

Review

Florentino Villanego*, Javier Naranjo, Luis Alberto Vigara, Juan Manuel Cazorla, Maria Elisa Montero, Teresa García, Julia Torrado, Auxiliadora Mazuecos

Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital Universitario Puerta del Mar, Cádiz, Spain

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 10 September 2019 Accepted 19 January 2020

Keywords:

Physical activity Chronic kidney disease Dialysis Revision Meta-analysis

ABSTRACT

Physical exercise may offer multiple benefits to patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). However, it was not traditionally recommended because of the possibility of impairing renal function and increasing proteinuria. The objective of this study is to review the clinical trials on physical exercise in patients with CKD and describe its effect on the progression of kidney disease and other factors associated. Randomised clinical trials (RCT) comparing an intervention that included an exercise component with a control group without physical exercise in non-dialysis patients with CKD from 2007 to 2018 in English and Spanish were included. PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Ovid (Medline) and PEDro databases were used for the search. Effects of physical exercise were summarised by the standardised mean difference (SMD). No differences were found in glomerular filtration rate or proteinuria between the intervention group and the control group: SMD -0.3 (p = 0.81); SMD 26.6 (p = 0.82). Positive effects were obtained on peak oxygen consumption: SMD 2.5 (p < 0.001), functional capacity: SMD 56.6 (p < 0.001), upper limb strength: SMD 6.8 (p < 0.001) and haemoglobin: SMD 0.3 (p = 0.003). An improvement on the quality of life was also evident using the KDQOL-36 survey: SMD 3.56 (p = 0.02) and the SF-36 survey: SMD 6.66 (p = 0.02). In conclusion, the practice of low-intensity physical exercise routinely has no negative impact on renal function. On the contrary, it improves aerobic and functional capacity, impacting positively on the quality of life.

© 2020 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/).

DOI of original article:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2020.01.002.

* Please cite this article as: Villanego F, Naranjo J, Vigara LA, Cazorla JM, Montero ME, García T, et al. Impacto del ejercicio físico en pacientes con enfermedad renal crónica: revisión sistemática y metaanálisis. Nefrologia. 2020;40:237–252.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: tino.villanego@gmail.com (F. Villanego).

2013-2514/© 2020 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Palabras clave:

Ejercicio físico Enfermedad renal crónica Diálisis Revisión Metaanálisis

Impacto del ejercicio físico en pacientes con enfermedad renal crónica: revisión sistemática y metaanálisis

RESUMEN

El ejercicio físico podría ofrecer múltiples beneficios al paciente con enfermedad renal crónica (ERC). No obstante, tradicionalmente no se recomendaba por la posibilidad de deteriorar la función renal y aumentar la proteinuria. El objetivo del estudio es revisar los ensayos sobre ejercicio en pacientes con ERC y describir su impacto sobre la progresión de la enfermedad renal y otros factores asociados. Se seleccionaron ensayos clínicos aleatorizados desde 2007 a 2018, en inglés y en español, que compararan un grupo intervención con un componente de ejercicio con un grupo control sin ejercicio físico en pacientes con ERC en prediálisis. Para la búsqueda se emplearon las bases de datos PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Ovid (Medline) y PEDro. Los efectos del ejercicio sobre las variables analizadas se resumieron calculando la diferencia de medias estandarizada (DME). No se encontraron diferencias en el filtrado glomerular ni en la proteinuria entre el grupo intervención y el grupo control (DME: -0,3; p = 0,81; DME: 26,6; p = 0,82). Se obtuvieron efectos positivos sobre el consumo pico de oxígeno (DME: 2,5; p<0,001), la capacidad funcional (DME: 56,6; p<0,001), la fuerza en miembros superiores (DME: 6,8; p < 0,001) y la hemoglobina (DME: 0,3; p = 0,003). También se evidenció mejoría sobre la calidad de vida usando los cuestionarios KDQOL-36 (DME: 3,56; p = 0,02) y SF-36 (DME: 6,66; p = 0,02). En conclusión, la práctica de ejercicio de forma rutinaria y a baja intensidad no tiene impacto negativo sobre la función renal. Por el contrario, mejora la capacidad aeróbica y funcional, repercutiendo positivamente en la calidad de vida.

