

Original article

Factors associated with the compensation of renal function after nephrectomy of a kidney donor^{☆,☆☆}

Carla Burballa^a, Marta Crespo^{a,*}, Dolores Redondo-Pachón^a, María José Pérez-Sáez^a, Carlos Arias-Cabrales^a, Marisa Mir^a, Albert Francés^b, Lluís Fumadó^b, Lluís Cecchini^b, Julio Pascual^a

^a Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

^b Servicio de Urología, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 October 2017

Accepted 26 February 2018

Available online 26 October 2018

Keywords:

Living kidney donor

Nephrectomy

Renal compensation rate

Renal function

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Kidney transplant donors lose 50% of their renal mass after nephrectomy. The remaining kidney compensates for this loss and it is estimated that 70% of the baseline renal function prior to donation is recovered. Factors associated with post-donation renal compensation are not well understood.

Methods: Retrospective study of 66 consecutive kidney donors (mean age 48.8 years, 74.2% women). We analyzed the potential factors associated with the compensatory mechanisms of the remaining kidney by comparing donors according to their renal compensation rate (RCR) (Group A, infra-compensation [$<70\%$]; Group B, normal compensation [$>70\%$]).

Results: We compared Group A ($n=38$) and group B ($n=28$). Predictors for RCR $>70\%$ were higher baseline creatinine (A vs. B: 0.73 ± 0.14 vs. 0.82 ± 0.11 ; $p=0.03$) and a lower baseline glomerular filtration rate (GFR), estimated both by MDRD-4 (A vs. B: 97.7 ± 18.8 vs. 78.6 ± 9.6 ml/min; $p<0.001$) and CKD-EPI (A vs. B: 101.7 ± 15 vs. 88.3 ± 11.7 ml/min; $p \leq 0.001$). Age, gender, smoking, hypertension and GFR measured by Tc-DTPA did not show any correlation with the RCR. The multivariate analysis confirmed baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) to be a predictor of compensation: the higher the baseline eGFR, the lower the likelihood of $>70\%$ compensation (MDRD-4, OR = 0.94 [95% CI 0.8–0.9], $p=0.01$). The compensation rate decreased by 0.4% ($p<0.001$) and 0.3% ($p=0.006$) for every ml/min increase in baseline eGFR estimated by MDRD-4 and CKD-EPI, respectively.

Conclusions: One year after living donor nephrectomy, the remaining kidney partially compensates baseline renal function. In our experience, baseline eGFR is inversely proportional to the one-year renal compensation rate.

© 2018 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

DOI of original article:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2018.02.008>.

* Please cite this article as: Burballa C, Redondo-Pachón D, Pérez-Sáez MJ, Arias-Cabrales C, Mir M, Francés A, et al. Factores asociados a la compensación de la función renal tras la nefrectomía para donación. Nefrología. 2018;38:528–534.

☆☆ The study was carried out as part of Carla Burballa's doctoral thesis at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Mcrespo@psmar.cat (M. Crespo).

2013-2514/© 2018 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Factores asociados a la compensación de la función renal tras la nefrectomía para donación

R E S U M E N

Palabras clave:

Donante renal vivo
Nefrectomía
Tasa compensación renal
Función renal

Introducción: Los donantes renales pierden la mitad de su masa renal tras la nefrectomía. Se estima que el riñón remanente compensa idóneamente un 70% de la función renal previa a la donación. Los factores asociados con el grado de compensación posdonación no están bien establecidos.

Métodos: Análisis retrospectivo de 66 donantes renales consecutivos. Edad media 48,8 años; 74,2% mujeres. Se estudiaron los potenciales factores asociados con la compensación del riñón remanente comparando donantes según su tasa de compensación renal (TCR) (grupo A, infra-compensación [$<70\%$]; grupo B compensación normal [$>70\%$]).

