

Original article

Successful correction of metabolic acidosis is difficult to achieve in chronic kidney disease[☆]

Fernando Caravaca-Fontán*, Rosa Díaz-Campillejo, Julián Valladares, Cristina López Arnaldo, Sergio Barroso, Enrique Luna, Francisco Caravaca

Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital Universitario de Badajoz, Badajoz, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 May 2019

Accepted 16 September 2019

Available online 20 June 2020

Keywords:

Metabolic acidosis

Sodium bicarbonate

Chronic kidney disease

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Metabolic acidosis (MA) is a common complication of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and is associated with numerous adverse effects, which is why its correction is highly recommended. Oral sodium bicarbonate is the current treatment of choice.

Objectives: To describe the prevalence of MA in advanced CKD patients and to determine the clinical and biochemical characteristics associated with its successful correction.

Material and methods: Retrospective, observational cohort study in adult patients with CKD stage 4–5. The inclusion criteria were: not being treated with alkali therapy at the time of inclusion, and to have at least three consecutive glomerular filtration rate (GFR) measurements and biochemical parameters during a minimum follow-up period of 3 months. Incident patients with serum bicarbonate < 22 mEq / l were included in the follow-up study and treated with oral sodium bicarbonate. Correction was considered successful when more than half of the samples and the mean bicarbonate levels during individual follow-up were ≥ 22 mEq / l.

Results: The study group consisted of 969 patients (age 65 ± 14 years, 507 males) with a mean GFR of 14.8 ± 4.5 mL / min / 1.73 m². At baseline, 530 patients (55%) had serum bicarbonate < 22 mEq / l. They were treated with sodium bicarbonate and followed for 15 months. Satisfactory correction of MA was only achieved in 133 patients (25%). By multivariate logistic regression analysis, the main characteristics of patients with adequate control of MA were: age (OR = 1.03; 95% CI 1.01–1.05), baseline GFR (OR = 1.07; 1.02–1.12), and treatment with proton-pump inhibitors (OR = 1.61; 95% CI 1.06–2.44). Patients who achieved successful correction of MA showed slower CKD progression (-1.67 ± 3.71 vs -4.36 ± 4.56 ml / min / 1.73 m² / year, $P < 0.0001$), and lower average serum potassium concentration (5.1 ± 0.5 vs 5.3 ± 0.5 , $P < .0001$) than those who did not. However, there were no differences in the hospitalization or mortality rate.

DOI of original article:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2019.09.006>

* Please cite this article as: Caravaca-Fontán F, Díaz-Campillejo R, Valladares J, Arnaldo CL, Barroso S, Luna E, et al. Acidosis metabólica en la enfermedad renal crónica: dificultades para una corrección adecuada. Nefrología. 2020;40:328–335.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fcaravacaf@gmail.com (F. Caravaca-Fontán).

2013-2514/© 2019 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Conclusion: MA is a common complication of advanced CKD but difficult to manage with current therapies. Due to the significant potential benefit of controlling MA, new, more effective therapies should be further researched.

© 2019 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Acidosis metabólica en la enfermedad renal crónica: dificultades para una corrección adecuada

R E S U M E N

Palabras clave:

Acidosis metabólica
Bicarbonato sódico
Enfermedad renal crónica

Introducción: La acidosis metabólica (AM) es una alteración frecuente en la enfermedad renal crónica (ERC) que se asocia a numerosas complicaciones, por lo que su corrección es recomendable. El bicarbonato sódico oral es actualmente el tratamiento de elección.

Objetivos: Describir la prevalencia de AM en la ERC avanzada, y determinar cuáles son las características clínicas y bioquímicas que se asocian a una corrección adecuada.

Material y métodos: Estudio retrospectivo de observación en una cohorte de pacientes adultos con ERC estadio 4-5. Los criterios de inclusión fueron: no estar siendo tratado con alcalinos en el momento de la inclusión, y tener al menos 3 medidas consecutivas de filtrado glomerular (FG) y parámetros bioquímicos durante un periodo > 3 meses. Los pacientes incidentes con un bicarbonato sérico < 22 meq/l se incluyeron en estudio de seguimiento, siendo tratados con bicarbonato sódico oral. Se consideró que la corrección fue adecuada cuando más de la mitad de las muestras, y la media de los niveles de bicarbonato durante el seguimiento individual fueron ≥ 22 meq/l.