© 2020 Sociedad Española de Nefrología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) represents a state of significant oxidative stress, proinflammation and malnutrition. This leads to the accumulation of metabolic waste products and alterations in homeostasis which affect many target organs, including the cardiovascular system, which gradually leads to reduced physical capacity and increased mortality.^{1–3} Alterations also occur in the locomotor system, such as osteoporosis and loss of muscle mass.⁴ Sarcopenia is present from the early stages of the disease and its prevalence increases in the more advanced stages, which is correlated with higher mortality and disability rates, and an increased risk of falls, fractures and hospitalisation.^{5–7}

Traditionally, doing physical activity was not recommended to patients with CKD due to the possibility of impairing kidney function and increasing proteinuria.⁸ Today it is known that a sedentary lifestyle can be both the cause and the consequence of kidney disease progression, meaning that physical activity is reduced as glomerular filtration rate decreases. This is a key point which should be acted on, as it is a modifiable factor with a clear impact on the survival of these patients.^{9,10}

Within the health recommendations, it is advised to include regular physical activity from the initial stages, which improves physical and psychological condition at the same time as reducing mortality and offering a greater quality of life.^{4,10} However, despite the fact that the benefits of exercise in CKD patients seem evident, it is still not clear which parameters related to CKD are improved by physical activity or which

is the best training programme. Nor is it included routinely within the integrated management of these patients.^{9–11}

The main objective of this paper is to systematically review the studies on physical activity carried out in CKD patients who do not yet require renal replacement therapy (RRT) and to describe the impact of physical activity on kidney disease progression. As a secondary objective, the effect of physical activity on cardiovascular risk factors, physical condition, quality of life and mortality are reviewed.

Material and methods

Data source and search strategy

The databases PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Ovid (MEDLINE) and PEDro were used for the search. The following keywords were entered: "exercise", "physical activity", "physical exercise", "physical function", "resistance training", "quality of life", "randomised controlled trial" and "chronic kidney disease". All the above terms and their combinations were included.

Selection criteria

Randomised clinical trials (RCT) published between 2007 and 2018, both in English and Spanish, which compared an intervention that included an exercise component with a control without exercise in CKD patients not on dialysis were selected. Studies were included if authors used words such as "random" to describe the method of assigning subjects to groups. The review and inclusion of the articles cited was carried out by one single investigator.

Opinion articles, communications at congresses and single cases were excluded. Likewise, trials with patients on haemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis or kidney transplant patients, trials which included patients with a history of heart conditions (decompensated heart failure, unstable angina or arrhythmias) articles with lung disease patients (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, bronchial asthma, chronic bronchitis), articles which included patients with degenerative neurological conditions or disorders of the locomotor system and articles in languages other than those mentioned above were excluded.

The interventions were classified according to the nature of the main phase of the exercise: aerobic interventions or aerobic interventions combined with load exercises. No restrictions were made on the frequency or intensity of the exercise, although a minimum duration of 12 weeks was required.

Statistical analysis

The data analysis was carried out using the RevMan Analysis program, version 5.3. The results were presented as mean and standard deviation with a 95% confidence interval (CI) for the continuous variables, which was summarised based on the calculation of the standardised mean difference (SMD). In the case of qualitative variables, the results were expressed as frequencies and odds ratios (OR). As a mean, the difference between the means of the pre- and post-intervention variables were used, using the standard deviation following the intervention. The results are presented using "forest plot".

Heterogeneity among the trials was assessed with the I^2 and X^2 heterogeneity tests. Values of $I^2 > 50\%$ and $X^2 < 0.1$ were considered to be indicative of significant heterogeneity. In this case, the random effects model was used for the meta-analysis. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Quality assessment

Once the search had been completed, a critical review was carried out to rule out the studies which should not be included in the review (not related to the objectives and/or methodological criteria). To assess the methodological quality of the RCTs, we used the Jadad scale, which scores clinical trials from 0 to 5, considering them to be poor quality if the score is less than 3.¹²

Results

Description of the studies

A total of 465 references with potentially useful reports were found: 219 in PubMed, 89 in Scopus, 107 in Embase, 50 in Ovid (MEDLINE) and none in PEDro. The number of articles ruled out was 433. After adjusting for records duplicated from the title and abstract, 32 reports were left to review completely. In the end, 21 studies were included for the analysis. The search for the selection of studies included and the reasons for exclusion are shown in Fig. 1. Table 1 summarises the main aspects of the works included.

Subjects

In the 21 studies reviewed, a total of 927 patients (of whom 603 were male) were included. Four studies^{13–16} excluded women to avoid differences attributable to gender.

The main causes of kidney disease reported in the studies included are primary and secondary glomerulopathies (13.2%), diabetes mellitus (11.6%), nephroangiosclerosis (12.7%) and polycystic kidney disease (2.2%).

Nine^{14,15,17–23} of the studies included offer information on the comorbidity of the sample. The mean prevalence of the most common diseases was: 30.8% for diabetes mellitus, 18.5% for hypertension, 8.9% for dyslipidaemia, 5% for cardiovascular disease and 3.8% for peripheral vascular disease.