Resultados: Comparamos los grupos A ($n=38$) y B ($n=28$). Los factores predictores de una TCR $>70\%$ fueron una mayor creatinina basal (A vs. B $0,73 \pm 0,14$ vs. $0,82 \pm 0,11$; $p=0,03$) y menor filtrado glomerular (FG), tanto estimado mediante MDRD-4 (A vs. B $97,7 \pm 18,8$ vs. $78,6 \pm 9,6$ ml/min; $p < 0,001$) como por CKD-EPI (A vs. B $101,7 \pm 15$ vs. $88,3 \pm 11,7$ ml/min; $p \leq 0,001$). La edad, el sexo, el tabaquismo, la hipertensión o el FG medido con Tcm-DTPA no mostraron asociación con la TCR. El análisis multivariante confirmó el FGe como predictor de compensación: a mayor FG basal menor probabilidad de compensar $>70\%$ (MDRD-4, odds ratio [OR] = 0,94 [IC 95%: 0,8-0,9], $p=0,01$). La tasa de compensación era 0,4% ($p < 0,001$) y 0,3% ($p=0,006$), menor por cada ml/min de FG basal más, por MDRD-4 y CKD-EPI respectivamente.

Conclusiones: Un año después de la donación renal el riñón remanente compensa parcialmente la función renal basal. En nuestra experiencia el FGe basal se asocia de forma inversamente proporcional a la tasa de compensación renal al año.

© 2018 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Living donor kidney transplantation (LDKT) is the best renal replacement therapy (RRT) for patients with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD). It provides an improved survival of both graft and patient, and a low rate of surgical complications as it is a programmed intervention.^{1,2} In Spain, LDKT accounted for 11.4% of all kidney transplants performed in 2016.³ The benefits provided by this type of transplant in terms of morbidity, mortality and quality of life for recipients,⁴ plus the advances in surgical techniques, preparation and subsequent follow-up of the donor, have made possible to be more flexible in the necessary criteria for renal donation.

Renal donation does not imply biological benefits for those who voluntarily submit to it.

The evolution of renal function, morbidity and mortality in kidney donors has been discussed for years but the literature is not conclusive. Some classic studies with intermediate and long-term follow-up have ruled out a higher risk of CKD or death in renal donors as compared with the general population,^{5,6} however more recent studies using a better matched healthy control group, find a small increase in the absolute risk of CKD in the very long term, cardiovascular disease and even death in the kidney donor.^{7,8} This risk could be restricted to donors related to patients with genetic causes of renal disease.⁷ For all these reasons it is essential to carry out a meticulous and responsible selection process, informing about the risks to each potential donor and preserving the principle of autonomy in each patients.⁹

One year after donation, the remaining kidney manages to contribute up to 70% of renal function prior to nephrectomy.¹⁰ Recent studies indicate that in the remnant kidney, vasodilation and increased renal plasma flow (RPF) occur immediately after nephrectomy. These changes, together with a still not well characterized process of glomerular hypertrophy, make the glomerular filtration of the remaining kidney to increase approximately 40%, without a concomitant increase in the glomerular capillary pressure.^{11,12} The compensatory capacity of the remaining kidney, and consequently the renal function that the donor reaches are essential to assess the degree of morbidity associated to donation. The objective of our study was to estimate the prognostic value of renal function prior to nephrectomy to estimate the compensation of subsequent renal function and if there are baseline factors related to the donor that allow us to predict the degree of renal recovery from the remaining kidney. Know the differences in the compensatory response between different subgroups of donors will be useful to inform future donors.

Methods

This was a retrospective observational study of a cohort of renal donors who consecutively underwent nephrectomy for renal donation between January 2001 and December 2015 at the Hospital del Mar, Barcelona.

The demographic, medical and analytical characteristics were analyzed considering baseline renal function and one

year post-nephrectomy of the 66 donors who completed this follow-up period.

Evaluation of renal function pre-donation

The glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was measured by renogram with ^{99m}Tc -DTPA between 2 and 6 months before the nephrectomy. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was obtained using the plasma creatinine-based formulas MDRD-4 and CKD-EPI in the live donor study, as described in previous studies of our group.¹³ The creatinine value closest to the date of the donation was taken as the reference.