Resultados: Se incluyeron 969 pacientes (edad 65 ± 14 años, 507 hombres) con filtrado glomerular (FG) medio $14,8 \pm 4,5$ ml/min/1,73 m². Basalmente, 530 pacientes (55%) que mostraron un bicarbonato sérico < 22 meq/l, fueron tratados con bicarbonato sódico y seguidos durante 15 meses. En tan solo 133 pacientes (25%) se alcanzó una corrección satisfactoria de la AM. Por regresión logística multivariable las principales características de los que se logró el control adecuado de la AM fueron: edad (OR = 1,03; I.C.95% 1,01 – 1,05), FG basal (OR = 1,07; 1,02 – 1,12), y tratamiento con inhibidores de bomba protones (OR = 1,61; I.C.95% 1,06 – 2,44). En aquellos en los que se logró corrección de AM tuvieron progresión más lenta de ERC ($-1,67 \pm 3,71$ vs. $-4,36 \pm 4,56$ ml/min/1,73 m²/año, $p < 0,0001$) y menor concentración de potasio sérico promedio ($5,1 \pm 0,5$ vs. $5,3 \pm 0,5$, $p < 0,0001$) que los del resto de pacientes, aunque no se observaron diferencias ni en ni en la tasa de ingresos hospitalarios y mortalidad.

Conclusión: La AM es una alteración frecuente en la ERC avanzada, pero de difícil corrección con los tratamientos actuales. Debido al importante beneficio que puede suponer el control de la AM, se deberían investigar nuevas terapias más efectivas.

© 2019 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Metabolic acidosis (MA) is frequent in patients chronic kidney disease (CKD).^{1–3} The deterioration of the renal function causes a reduction in the net excretion of acids resulting a positive balance of hydrogen ions. When the glomerular filtration falls below 20–25 ml / min, there is a reduction of bicarbonate in the blood.^{1–3} With more sensitive biochemical analysis, such as urinary excretion of ammonium^{1,2,4} or citrate,⁵ it can be shown that this metabolic defect begins in earlier stages of CKD and even before a reduction serum bicarbonate is documented.

The negative effects of MA on CKD have been the subject of numerous studies showing its association with abnormalities in bone,^{6,7} metabolic and inflammatory disorders,^{8,9} endocrine alteration,^{10–12} progression of CKD^{13–18} and mortality.^{19–21} Interestingly, correction of MA improves or reverses many of these abnormalities^{22–27} which support the recommendation of an active treatment of MA.

The treatment of MA associated with CKD before the need for dialysis has been based on providing alkalis, either through the diet (fruits and vegetables),²⁸ administration of bicarbonate salts^{29,30} and reduction of the dietary intake of acids (reduction of protein intake).³¹

Oral sodium bicarbonate is currently the treatment of choice due to its availability, tolerance and absence of serious adverse effects^{29,30}; although not expensive medicine, it is not being financed by health services, which increases the risk of nonadherence to the treatment.

The objectives of the present study were to analyze the prevalence of MA in advanced CKD, and to determine the factors associated with its inadequate or incomplete correction despite active attempt to treat this metabolic alteration. The results of this study could help to improve the models of alkaline prescription in CKD.

Material and methods

Retrospective longitudinal observational study in a cohort of adult patients diagnosed with CKD stages 4–5 not on dialysis, followed up in the advanced CKD outpatient clinic (ACKD clinic) during the period from January 2000 to December 2016. The selection criteria were: not being treated with alkalis at the time of inclusion, or with certain specific drugs (eg sevelamer hydrochloride) or clinical situations that could worsen MA (hemodynamic instability, lactic acidosis, urinary tract obstruction, etc.), being followed in the ACKD clinic for more than 3 months and have carried out during this time at least 3 measurements of renal function, serum bicarbonate and biochemical parameters of interest.

All patients were referred to the ERCA consultation for progressive deterioration of kidney function. Demographic and clinical data, and information on prescribed medication was obtained from medical records as well as physical examination, and history. Comorbidity was assessed at the time of inclusion, using the Davies index,³² and patients were categorized into 3 groups: no comorbidity, mild-moderate, and severe.

