesis of PTDM.^{18–21}

The true incremental incidence of diabetes occurs mainly during the first 6 months posttransplantation, when patients are treated with high doses of immunosuppression. There is a five-to sixfold higher incidence of new-onset of diabetes mellitus among transplant recipients during the first year after transplantation.²² In our study it was observed that more than half of the patients (52.8%) had diagnosis of PTDM in the first three months and also 68.5% of patients had PTDM during the six months period after transplantation.

The literature describes various modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors for the development of PTDM.²³ Non-modifiable risk factors include race, older age, male

recipient, positive family history of diabetes, presence of certain HLA phenotypes, increasing HLA mismatches, deceased donor, presence of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, and impaired pre-transplant FPG or OGTT. Modifiable risk factors are type of immunosuppressive therapy, obesity, male gender of donor, pre-transplant hypertriglyceridemia, HCV infection and cytomegalovirus (CMV) disease.²³ In our study, older age, presence of hypertriglyceride, obesity, hyperparathyroidism during the pre transplant period, presence of IFG during the first week period after transplantation were established as the risk factors of PTDM.

Among the non-modifiable risk factors, age is considered as the strongest risk factor for the development of PTDM.^{23,24} A study by Cosio et al.²⁵ that included 2078 allograft recipients, showed that individuals older than 45 were 2.9 times more likely to develop PTDM than those younger at the time of transplantation. Age increased the risk for development of diabetes 1.5-fold for every 10-year increase in age.^{37,39} In our study, older age was established as a risk factor of PTDM.

Obesity represents a modifiable risk factor and has been shown to be associated with the development of PTDM in many studies.^{24,26,27} Analysis of the USRDS database revealed that obesity, defined as a BMI of $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ is one of the strongest risk factors for PTDM (relative risk (RR) of 1.73, $p < 0.0001$).^{24,26} Although some studies failed to demonstrate an association between obesity and the development of PTDM, obesity and its associated peripheral insulin resistance state is a known risk factor for type 2 diabetes. Shah et al.²⁸ also found that the risk of PTDM increased as BMI increased. Compared with patients with a BMI $<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$, the risk of developing PTDM was higher in patients with a BMI within the range of $25\text{--}30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (RR:1.51; $p < 0.001$) and in patients with a BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (RR:1.64; $p < 0.001$). In our study, obesity or being overweight were determined as risk factors of PTDM.

In this study we observed that pre-transplant TG levels were a risk factor for PTDM. Cosio et al.²⁹ found that elevated triglyceride levels before transplantation correlated strongly with the development of PTDM in a retrospective analysis of 1811 renal transplant recipients. Porrini et al.³⁰ also found that pretransplantation hypertriglyceridemia was a risk factor for PTDM in patients treated with tacrolimus as a primary immunosuppressant. Hypertriglyceridemia is strongly linked with insulin resistance, one of the two central pathophysiological defects of PTDM, which would explain why hypertriglyceridemia seems to be associated with an increased risk of PTDM.³¹

We determined an association between PTDM and presence of IFG during the first week after transplantation and that was a risk factor of developed PTDM. IFG or IGT are strong predictors for the development of PTDM.^{5,32} Cosio et al.³³ showed that hyperglycemia during the first week after transplantation is the strongest predictor of PTDM at 1 year. In this study PTDM was present in 3% of patients who were euglycemic 1 week after transplantation, 14% of patients who had IFG 1 week after transplantation, and 50% of patients who had diabetes 1 week after transplantation, at 1 year.

Earlier studies reported that there was a high incidence of de novo hyperglycemia immediately after transplantation which can be associated with the exposure of pancreatic

β -cells to several stress factors, collectively the surgical procedure, weight gain due to physical inactivity immediately after surgery (insulin sensitivity), high doses of corticosteroids and initiation of CNIs.³⁴ Pre-transplant impaired OGTT has also been reported as risk factor for PTDM.³⁵ However, routine OGTT analysis during pre-transplant work-up was not done at our center; hence we could not analyze impact of this potential factor.