Evaluation of renal function one year after donation

The assessment of renal function one year after nephrectomy was made using the MDRD-4 and CKD-EPI formulas. We evaluated the compensation of renal function at one year of donation as the percentage of eGFR reached by the remaining kidney per year with respect to baseline eGFR. The calculation was performed using eGFR by MDRD-4, as in other studies,^{14,15} using the formula:

(Renal compensation rate):(FG at one year of nephrectomy/baseline FG) * 100.

We compared donors with a compensation greater and lower than 70% one year after donation and analyzed baseline characteristics to identify factors that predict the degree of renal compensation.

Evaluation of the absolute change of glomerular filtration rate

The absolute change in eGFR was obtained by subtracting the eGFR one year after donation from the initial eGFR, (calculated by MDRD-4). Given that one year after donation the expected reduction in GFR is between 25 and 40 ml/min¹⁶ we chose 40 ml/min as a cutting point to classify the donors, according to their reduction of FG per year.

Statistic analysis

The quantitative variables with a normal distribution were shown as mean with standard deviation; the categorical variables are presented as frequency/percentage. The variables that did not follow a normal distribution were expressed as median and interquartile range. In all continuous variables the normal distribution was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Comparisons were established between the groups described by Student's "t" analysis for continuous variables or Chi-square or Fisher's test for categorical variables. A multivariate analysis was performed using binary logistic regression to evaluate the relationship between different baseline variables and an increase in eGFR or "compensation" of more than 70% one year after nephrectomy, expressed as OR, *p*-value and 95% confidence interval. A linear regression analysis between continuous basal variables and the rate of compensation was performed. The same analysis was performed for the absolute change of eGFR. To do this, different models were established separating the

variables collinearity. All statistical analysis were performed with the SPSS program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) version 20.0. A *p* < 0.05 value was considered statistically significant.

Results

Donors characteristics

Table 1 shows the baseline demographic, anthropometric and values of renal function of the donor cohort.

Evolution of renal function

One year after donation, serum creatinine was significantly increased as compared with baseline (1.1 vs. 0.78 mg/dl, *p* < 0.001) and a reduction in eGFR as calculated by MDRD-4 (58.9 vs. 89.3 ml/min, *p* < 0.001) and by CKD-EPI (65.4 vs. 95.4 ml/min, *p* < 0.001). This implies an average loss of 34% of eGFR by MDRD-4 and 31.5% by CKD-EPI in relation to the renal function (Fig. 1).

One year after the donation, by MDRD-4, 2 donors (3%) maintained a eGFR of 30–45 ml/min, 38 donors (57.5%) had between 45–60 ml/min and 26 (39.4%) had a eGFR > 60 ml/min. According to CKD-EPI, one donor (1.5%) had eGFR of 30–45 ml/min, 26 (39.4%) had eGFR of 45–60 ml/min and 39 (59%) with had a GFR > 60 ml/min.

Evaluation of the rate of compensation to the year of the donation and predictive factors

The average rate of compensation one year after the donation was $67.6 \pm 13.1\%$ as assessed by MDRD4 and $69.2 \pm 12.1\%$

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics and renal function one year after nephrectomy in the donor cohort.