All samples and biochemical analysis were processed in the same central laboratory by conventional methods (Advia Chemistry Autoanalyzer, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, New York, USA), using fresh samples (not stored), and both calibrations and Creatinine traceability was performed according to the recommendations of international NKDEP standards.³³ Glomerular filtration was estimated using the abbreviated formula MDRD.³⁴ The determination of serum bicarbonate was performed in less than 15 min from the extraction of the venous sample, using a gasometric analyzer (ABL800 FLEX, Radiometer Ibérica, Spain).

Patients were followed-up regularly, with visits to the outpatient clinic every 30–90 days. To determine the rate of CKD progression in each patient, a linear regression was performed between the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) estimated in each control and the time elapsed since the first appointment, with a precision of days. The resulting slope of this linear equation was expressed in $\pm \text{ml} / \text{min} / 1.73 \text{ m}^2 / \text{year}$; negative or positive values of this parameter meant a progression of renal failure or recovery of renal function, respectively.

All patients who presented a basal serum bicarbonate $< 22 \text{ mEq} / \text{l}$ were prescribed a diet with reduction of animal protein, without restriction of fruits and vegetables, and oral sodium bicarbonate with doses that were adjusted trying to maintain a serum bicarbonate equal to greater than $22 \text{ mEq} /$

l or less than $29 \text{ mEq} / \text{l}$, according to the recommendations of the *National Kidney Foundation*³⁵ and *KDIGO*.³⁶ The average dose of oral sodium bicarbonate was recorded in every visit and it was expressed as total daily amount taken adjusted for body weight.

Study design and statistical methods

Retrospective longitudinal observation study in a cohort of patients with advanced CKD. In each patient, all measurements of serum bicarbonate obtained during their follow-up were collected.

Those patients who at baseline had serum bicarbonate $< 22 \text{ mEq} / \text{l}$ were included in the longitudinal study.

The correction of bicarbonate was considered adequate if more than half of the sample measurements, and the average bicarbonate levels during the individual follow-up period were $\geq 22 \text{ mEq} / \text{l}$. Additionally, there were also analyzed the determinants of a less strict MA correction defined as an average serum bicarbonate during the follow-up period $\geq 20 \text{ mEq} / \text{l}$.

The differences observed between patients with or without control of MA were analyzed using multiple logistic regression. In these two groups there were also compared the progression of CKD (slope of glomerular filtration / time ratio), mortality rate and hospital admissions.

Parametric or non-parametric tests were used for the descriptive comparison of the continuous variables, depending on their characteristics; Chi-square test was used for the categorical variables.

Descriptive statistical data is presented as mean and standard deviation, or as median and interquartile ranges (IQ) for continuous variables, and as percentages for categorical variables. A $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant, and all p values shown are bilateral. Statistical analyzes were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 24.0 software (IBM Corp. Armonk, USA).

Results

The total study group included 969 patients (age 65 ± 14 years, 507 men) with a mean GFR of $14.8 \pm 4.5 \text{ ml} / \text{min} / 1.73 \text{ m}^2$. **Table 1** shows the characteristics of the total group and those patients that initially presented with or without MA.

530 patients (55%), showed MA from the beginning. The main characteristics of these patients, compared with those who did not present it, were: younger, lower comorbidity and diabetes, lower GFR, higher serum potassium concentration and lower frequency of diuretic treatment (**Table 1**).

These 530 patients with initial MA were treated with oral sodium bicarbonate and formed the longitudinal follow-up study group.

The median follow-up period was 443 days (IQ ranges: 233–818 days), and the number of total serum bicarbonate samples analyzed during this period was 4,899, with a median of 7 (IQ ranges: 5–12) samples per patient.

The average dose of oral sodium bicarbonate prescribed in the group of patients was $1813 \pm 1004 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$ ($21.6 \pm 11.9 \text{ mEq}$

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics of the total study group and of those with or without initial metabolic acidosis.