Primary hyperparathyroidism (pHPT) and secondary hyperparathyroidism are both associated with abnormalities in glucose metabolism, such as glucose intolerance and insufficient insulin release.³⁶⁻³⁹ Ivarsson et al.⁴⁰ found that intact parathormone (iPTH) values above twice the upper limit of the normal range were associated with PTDM. Hyperparathyroidism was established as a risk factor for the development of PTDM in our study. Hyperparathyroidism and hypophosphatemia along with additional diabetogenic factors such as immunosuppressive agents might induce glucose intolerance and insufficient insulin release and thereby trigger the development of PTDM.^{41,42}

There is strong evidence suggesting that positive family history of diabetes among first-degree relatives is an important risk factor for development of PTDM in transplant recipients.^{13,26} Although some researchers have reported that a family history of diabetes mellitus is associated with an up to sevenfold increase in the risk of developing PTDM^{43,44} others have not found any positive relationship between family history of diabetes and risk for PTDM.¹¹ Family history of diabetes was observed at a higher rate in our PTDM patients. In our study family history of diabetes was not determined as a risk factor for development of PTDM in multivariate analysis. Although family history plays a significant role in predicting PTDM, it has not been evaluated in registry reports; however multivariate analysis of quite a few studies showed family history of diabetes as a significant risk factor.^{43,45}

Several immunosuppressive agents that are commonly used in the transplant arena have been noted to have diabetogenic potential. Herein, commonly used drugs include glucocorticoids, calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs), the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors. Diabetogenic effects of all of these drugs have been explored in detail and their effect on the development of PTDM has been elucidated in several major studies.⁴⁶ In DIRECT trial, incidence of PTDM was significantly higher among patients treated with tacrolimus as compared to cyclosporine group.¹² However, interaction between CNI and PTDM development is quite complex and there are multiple confounding variables reported.^{12,47-49} Thirty-three percent dose reduction of tacrolimus resulted in enhanced insulin secretion without change in therapeutic concentration of tacrolimus levels (5-10 ng/ml). This suggests that diabetogenic effect of tacrolimus is dose dependent.⁴⁹ However, in some studies, authors did not observe any association between tacrolimus and PTDM.^{50,51} In our study, there was no significant difference between tacrolimus and cyclosporine. Moreover usage of CNI was not detected as a risk factor of PTDM. Majority of patients were receiving tacrolimus based immunosuppressive therapy and therapeutic concentration of tacrolimus levels were targeted at the low dose range. For these reasons, it might not be detected as a risk factor.

Our study was retrospective and reflects a single center experience. These are the most important limitations of our study. Although we tried to keep the immunosuppressive drugs between target levels, in this study we did not evaluate the effect of drug levels on the development of PTDM. In addition, we could not analyze the effect of posttransplant changes of BMI on the development of PTDM. These are the other limitations of our study.

As a conclusion, PTDM is a common metabolic disorder. PTDM is diagnosed most frequently during the first six months after transplantation. Older age, presence of hypertriglyceridemia, obesity, hyperparathyroidism during the pre transplant period, presence of IFG during the first week period after transplantation are the risk factors of PTDM. All of transplant recipient patients should be screened for IFG within the first week of intensive immunosuppression. Patients with dyslipidemia, elderly and obese patients during the pretransplant period should be closely monitored for the risk of development of PTDM.