Age at the time of donation (mean \pm SD, years)	48.8 \pm 10.0
Gender, women (%)	74.2
Race (n, %)	
Caucasian	58 (87.8)
Hispanic	6 (9)
Black	1 (1.5)
Asian	1 (1.5)
Body mass index (mean \pm SD)	26.4 \pm 3.8
Smoking (n, %)	27 (41)
HTN (n, %)	4 (6)
Obesity (n, %)	2 (3)
Dyslipidemia (n, %)	22 (33)
Basal creatinine (mean \pm SD; mg/dl)	0.78 \pm 0.14
Baseline MDRD-4 eGFR (mean \pm SD; ml/min)	89.32 \pm 19.8
eGFR baseline CKD-EPI (mean \pm SD; ml/min)	95.4 \pm 17
Creatinine after one year mg/dl (mean \pm SD)	1.1 \pm 0.20
eGFR MDRD-4 ml/min per year (mean \pm SD)	58.9 \pm 10.3
eGFR CKD-EPI ml/min per year (mean \pm SD)	65.4 \pm 12.6
Absolute change eGFR MDRD-4 ml/min per year (mean \pm SD)	30.41 \pm 16
Absolute change eGFR CKD-EPI ml/min per year (mean \pm SD)	30.1 \pm 3.5
Rate of Compensation using MDRD (%)	67.6 \pm 13.1
Rate of Compensation using CKD-EPI (%)	69.2 \pm 12.1

SD: standard deviation; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; HTN: arterial hypertension.




Fig. 1 – Decreased renal function one year post-nephrectomy.

by CKD-EPI. The profiles of the donors with compensations higher and lower than 70% after one year of donation were compared (Table 2).

The variables that predict a compensation >70% one year after donation by MDRD-4 were a higher baseline creatinine (A vs. B 0.73 ± 0.14 vs. 0.82 ± 0.11 ; $p=0.03$) and lower GFRFG estimated by either MDRD-4 (A vs. B 97.7 ± 18.8 vs. 78.6 ± 9.60 ml/min; $p < 0.001$) or CKD-EPI (A vs. B 101.7 ± 15 vs. 88.3 ± 11.7 ml/min; $p \leq 0.001$). Age, sex, smoking, obesity or BMI, hypertension or dyslipidemia were not significantly associated with the rate of compensation of renal function. Also, the variable FGm-TcDTPA did not show association with the degree of increase in eGFR. Multivariate analysis was performed using different models that included baseline creatinine and baseline eGFR for MDRD4 or eGFR for CKD-EPI. The baseline eGFR by MDRD-4 remained the only independent predictor of compensation, the inverse relationship being that,

the higher the baseline eGFR, the lower the compensatory capacity >70%, (OR = 0.94 [95% CI, 0.8–0.9], $p=0.04$).

The rate of renal function compensation showed a positive correlation with baseline creatinine ($r=0.46$; $p < 0.001$) and a negative correlation with baseline GFR, both estimated by MDRD-4 ($r=-0.73$; $p < 0.001$) as per CKD-EPI ($r=-0.51$; $p=0.001$). The compensation was 0.4% ($p < 0.001$) and 0.3% ($p=0.006$) lower for each ml/min of baseline eGFR calculated by MDRD-4 and CKD-EPI respectively.

Table 2 shows the evolution of the eGFR according to the rate of compensation.

Evaluation of absolute change in glomerular filtration rate

The absolute change of eGFR was calculated. The eGFR decreased by 30.41 ± 16 using MDRD-4 and by 30.1 ± 13.5 ml/min using CKD-EPI.

Donors were grouped among those with a decrease in eGFR greater or lower than of 40.9 ml/min of the baseline GFR. Table 3 shows the differences between the two groups and it is also shown shows renal after one year depending on whether the loss of GFR had been greater or lower than 40 ml/min.

Donors that had lost >40 ml/min of baseline eGFR had higher basal GFR by MDRD-4 (101.9 ± 10.7 vs. 84.6 ± 20.4 ml/min; $p \leq 0.001$) and by CKD-EPI (109.1 ± 12.6 vs. 90.3 ± 15.6 ml/min; $p \leq 0.001$).

Absolute loss of eGFR showed a negative correlation with baseline creatinine ($r = -0.3$, $p < 0.001$) and positive correlation with baseline eGFR, MDRD-4 ($r=0.5$; $p < 0.001$). The absolute loss of eGFR was 0.5 ml/min greater for each ml/min of baseline eGFR ($p < 0.001$).