	Total group N = 969	Without initial acidosis N = 439 (45%)	With initial acidosis N = 530 (55%)	p*
Age, years (± SD)	65 (14)	67 (13)	64 (14)	0.006
Gender, man / woman	507/462	230/209	277/253	0.968
Comorbidity index (0, 1, 2)	378/492/99	147/229/63	231/263/36	< 0.0001
Diabetes,%	37	43	32	< 0.0001
Body mass index, kg / m ²	29.6 (5.9)	29.8 (5.7)	29.4 (6.1)	0.263
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	158 (27)	158 (26)	158 (28)	0.701
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	87 (14)	86 (14)	88 (14)	0.130
Serum bicarbonate, mEq / l	21.6 (3.7)	24.8 (2.2)	18.9 (2.2)	< 0.0001
Glomerular filtration rate, ml / min / 1.73 m ²	14.8 (4.5)	15.9 (4.6)	13.9 (4.2)	< 0.0001
Serum sodium, mEq / l	141 (3)	141 (3.2)	140 (3.2)	0.297
Serum potassium, mEq / l	5.1 (0.7)	4.9 (0.6)	5.2 (0.8)	< 0.0001
Serum chlorine, mEq / l	106 (8)	104 (8)	108 (6)	< 0.0001
Anion Gap, mEq / l	18 (4)	16 (3)	19 (4)	< 0.0001
Hemoglobin, g / dl	11.5 (5.4)	11.9 (5.4)	11.3 (5.5)	0.083
Proteinuria, g / g creatinine	2.09 (2.39)	2.14 (2.62)	2.06 (2.19)	0.637
Calcium salts,%	59	51	66	< 0.0001
Diuretics,%	65	72	59	< 0.0001
ACEI / ARA,%	76	75	77	0.510
Beta blockers,%	25	29	23	0.038
Calcium antagonists,%	48	49	48	0.710
Proton-pump inhibitor, %	40	43	37	0.047

ARA: angiotensin receptor antagonists; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.

* Statistical significance; differences between subgroup with or without initial acidosis.

/ day), or 24.8 ± 14.7 mg / kg body weight / day (0.29 ± 0.17 mEq / kg / day).

In only 133 patients (25%) was the objective of adequate correction of MA achieved. The main characteristics of these patients, compared with those of the rest of the study group, are shown in the Table 2.

The patients in whom MA was corrected were older, had better residual renal function, but paradoxically the prescribed doses of oral sodium bicarbonate were lower (Table 2). Also patients with better MA control were treated more frequently with proton pump inhibitors. No other significant differences were observed.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis, without including oral sodium bicarbonate doses as independent variable due to their negative relationship with the dependent variable, showed that the best determinants of adequate correction of MA were: age, baseline GFR, and treatment with Proton-pump inhibitor (Table 3).

If the oral sodium bicarbonate dose is introduced as a dependent variable, this turned out to be one of the main determinants, although negative, of a satisfactory correction of MA, to the detriment of treatment with proton pump inhibitors (Table 4).

Throughout the follow-up period, the correction of MA (mean plasma bicarbonate concentration ≥ 20 mEq/l) was achieved in 385 patients (73%). Multivariate logistic regression revealed that the main determinants of this outcome were initial serum bicarbonate (OR: 1.315; 95% CI: 1.200-1.442; $p < 0.0001$) and treatment with proton pump inhibitors (OR: 1683; CI. 95%: 1,091–2,595; $p = 0.019$), and neither age nor initial kidney function were determinants in the best prediction equation.

The correction MA was associated with slower CKD progression (Table 5); there were significant differences in the progression (slope of the glomerular filtration / time) according to the degrees of correction of MA (Fig. 1)

By contrast, no significant differences were observed in the hospital admission rate or in the mortality (Table 5).

Discussion

The results of this study show that MA has a high prevalence in advanced CKD (55%), and that an appropriate correction with oral sodium bicarbonate is not easily achieved. Adequate control of MA was accomplished in only 25% of the treated patients; a control of MA was defined by a serum bicarbonate greater than or equal to 22 mEq / l. However, mean serum bicarbonate levels higher than 20 mEq / l were achieved in more than 70% of the treated patients.

Numerous studies have shown the adverse effects in relation with CKD-associated MA.^{6–21} The correction of MA has been estimated as adequate, by consensus, if serum bicarbonate concentrations reach and are maintained equal or above 22 mEq / l^{35,36} although overcorrection has been associated with cardiovascular risks.¹³

The oral sodium bicarbonate is currently the treatment of choice for MA of CKD patients.^{29,30} Although inexpensive and safe, the results of the present study show that the real efficacy of this treatment was below the expectations. Based on some results of this study, some reasons for this poor response could be contemplated, such as: a lack of adherence to treatment and / or a poor pharmacological availability of sodium bicarbonate for oral administration.

Table 2 – Characteristics of patients with metabolic acidosis treated and with adequate or inadequate response.