Authors' contribution

Ayşe Sinangil and Vedat Celik designed the study, collected and analyzed the data, and wrote the paper, Soykan Barlas was involved in designing of the study and collection of data, Tamer Sakaci, Yener Koc and Taner Basturk; performed statistical analysis and collection of data; Emin Baris Akin and Tevfik Ecdar corrected language and did final editing of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

There are not any non-financial competing interests we would like to declare in relation to this paper. We have not any other financial competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Aktas A. Transplanted kidney function evaluation. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2014;44:129-45.
2. D'Addio F, Vergani A, Di Fenza R, Tezza S, Bassi R, Fiorina P. Novel immunological aspects of pediatric kidney transplantation. *G Ital Nefrol.* 2012;29:44-8.
3. Del Carro U, Fiorina P, Amadio S, De Toni Franceschini L, Petrelli A, Menini S, et al. Evaluation of polyneuropathy markers in type 1 diabetic kidney transplant patients and effects of islet transplantation: neurophysiological and skin biopsy longitudinal analysis. *Diabetes Care.* 2007;30:3063-9.
4. Orsenigo E, Soggi C, Fiorina P, Cristallo M, Castoldi R, Gavazzi F, et al. Simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation: short- and long-term results. *Transplant Proc.* 2004;36:586-8.
5. Davidson J, Wilkinson A, Dantal J, Dotta F, Haller H, Hernández D. New-onset diabetes after transplantation: 2003 International Consensus Guidelines. *Transplantation.* 2003;75:3-24.
6. Valderhaug TG, Hjelmestaeth J, Hartmann A, Roislien J, Bergrem HA, Leivestad T, et al. The association of early post-transplant glucose levels with long-term mortality. *Diabetologia.* 2011;54:1341-9.