Table 2 – Prognostic factors for a renal function compensation rate greater than 70% after one year (12 m) of donation and evolution of renal function after one year of donation according to compensation rate > or <70%.

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
	eGFR 12 m < 70% (N = 38)	eGFR 12 m > 70% (N = 28)	p	OR (IC 95%)	p
Donor age (mean ± SD, years)	48.8 ± 11	48.9 ± 10.7	0.9		
Gender, women (n, %)	29 (67)	19 (86)	0.08		
Caucasian race (n, %)	37 (86)	20 (87)	0.4		
IMC (mean ± SD)	25.6 ± 2.9	27.6 ± 4.6	0.04	1.05 (0.9–1.2)	0.5
Smoking (n, %)	20 (47)	7 (30)	0.4		
HTN (n, %)	1 (2%)	3 (13%)	0.08		
Obesity (n, %)	3 (7%)	6 (26%)	0.09		
Dyslipidemia (n, %)	12 (28%)	10 (43%)	0.2		
Basal creatinine, mg/dl (mean ± SD)	0.79 ± 0.14	0.83 ± 0.14	0.04	30 (0.7–1225)	0.8
basal eGFR by MDRD, ml/min (mean ± SD)	94.5 ± 19.4	77.8 ± 15	0.01	0.94 (0.91–0.99)	0.01
basal eGFR by CKD-EPI (mean ± SD)	98.6 ± 14.4	85.9 ± 15	0.01	0.95 (0.9–0.99)	0.01
mGFR: Basal 99mTc-DTPA (mean ± SD)	102.3 ± 24.4	96.4 ± 19.7	0.4		
	eGFR 12 m < 70% (N = 38)	eGFR 12 m > 70% (N = 28)	p		
Creatinine per mg/dl (mean ± SD)	1.14 ± 0.21	1.01 ± 0.16	0.02		
eGFR MDRD-4 ml/min per year (mean ± SD)	57.49 ± 10.6	61.7 ± 9.1	0.1		
eGFR CKD-EPI ml/min per year (mean ± SD)	63.6 ± 12.9	68.9 ± 11.5	0.1		
Absolute change eGFR MDRD-4 ml/min per year (mean ± SD)	38.5 ± 11.99	14.31 ± 9.9	<0.001		
Absolute change eGFR CKD-EPI ml/min per year (mean ± SD)	36.9 ± 8.5	16.4 ± 11.1	<0.001		

SD: standard deviation; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; mGFR measured glomerular filtration; HTN: arterial hypertension; BMI: body mass index.

Table 3 – Prognostic factors of a loss of eGFR > 40 ml/min with respect to baseline renal function one year (12 m) after donation and the evolution of renal function according to absolute loss of GFR with respect to basal: > or <40 ml/min.

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
	Absolute change eGFR 12 m > 40 ml/min	Absolute change eGFR 12 m < 40 ml/min	p	OR (CI 95%)	p
Donor age (mean, years)	45.6 ± 13.3	50 ± 9.6	0.1		
Gender, woman (n, %)	10 (5.5)	39 (81.2)	0.03		
Caucasian (n, %)	15 (83.3)	43 (89.5)	0.5		
BMI (mean ± SD)	25.6 ± 2.6	26.5 ± 4	0.4	1.05 (0.9–1.2)	0.5
Smoking (n, %)	9 (50)	18 (37.5)	0.3		
HTN (n, %)	0 (0)	4 (8.3)	0.2		
Obesity (n, %)	0 (0)	2 (4.1)	0.2		
Dyslipidemia (n, %)	3 (16.6)	19 (39.6)	0.07		
Basal creatinine, mg/dl (mean ± SD)	0.72 ± 0.12	0.80 ± 0.15	0.06	30 (0.7–1225)	0.8
Basal eGFR (MDRD) ml/min (mean ± SD)	101.86 ± 10.7	84.62 ± 20.4	0.001	0.94 (0.91–0.99)	0.01
Basal eGFR (CKD-EPI) ml/min (mean ± SD)	109.1 ± 12.6	90.3 ± 15.6	<0.001	0.95 (0.9–0.99)	0.01
Basal mGFR, (99m Tc-DTPA) (mean ± SD)	108.7 ± 25.4	97.2 ± 20.5	0.08		
		Absolute change in eGFR 12 m > 40 ml/min	Absolute change in eGFR 12 m < 40 ml/min		p
Serum Creatinine after one year, mg/dl (mean ± SD)		1.14 ± 0.21	1.01 ± 0.16		0.02
eGFR, ml/min (MDRD-4) after one year (mean ± SD)		57.49 ± 10.6	61.7 ± 9.1		0.1
eGFR, ml/min (CKD-EPI) after one year (mean ± SD)		63.6 ± 12.9	68.9 ± 11.5		0.1
Absolute change in eGFR (ml/min) after one year (MDRD-4) (mean ± SD)		38.5 ± 11.99	14.31 ± 9.9		<0.001
Absolute change in eGFR (ml/min) after one year (CKD-EPI) (mean ± SD)		36.9 ± 8.5	16.4 ± 11.1		<0.001