	Adequate response N = 133 (25%)	Inadequate response N = 397 (75%)	p*
Age, years	69 (13)	63 (15)	< 0.0001
Gender, man / woman	64/69	213/184	0.269
Comorbidity, (0, 1, 2)	45/78/10	186/185/26	0.031
Diabetes,%	34	31	0.541
Body mass index, kg / m ²	29.5 (6.3)	29.4 (6.0)	0.857
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	157 (30)	159 (27)	0.447
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	86 (15)	88 (14)	0.136
Initial serum bicarbonate, mEq / l	19.4 (1.9)	18.8 (2.3)	0.006
Average bicarbonate, mEq / l	23.4 (1.2)	20.2 (1.4)	< 0.0001
Initial glomerular filtration rate, ml / min / 1.73 m ²	14.9 (3.9)	13.6 (4.3)	0.002
Initial serum sodium, mEq / l	140 (3)	140 (3)	0.857
Initial serum potassium, mEq / l	5.1 (0.6)	5.2 (0.7)	0.129
Time-average serum potassium, mEq / l	5.1 (0.5)	5.3 (0.5)	< 0.0001
Initial serum chloride, mEq / l	107 (10)	108 (4)	0.022
Initial anion gap, mEq / l	18 (4)	19 (4)	0.558
Hemoglobin, g / dl	11.1 (1.6)	11.4 (6.2)	0.630
Proteinuria, g / g creatinine	1.756 (2.171)	2169 (2.187)	0.059
Average dose of oral sodium bicarbonate, mg / day	1296 (776)	1987 (1013)	< 0.0001
Average dose of weight-adjusted oral sodium bicarbonate, mg / kg / day	18 (12)	27 (15)	< 0.0001
Treatment with calcium salts,%	65	67	0.699
Diuretics,%	62	58	0.514
ACEI / ARA,%	74	78	0.420
Beta blockers,%	25	22	0.490
Calcium antagonists,%	44	48	0.395
Proton-pump inhibitor, %	49	33	0.001

ARA: angiotensin receptor antagonists; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.

* Statistical significance of the comparison between the 2 subgroups.

Table 3 – Logistic regression on the determinants of adequate correction of metabolic acidosis.

	Univariate		Multivariable	
	Odds ratio (95% CI OR)	p	Odds ratio (95% CI OR)	p
Age, years	1.028 (1.011–1.045)	0.001	1.030 (1.013–1.046)	< 0.0001
Gender, male = 1	0.744 (0.483–1.144)	0.178		
Basal glomerular filtration rate, ml / min / 1.73 m ²	1.076 (1.025–1.130)	0.003	1.072 (1.023–1.124)	0.004
Comorbidity index, 0, 1, 2	1.110 (0.729–1.693)	0.626		
Diabetes mellitus, 0, 1	0.858 (0.503–1.462)	0.573		
Body mass index, kg / m ²	0.995 (0.959–1.034)	0.810		
Initial serum bicarbonate, mEq / l	1.108 (0.998–1.231)	0.055		
Diuretic, 0, 1	1.050 (0.674–1.635)	0.830		
ACEI / ARA, 0, 1	0.848 (0.511–1.406)	0.523		
Beta Blocker, 0, 1	1.089 (0.653–1.813)	0.745		
Calcium antagonist, 0, 1	0.819 (0.540–1.241)	0.346		
Proton pump inhibitor, 0, 1	1.626 (1.049–2.523)	0.030	1.607 (1.061–2.435)	< 0.0001

ARA: angiotensin receptor antagonists; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.

Table 4 – Logistic regression; determinants of a satisfactory correction of metabolic acidosis by entering the prescribed dose of oral sodium bicarbonate as a dependent variable.


	Odds ratio (95% CI OR)	p
Age, years	1.027 (1.011–1.044)	0.001
Baseline glomerular filtration rate, ml / min / 1.73 m ²	1.058 (1.008–1.111)	0.022
Oral dose of sodium bicarbonate, g / day	0.455 (0.351–0.590)	< 0.0001

Out of the best predictive equation: proton pump inhibitors (OR: 1,461, 95 % CI: 0.944–2.261; p = 0.089).

Table 5 – Outcome variables according to the degree of correction of metabolic acidosis.