7. Fiorina P, Bassi R, Gremizzi C, Vergani A, Caldara R, Mello A, et al. ³¹P-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (³¹P-MRS) detects early changes in kidney high-energy phosphate metabolism during a 6-month Valsartan treatment in diabetic and non-diabetic kidney-transplanted patients. *Acta Diabetol.* 2012;49:133-9.
8. Fiorina P, Perseghin G, De Cobelli F, Gremizzi C, Petrelli A, Monti L, et al. Altered kidney graft high-energy phosphate metabolism in kidney-transplanted end-stage renal disease type 1 diabetic patients: a cross-sectional analysis of the effect of kidney alone and kidney-pancreas transplantation. *Diabetes Care.* 2007;30:597-603.
9. Fiorina P, Venturini M, Folli F, Losio C, Maffi P, Placidi C, et al. Natural history of kidney graft survival, hypertrophy, and vascular function in end-stage renal disease type 1 diabetic kidney-transplanted patients: beneficial impact of pancreas and successful islet cotransplantation. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28:1303-10.
10. David-Neto E, Lemos FC, Fadel LM, Agena F, Sato MY, Coccuza C, et al. The dynamics of glucose metabolism under calcineurin inhibitors in the first year after renal transplantation in nonobese patients. *Transplantation.* 2007;84:50-5.
11. Hur KY, Kim MS, Kim YS, Kang ES, Nam JH, Kim SH, et al. Risk factors associated with the onset and progression of posttransplantation diabetes in renal allograft recipients. *Diabetes Care.* 2007;30:609-15.
12. Porrini EL, Díaz JM, Moreso F, Delgado Mallén PI, Silva Torres I, Ibernón M, et al. Clinical evolution of post-transplant diabetes mellitus. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2016;31:495-505.
13. Pham PT, Pham PM, Pham SV, Pham PA, Pham PC. New onset diabetes after transplantation (NODAT): an overview. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes.* 2011;4:175-86.
14. Sharif A, Hecking M, De Vries APJ, Porrini E, Hornum M, Rasoul Rockenschaub S, et al. Proceedings from an international consensus meeting on posttransplantation diabetes mellitus: recommendations and future directions. *Am J Transplant.* 2014;14:1992-2000.
15. Ducloux D, Kazory A, Chalopin JM. Post-transplant diabetes mellitus and atherosclerotic events in renal transplant recipients: a prospective study. *Transplantation.* 2005;79:438-43.
16. Astorri E, Fiorina P, Gavaruzzi G, Astorri A, Magnati G. Left ventricular function in insulin-dependent and in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients: radionuclide assessment. *Cardiology.* 1997;88:152-5.
17. Perseghin G, Fiorina P, De Cobelli F, Scifo P, Esposito A, Canu T, et al. Cross-sectional assessment of the effect of kidney and kidney-pancreas transplantation on resting left ventricular energy metabolism in type 1 diabetic-uremic patients: a phosphorous-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;46:1085-92.
18. Hecking M, Werzowa J, Haidinger M, Hörl WH, Pascual J, Budde K, et al. Novel views on new-onset diabetes after transplantation: development, prevention and treatment. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2013;28:550-66.
19. Hagen M, Hjelmæsaeth J, Jenssen T, Morkrid L, Hartmann A. A 6-year prospective study on new onset diabetes mellitus, insulin release and insulin sensitivity in renal transplant recipients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2003;18:2154-9.
20. Ozbay LA, Smidt K, Mortensen DM, Carstens J, Jorgensen KA, Rungby J. Cyclosporin and tacrolimus impair insulin secretion and transcriptional regulation in INS-1E beta-cells. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2011;162:136-46.
21. Heit JJ, Apelqvist AA, Gu X, Winslow MM, Neilson JR, Crabtree GR, et al. Calcineurin/NFAT signaling regulates pancreatic beta-cell growth and function. *Nature.* 2006;443:345-9.
22. Woodward RS, Schnitzler MA, Baty J, Lowell JA, Lopez-Rocafor L, Haider S, et al. Incidence and cost of new onset diabetes mellitus among U.S. wait-listed and transplanted renal allograft recipients. *Am J Transplant.* 2003;3:590-8.
23. Palepu S, Prasad GV. New-onset diabetes mellitus after kidney transplantation: current status and future directions. *World J Diabetes.* 2015;6:445-55.
24. Kasiske BL, Snyder JJ, Gilbertson D, Matas AJ. Diabetes mellitus after kidney transplantation in the United States. *Am J Transplant.* 2003;3:178-85.
25. Cosio FG, Pesavento TE, Osei K, Henry ML, Ferguson RM. Post-transplant diabetes mellitus: increasing incidence in renal allograft recipients transplanted in recent years. *Kidney Int.* 2001;59:732-7.
26. Rodrigo E, Fernández-Fresnedo G, Valero R, Ruiz JC, Piñera C, Palomar R, et al. New-onset diabetes after kidney transplantation: risk factors. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2006;17:291-5.
27. Bonato V, Barni R, Cataldo D, Collini A, Ruggieri G, De Bartolomeis C, et al. Analysis of posttransplant diabetes mellitus prevalence in a population of kidney transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc.* 2008;40:1888-90.
28. Shah T, Kasravi A, Huang E, Hayashi R, Young B, Cho YW, et al. Risk factors for development of new-onset diabetes mellitus after kidney transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2006;82:1673-6.
29. Cosio FG, Pesavento TE, Kim S, Osei K, Henry M, Ferguson RM. Patient survival after renal transplantation: impact of post-transplant diabetes. *Kidney Int.* 2002;62:1440-6.
30. Porrini E, Delgado P, Alvarez A, Cobo M, Pérez L, González-Posada JM, et al. The combined effect of pretransplant triglyceride levels and the type of calcineurin inhibitor in predicting the risk of new onset diabetes after renal transplantation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2008;23:1436-41.
31. Sharif A, Baboolal K. Risk factors for new-onset diabetes after kidney transplantation. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2010;6:415-23.
32. Hjelmæsaeth J, Hartmann A, Kofstad J, Egeland T, Stenstrom J, Fauchald P. Tapering off prednisolone and cyclosporin the first year after renal transplantation: the effect on glucose tolerance. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2001;16:829-35.
33. Cosio FG, Kudva Y, van der Velde M, Larson TS, Textor SC, Griffin MD, et al. New onset hyperglycemia and diabetes are associated with increased cardiovascular risk after kidney transplantation. *Kidney Int.* 2005;67:2415-21.
34. Chakkeri HA, Knowler WC, Devarapalli Y, Weil EJ, Heilman RL, Dueck A, et al. Relationship between inpatient hyperglycemia and insulin treatment after kidney transplant and future new onset diabetes mellitus. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2010;5:1669-75.
35. Sharif A, Moore RH, Baboolal K. The use of oral glucose tolerance tests to risk stratify for new-onset diabetes after transplantation: an underdiagnosed phenomenon. *Transplantation.* 2006;82:1667-72.
36. Kumar S, Olukoga AO, Gordon C, Mawer EB, France M, Hosker JP, et al. Impaired glucose tolerance and insulin insensitivity in primary hyperparathyroidism. *Clin Endocrinol.* 1994;40:47-53.
37. Procopio M, Borretta G. Derangement of glucose metabolism in hyperparathyroidism. *J Endocrinol Invest.* 2003;26:1136-42.
38. Mak RH. Insulin secretion in uremia: effect of parathyroid hormone and vitamin D metabolites. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 1989;27:227-30.
39. Akmal M, Massry SG, Goldstein DA, Fanti P, Weisz A, DeFronzo RA. Role of parathyroid hormone in the glucose intolerance of chronic renal failure. *J Clin Invest.* 1985;75:1037-44.
40. Ivarsson KM, Clyne N, Almqvist M, Akaberi S. Hyperparathyroidism and new onset diabetes after renal transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 2014;46:145-50.