SD: standard deviation; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; mGFR measured glomerular filtration rate; HTN: arterial hypertension; BMI: body mass index.

Discussion

In this study living kidney donors we have analyzed the effect of the renal function prior to nephrectomy as well as other baseline variables on the ability to compensate for subsequent loss of renal function after nephrectomy. The evaluation of the renal compensation rate revealed that donors with higher creatinine, and therefore a lower baseline eGFR, compensated more than those with a higher eGFR. Most published studies analyzed the ability of renal donors to achieve a certain value of eGFR, generally greater than 60 ml/min, considering that this function demonstrates an adequate evolution after donation. The proportion of donors that does not reach this value varies according to the series between 10 and 91%.^{15,16} Studies that assess the baseline factors associated with the recovery of baseline renal function agree that a higher baseline GFR predicts better renal function one year after donation.¹² Our results are similar: a higher baseline FG is a predictor of reaching >60 ml/min of eGFR a year after donation (data not shown). However, the suitability of this criterion for the evaluation of renal function is not clear. The GFR below 60 ml/min which is considered to establish CKD in the general population¹⁷ is not applicable to healthy renal donors who, although suffering from a decrease in their renal mass, preserve the function of the remaining kidney.^{18,19}

Numerous studies have described the process by which the remaining kidney increases the GFR after nephrectomy of the contralateral kidney. In humans, immediately after nephrectomy the renal flow increases, so that despite the fact that

half of the renal mass is removed, the GFR reaches 70% of the previous renal function.^{10,12} Recent morphometric studies indicate that the flow increases in parallel to the renocortical volume and the ultrafiltration coefficient, calculated using mathematical models, and conclude that the increase in post-nephrectomy GFR can be explained exclusively by the increase in flow without an increase in glomerular pressure.¹¹

Consistent with previous studies, in our donors, the mean eGFR one year after donation fell to around 30% of the previous GFR.¹⁶ Taking into consideration that optimal recovery is to reach 70% of baseline renal function at one year after nephrectomy, our objective was to study the evolution of renal function according to this criterion. Our results show that although donors with a higher baseline GFR are more likely to achieve a eGFR >60 C/ml/min after one year, a lower baseline eGFR is associated with greater degree compensation after the completion of one year after donation. The analysis of the absolute loss of eGFR, revealed that the donors who lost >40 ml/min of the baseline eGFR started with higher eGFR. This is due to the fact that the donors that compensate the most had a lowest absolute decrease in eGFR. The underlying physiological mechanisms that may explain this finding are unknown. A possibility is that individuals with a lower baseline GFR present more efficient compensation mechanisms (greater vasodilation capacity, greater glomerular hypertrophy, etc.). A limited number of studies in the literature have evaluated the rate of kidney compensation,^{14,15} therefore more studies are required and with longer follow-up time to analyze whether the renal compensation rate predicts the long-term evolution of renal function.