	Proper correction ^a	Without proper correction	p	Partial correction ^b	No partial correction	p
No. of patients, (%)	133 (25)	397 (75)		385 (73)	145 (27)	
Slope Glomerular filtration, rate ml/min / 1.73 m ² / year	-1.676 ± 3.711	-4.352 ± 4.563	< 0.0001	-3.077 ± 4.142	-5.282 ± 5.052	< 0.0001
Hospital admissions; days / year	3.01 ± 5.43	2.98 ± 6.61	0.957	2.77 ± 5.56	3.56 ± 8.01	0.198
Annual mortality rate, patient-years	13.6	12.6	0.250	12.4	15.6	0.312

^a Average serum bicarbonate \geq 22 mEq / l.
^b Average serum bicarbonate \geq 20 mEq / l.

**Fig. 1 – Graphical representation (box plot) of CKD progression according to subgroups: no correction, partial or complete correction of metabolic acidosis. Student's t-test (p value) from the comparison between the subgroups.**

The negative relationship between the prescribed dose and the probability of adequate control of AM may suggest a non-compliance with the prescribed treatment. Oral sodium bicarbonate is generally well tolerated, although adverse gastrointestinal effects are not uncommon: bloating, meteorism, or complaints of bad taste of the medication when taken as diluted powder.²⁹ In addition, this medication is not covered by the different regional health administration in the country, which hinders its correct compliance by a population not used to the disbursement, even of a small amount, of pharmacological treatments.

The mean dose of sodium bicarbonate was approximately 1800 mg per day (0.3 mEq / kg of body weight). Prescribing higher doses of oral sodium bicarbonate did not improve the results.

In an experimental study on the efficacy of oral sodium bicarbonate, in a small group of patients with CKD and mild

acidosis, it was shown that the increase in serum bicarbonate after 2 weeks of treatment correlated with the total amount of oral sodium bicarbonate prescribed.²³ In our patients the absence of a positive correlation between the dose of oral sodium bicarbonate prescribed and the correction AM reinforces the hypothesis of a possible lack of adherence as a cause of the observed therapeutic failure. Another alternative and / or complementary explanation could be a poor pharmacological availability of oral sodium bicarbonate. In the present study there was an association between the use of proton pump inhibitors and a better correction of MA suggesting an improved better pharmacological availability of oral sodium bicarbonate.

When a patient ingest oral sodium bicarbonate, there is a rapid chemical reaction with hydrochloric acid in the stomach, which results in the production of H₂O, CO₂ and ClNa.³⁷ Excess non-neutralized bicarbonate in the stomach passes

into the small intestine where it is absorbed. The increase in gastric pH stimulates parietal cells to secrete more ClH into the gastric lumen. This forced secretion of ClH leads to the formation and passage of bicarbonate to the perigastric capillaries and the systemic circulation. This abrupt increase in bicarbonate production may exceed the maximum tubular reabsorption capacity and it is eliminated in the urine.³⁷ Thus, the administration proton pump inhibitors to block stomach H⁺ production may reduce the impact of these reactions, facilitating the absorption of bicarbonate in the small intestine and preventing a loss of urinary bicarbonate. Inhibition of gastric acidity could also improve tolerance and treatment compliance by reducing gastric gas (CO₂) formation a main cause of adverse gastrointestinal effects of this medication.²⁹

Nevertheless, we do not believe that proton pump inhibitors should be indicated as specific adjuvants in the treatment of MA. Instead, we believe that galenic forms of sodium bicarbonate that could prevent the reaction with gastric acid, with slower and more sustained release throughout the gastrointestinal tract, would improve the tolerance and availability of the prescribed doses.

Another alternative to sodium bicarbonate could be citrate salts^{26,38,39} which although probably better tolerated, have the problem of increasing intestinal absorption of aluminum.⁴⁰ Clinical studies are evaluating a chlorine and hydrogenation in the gastric lumen (insoluble polymer TRC101),⁴¹ with effects that mimics the metabolic consequences of vomiting (negative balance of chlorine and hydrogen), which causes significant increases in serum bicarbonate levels in patients with CKD, with the advantage of not providing any cation.

As in numerous previous studies,^{24–28} adequate, and even incomplete, correction of MA in our patients was associated with slower progression of CKD, although due to the study design it cannot be established the causality of this association. A significantly lower mean serum potassium concentration was also observed in patients with adequately corrected MD as compared to the rest of patients. Although the pathogenic relationship between hyperkalemia and MA in CKD may be reciprocal,⁴² the results of this study would indicate the benefit of adequate correction of MA on the control of serum potassium in CKD.