41. DeFronzo RA, Lang R. Hypophosphatemia and glucose intolerance: evidence for tissue insensitivity to insulin. *N Engl J Med.* 1980;303:1259-63.
42. Trombetti A, Richert L, Hadaya K, Graf JD, Herrmann FR, Ferrari SL, et al. Early post-transplantation hypophosphatemia is associated with elevated FGF-23 levels. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2011;164:839-47.
43. Hjelmsaeth J, Hartmann A, Kofstad J, Stenstrøm J, Leivestad T, Egeland T, et al. Glucose intolerance after renal transplantation depends upon prednisolone dose and recipient age. *Transplantation.* 1997;64:979-83.
44. Depczynski B, Daly B, Campbell LV, Chisholm DJ, Keogh A. Predicting the occurrence of diabetes mellitus in recipients of heart transplants. *Diabet Med.* 2000;17:15-9.
45. Chakkera HA, Hanson RL, Raza SM, DiStefano JK, Millis MP, Heilman RL, et al. Pilot study: association of traditional and genetic risk factors and new-onset diabetes mellitus following kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 2009;41:4172-7.
46. Peev V, Reiser J, Alachkar N. Diabetes mellitus in the transplanted kidney. *Front Endocrinol.* 2014;5:141.
47. Rodrigo E, Piñera C, de Cos MA, Sánchez B, Ruiz JC, Fernández-Fresnedo G, et al. Evolution of tacrolimus blood levels and concentration-dose ratios in patients who develop new onset diabetes mellitus after kidney transplantation. *Transpl Int.* 2005;18:1152-7.
48. Rostaing L, Cantarovich D, Mourad G, Budde K, Rigotti P, Mariat C, et al. Corticosteroid-free immunosuppression with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and daclizumab induction in renal transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2005;79:807-14.
49. Van Hooff JP, Christiaans MH, van Duijnhoven EM. Tacrolimus and posttransplant diabetes mellitus in renal transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2005;79:1465-9.
50. Bora GS, Guleria S, Reddy VS, Tandon N, Gupta N, Gupta S, et al. Risk factors for the development of new-onset diabetes mellitus in a living related renal transplant program. *Transplant Proc.* 2010;42:4072-3.
51. Prakash J, Rathore SS, Singh TB, Choudhury TA, Prabhakar U. New onset diabetes after transplantation (NODAT): analysis of pre-transplant risk factors in renal allograft recipients. *Indian J Transplant.* 2012;6:77-82.