Apart from baseline renal function, no other characteristics were identified at baseline that could predict an adequate renal compensation rate one year after donation. Although there are studies that assess the importance of some factors to reach a eGFR >60 ml/min after nephrectomy,^{6,16,20} there are only few studies that evaluate prognostic factors of renal compensation rate.¹⁵ The results on the influence of the different factors studied are not homogeneous. Some studies have described that patients with a higher body mass index are more likely not to reach a eGFR >60 ml/min after nephrectomy,^{6,12} however this concept is not uniform among the authors.²¹ Age decreases the number of nephrons and increases the degree of arteriosclerosis.¹⁵ Although previous studies indicate that donors older than 60 years have lower renal function before and after nephrectomy,^{6,22} no significant differences were found in the percent of decrease in renal function as compared to younger donors. Dols et al.²³ described that after an initial decrease in the GFR, that was not different in patients older or younger than 60 years, there was no evidence of an accelerated loss of eGFR being no different than in the general population: 5–10 ml/min per decade. Recently, another study confirmed that the renal compensation rate does not differ according to age.¹⁵ Most studies have not demonstrated an effect of gender per se on the evolution of renal function after nephrectomy.^{14,20,22} Similarly, several publications associate the African-American race with a worse long-term evolution of renal function,²⁴ although no short-term differences have been demonstrated after nephrectomy. There are also no uniform results about the role of arterial hypertension.^{20,25}

The main limitation of our study is being unicentric retrospective observational and with a limited number of donors. The low ethnic variability and low prevalence of obesity among our donors makes difficult to explore the impact of these factors. In addition the GFR was not measured after one year of nephrectomy because it was not established in our routine clinical protocol.

In our experience, donors with higher creatinine and lower baseline GFR show the highest rate of renal compensation after the same period of follow-up. Only renal function reached significance as a predictor of the compensation rate. Further studies and longer follow-up are necessary to evaluate the suitability of the renal compensation rate as a criterion to evaluate the evolution of renal function in renal donors.

Financing

This study has been carried out, in part, thanks to the financing of the FIS-FEDER projects PI13/00598, FIS-FEDER PI16/00617 and RETIC Redinren FEDER RD16/0009/0013 (Redinren).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest related to the content of this article

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Sara Álvarez, Montserrat Folgueiras, Anna Faura, Maria Vera, Raquel Martín, Ernestina Junyent and the entire nephrology nursing team at Hospital del Mar for their invaluable collaboration in this project.