This study has limitations. Due to the retrospective design, firm causal relationships cannot be established, and since it was performed in a single center, with some specific treatment criteria, the results may not be generalized.

The adherence was not analyzed rigorously by monitoring medication consumption or request for prescriptions, therefore the lack of adherence should be considered as assumption based on the indirect findings of the study and the daily information provided by the patients. The differences in the efficacy of oral sodium bicarbonate according to the pharmacological forms used (powder for solution, tablets or capsules) were not analyzed.

In conclusion, MA is a very frequent alteration in advanced CKD, that is difficult to correct with current treatments. Due to the important benefits of an adequate correction of MA, new forms of treatment should be investigated, including dietary recommendations, that allow for greater efficacy and adherence.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Goraya N, Simoni J, Sager LN, Pruszyński J, Wesson DE. Acid retention in chronic kidney disease is inversely related to GFR. *J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2018;314:F985–91.
2. Kraut JA, Madias NE. Metabolic acidosis of CKD: an update. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2016;67:307–17.
3. Moranne O, Froissart M, Rossert J, Gauci C, Boffa JJ, Haymann JP, et al. NephroTest Study Group. Timing of onset of CKD-related metabolic complications. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2009;20:164–71.
4. Raphael KL. Metabolic acidosis and subclinical metabolic acidosis in CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2018;29:376–82.
5. Goraya N, Simoni J, Sager LN, Madias NE, Wesson DE. Urine citrate excretion as a marker of acid retention in patients with chronic kidney disease without overt metabolic acidosis. *Kidney Int*. 2019;95:1190–6.
6. Kraut JA. Disturbances of acid-base balance and bone disease in end-stage renal disease. *Semin Dial*. 2000;13:261–5.
7. Khairallah P, Isakova T, Asplin J, Hamm L, Dobre M, Rahman M, et al. Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study Investigators. Acid load and phosphorus homeostasis in CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2017;70:541–50.
8. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Mehrotra R, Fouque D, Kopple JD. Metabolic acidosis and malnutrition-inflammation complex syndrome in chronic renal failure. *Semin Dial*. 2004;17:455–65.
9. Eustace JA, Astor B, Muntner PM, Ikizler TA, Coresh J. Prevalence of acidosis and inflammation and their association with low serum albumin in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. 2004;65:1031–40.
10. Mak RHK. Effect of metabolic acidosis on insulin action and secretion in uremia. *Kidney Int*. 1998;54:603–7.
11. Esche J, Shi L, Sánchez-Guijo A, Hartmann MF, Wudy SA, Remer T. Higher diet-dependent renal acid load associates with higher glucocorticoid secretion and potentially bioactive free glucocorticoids in healthy children. *Kidney Int*. 2016;90:325–33.
12. Brungger M, Hulter HN, Krapf R. Effect of chronic metabolic acidosis on the growth hormone IGF-1 endocrine axis: new cause of growth hormone insensitivity in humans. *Kidney Int*. 1997;51:216–21.
13. Dobre M, Yang W, Chen J, Drawz P, Hamm LL, Horwitz E, et al. CRIC Investigators. Association of serum bicarbonate with risk of renal and cardiovascular outcomes in CKD: a report from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) study. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2013;62:670–8.
14. Scialla JJ. The balance of the evidence on acid-base homeostasis and progression of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. 2015;88:9–11.
15. Driver TH, Shlipak MG, Katz R, Goldenstein L, Sarnak MJ, Hoofnagle AN, et al. Low serum bicarbonate and kidney function decline: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2014;64:534–41.
16. Goldenstein L, Driver TH, Fried LF, Rifkin DE, Patel KV, Yenckel RH, et al. Health ABC Study Investigators. Serum bicarbonate concentrations and kidney disease progression in community-living elders: the Health, Aging, and Body Composition (Health ABC) Study. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2014;64:542–9.
17. Harambat J, Kunzmann K, Azukaitis K, Bayazit AK, Ganpolat N, Doyon A, et al. 4C Study Consortium. Metabolic acidosis is

- common and associates with disease progression in children with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2017;92:1507-14.
18. Shah SN, Abramowitz M, Hostetter TH, Melamed ML. Serum bicarbonate levels and the progression of kidney disease: a cohort study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2009;54:270-7.
 19. Raphael KL, Zhang Y, Wei G, Greene T, Cheung AK, Beddhu S. Serum bicarbonate and mortality in adults in NHANES III. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2013;28:1207-13.
 20. Kovesdy CP, Anderson JE, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Association of serum bicarbonate levels with mortality in patients with nondialysis-dependent CKD. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2009;24:1232-7.
 21. Navaneethan SD, Schold JD, Arrigain S, Jolly SE, Wehbe E, Raina R, et al. Serum bicarbonate and mortality in stage 3 and stage 4 chronic kidney disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;6:2395-402.
 22. Kraut JA, Madias NE. Adverse effects of the metabolic acidosis of chronic kidney disease. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2017;24:289-97.
 23. Abramowitz MK, Melamed ML, Bauer C, Raff AC, Hostetter TH. Effects of oral sodium bicarbonate in patients with CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013;8:714-20.
 24. de Brito-Ashurst I, Varagunum M, Raftery MJ, Yaqoob MM. Bicarbonate supplementation slows progression of CKD and improves nutritional status. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009;20:2075-84.
 25. Goraya N, Simoni J, Jo CH, Wesson DE. Treatment of metabolic acidosis in patients with stage 3 chronic kidney disease with fruits and vegetables or oral bicarbonate reduces urine angiotensinogen and preserves glomerular filtration rate. *Kidney Int.* 2014;86:1031-8.
 26. Phisitkul S, Khanna A, Simoni J, Broglio K, Sheather S, Rajab MH, et al. Amelioration of metabolic acidosis in patients with low GFR reduced kidney endothelin production and kidney injury, and better preserved GFR. *Kidney Int.* 2010;77:617-23.
 27. Dubey AK, Sahoo J, Vairappan B, Haridasan S, Parameswaran S, Priyamvada PS. Correction of metabolic acidosis improves muscle mass and renal function in chronic kidney disease stages 3 and 4: a randomized controlled trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2018. Publicación electrónica anticipada.
 28. Goraya N, Simoni J, Jo CH, Wesson DE. A comparison of treating metabolic acidosis in CKD stage 4 hypertensive kidney disease with fruits and vegetables or sodium bicarbonate. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013;8:371-81.
 29. Loniewski I, Wesson DE. Bicarbonate therapy for prevention of chronic kidney disease progression. *Kidney Int.* 2014;85:529-35.
 30. Hu MK, Witham MD, Soiza RL. Oral bicarbonate therapy in non-haemodialysis dependent chronic kidney disease patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *J Clin Med.* 2019;8:E208.
 31. Garneata L, Stancu A, Dragomir D, Stefan G, Mircescu G. Ketoanalogue-supplemented vegetarian very low-protein diet and CKD progression. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2016;27:2164-76.
 32. Davies SJ, Russell L, Bryan J, Phillips L, Russell GI. Comorbidity, urea kinetics, and appetite in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients: their interrelationship and prediction of survival. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1995;26:353-61.
 33. Myers GL, Miller WG, Coresh J, Fleming J, Greenberg N, Greene T, et al. National Kidney Disease Education Program Laboratory Working Group. Recommendations for improving serum creatinine measurement: a report from the Laboratory Working Group of the National Kidney Disease Education Program. *Clin Chem.* 2006;52:5-18.
 34. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999;130:461-70.
 35. National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for bone metabolism and disease in chronic kidney disease. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2003;42 suppl 3:S1-201.
 36. Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2013;3:1-150.
 37. Goraya N, Wesson DE. Management of the metabolic acidosis of chronic kidney disease. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2017;24:298-304.
 38. Simon EE, Hamm LL. The role of bicarbonate in CKD: evidence bulks up. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013;8:703-5.
 39. Kovesdy CP. Metabolic acidosis as a possible cause of CKD: what should clinicians do? *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2014;64:481-3.
 40. Drüeke TB. Intestinal absorption of aluminium in renal failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2002;17 Suppl 2:13-6.
 41. Bushinsky DA, Hostetter T, Klaerner G, Stasiv Y, Lockey C, McNulty S, et al. Randomized, Controlled trial of TRC101 to increase serum bicarbonate in patients with CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2018;13:26-35.
 42. Lee Hamm L, Hering-Smith KS, Nakhoul NL. Acid-base and potassium homeostasis. *Semin Nephrol.* 2013;33:257-64.