REFERENCES

- Domínguez-Gil B, Pascual J. El trasplante renal de donante vivo en España: una gran oportunidad. *Nefrología*. 2008;28:143–7.
- Review and position paper by ERA-EDTA DESCARTES working group Maggiore U, Budde K, Heeman U, Hilbrands L, Oberbauer R, Oniscu GC, et al. Long-term risks of kidney living donation. *NDT*. 2017;32:216–20.
- Memoria de Actividad. ONT 2016. Available from: <http://www.ont.es/infesp/Memorias/Memoria%20Renal.pdf> 14.
- Meier-Kriesche HU, Kaplan B. Waiting time on dialysis as the strongest modifiable risk factor for renal transplant outcomes: a paired donor kidney analysis. *Transplantation*. 2002;74:1377–81.
- Ibrahim HN, Foley R, Tan L, Rogers T, Bailey RF, et al. Long-term consequences of kidney donation. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:459–69.
- Segev DL, Muzaale AD, Caffo BS, Mehta SH, Singer AL, et al. Perioperative mortality and long-term survival following live kidney donation. *JAMA*. 2010;303:959–66.
- Mjoen G, Hallan S, Hartmann A, Foss A, Midtvedt K, Øyen O, et al. Long-term risks for kidney donors. *Kidney Int*. 2014;86:162–7.
- Muzaale AD, Massie AB, Wang MC, Montgomery RA, McBride MA, Wainright JL, et al. Risk of end-stage renal disease following live kidney donation. *JAMA*. 2014;311:579–86.
- Pascual J, Abramowicz D, Cochat P, Claas F, Dudley C, Harden P, et al. European renal best practice guideline on the management and evaluation of the kidney donor and recipient. *Nefrología*. 2014;34:293–301.
- Kohn AG, Ogden DA, Holmes JH. Renal function in 29 healthy adults before and after nephrectomy. *JAMA*. 1966;196:322–4.
- Lenihan CR, Busque S, Derby G, Blouch K, Myers BD, Tan JC. Longitudinal study of living. Kidney donor glomerular dynamics after nephrectomy. *J Clin Invest*. 2015;125:1311–8.
- Rook M, Hofker HS, van Son WJ, Homan van der Heide JJ, Ploeg RJ, Navis GJ. Predictive capacity of pre-donation GFR and renal reserve capacity for donor renal function after living. *Kidney donation*. *Am J Transplant*. 2006;6:1653–9.
- Burballa C, Crespo M, Redondo-Pachón D, Pérez-Sáez MJ, Mir M, Arias-Cabrales C. MDRD o CKD-EPI en la estimación del filtrado glomerular del donante renal vivo. *Nefrología*. 2018;38:207–12.
- Velosa A, Griffina M, Larson T, Gloora J, Schwaba T, Sterioff S, et al. Can a transplanted living donor kidney function equivalently to its native partner? *Am J Transplant*. 2002;2:252–9.
- Taner T, Iqbal CW, Textor SC, Stegall MD, Ishitani MB. Compensatory hypertrophy of the remaining kidney in medically complex living kidney donors over the long term. *Transplantation*. 2015;99:555–9.
- Lentine KL, Kasiske BL, Levey AS, Adams PL, Alberú J, Bakr MA, et al. KDIGO clinical practice guideline on the evaluation and care of living kidney donors. *Transplantation*. 2017;101 Suppl. 1:S1–109.
- Kasiske BL, Anderson-Haag T, Ibrahim HN, Pesavento TE, Weir MR, Nogueira JM, et al. A prospective controlled study of

- kidney donors: baseline and 6-month follow-up. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2013;62:577-86.
18. KDIGO Clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. Chapter 1: definition and classification of CKD. *Kidney Int.* 2013;3:19-62.
 19. Barri Y, Parker T, Kaplan B, Glassock R. Primum non nocere: is chronic kidney disease staging appropriate in living kidney transplant donors? *Am J Transplant.* 2009;9:657.
 20. Garg AX, Muirhead N, Knoll G, Yang RC, Prasad GV, Thiessen-Philbrook H, et al., Donor Nephrectomy Outcomes Research (DONOR) Network. Proteinuria and reduced kidney function in living donors: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Kidney Int.* 2006;70:1801-10.
 21. Reese PP, Feldman HI, Asch DA, Thomasson A, Shults J, Bloom RD. Short-term outcomes for obese live kidney donors and their recipients. *Transplantation.* 2009;88:662.
 22. Saran R, Marshall SM, Madsen R, Keavey P, Tapson JS. Long-term follow-up of kidney donors: a longitudinal study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 1997;12:1615-21.
 23. Dols LF, Kok NF, Roodnat JJ, Tran TC, Terkivatan T, Zuidema WC, et al. Living kidney donors: impact of age on long-term safety. *Am J Transplant.* 2011;11:737-42.
 24. Lentine K, Schnitzler M, Garg AX, Xiao H, Axelrod D, Tuttle-Newhall JE, et al. Race relationship and renal diagnoses after living kidney donation. *Transplantation.* 2015;99:1723-9.
 25. Tent H, Sanders JS, Rook M, Hofker HS, Ploeg RJ, Navis G, et al. Effects of preexistent hypertension on blood pressure and residual renal function after donor nephrectomy. *Transplantation.* 2012;93:412-7.