Exercise programmes

The frequency of the sessions was three times per week in 14 studies,^{13–17,19,20,23–29} with an approximate duration of 30 min. Some included weekly increases in the exercise time up to 45–50 min per session.^{17,19,20,24,25} Two studies programmed two weekly sessions and another two included five sessions.^{30,31} Only one programme carried out daily sessions.¹⁹ The remaining programmes did not specify the frequency of the sessions.^{21,22,32}

The intensity of the exercise in most of the studies was mild-moderate. By measuring it using the maximal oxygen uptake calculated, this varied between 40 and 60%.^{15,17,20,24,25,30} Other interventions established the intensity of the exercise on the Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE) scale at a mean of 11–15 points out of 20.^{21,22,28,29,33} One study applied the "talk test".³²

Ten studies reported having been supervised throughout the entire intervention by professionals.^{15,20,23–27,30,31} Nine articles included a first guided phase (1–8 weeks) with a second part without direct supervision, although they maintained regular follow-up of the patients via phone calls or interviews.^{14,18,19,21,22,28} The remaining studies reported not having carried out regular follow-up of the patients during the intervention.^{13,17,29,32}

Outcome measures

Glomerular filtration rate was analysed in 11 studies, using the CKD-EPI equation in five of them^{15,17,19,20,30} and the MDRD (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease) equation in six of them^{21–23,26,27,33}. Proteinuria was studied in six trials: three of them measured it using the albumin to creatinine ratio^{13,14,21} and three measured 24-hour urinary albumin.^{16,26,30} Only one of the studies included a measure of patient survival, as well as the time up to starting dialysis.³⁰

Several outcome measures were used to evaluate physical condition. Aerobic capacity was analysed in 13 studies using peak oxygen uptake (VO₂ max.), defined as the maximum amount of oxygen that the body can absorb, transport and consume per unit time.^{14–20,22–26,28} In another programme,

Table 1 – Charac	Table 1 – Characteristics of the studies included.												
Study and year of publication	Objective	Type of study	Participants	Interventions	Results								
Hiraki et al. (2017)	To analyse the effect of physical activity on kidney function and muscle strength of arms and legs in patients with CKD not on dialysis	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 14) vs. (n = 14) Duration: 12 months	Female:Male (F:M) = 0:28 Age: 68.5 ± 6.5 years Inclusion: CKD stage III-IV patients with stable kidney function. Patients with uncontrolled hypertension and heart failure, motor disorders and dementia were excluded	Aerobic exercises (walking quickly) and resistance exercises (grip strength building, squats and heel raises) at home Frequency: 3 times/week. Duration: 30 min per session No direct supervision	Grip strength increased in upper limbs ($p = 0.01$) and knee extension strength increased in the intervention group ($p < 0.01$). No differences were found in the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ($p = 0.93$) or in proteinuria ($p = 0.52$)								
Leehey et al. (2016)	To analyse whether adding an aerobic exercise and resistance programme to routine treatment reduces proteinuria in patients with CKD, DM type 2 and obese patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 18) vs. (n = 14) Duration: 52 weeks	F:M = 0:36 Age: 66 ± 8.1 years Inclusion: DM type 2, BMI >30 kg/m ² , CKD II-IV and persistent proteinuria (>200 mg/g of creatinine for >3 months) Absence of moderate or severe heart failure (HF), COPD, history of stroke with sequelae, kidney transplant or inability to walk on a treadmill	Aerobic exercise (walking on a treadmill, elliptical trainer or exercise bike) and resistance exercises of lower limbs (elastic bands or load exercises on a machine) Frequency: 3 times/week Duration: 60 min of resistance exercises per session Direct supervision	No differences in proteinuria (albumin to creatinine ratio) upon finishing the programme ($p = 0.44$) or in eGFR ($p = 0.58$)								
Baria et al. (2014)	To analyse the effect of aerobic exercise on body composition and to compare the results between exercise at home and exercise at a centre	RCT: 3 groups, intervention at a centre $(n = 10)$, intervention at home $(n = 8)$ vs. control $(n = 9)$ Duration: 12 weeks	F:M=0:27 Age: 52.1±9.5 years Inclusion: patients >18 years, CKD stage III–IV with a sedentary lifestyle, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) <8% and absence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), congestive HF or unstable angina	Aerobic exercise of low-moderate intensity (40–60% VO ₂ max.) Frequency: 3 times a week; duration: 30 min per session. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down Direct supervision	Visceral fat and waist circumference decreased in the intervention group ($p < 0.01$; p = 0.03) and lower limb strength increased (STS repetitions) ($p < 0.01$). Visceral fat increased in the control group ($p = 0.01$)								
Leehey et al. (2009)	To analyse the effects of physical activity on the cardiovascular system and proteinuria in diabetic patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 7) vs. (n = 6) Duration: 24 weeks	F:M = 0:13 Age: 66 (55–81) Inclusion: patients with CKD stage II-IV, DM type 2, BMI >30 with persistent proteinuria (>200 mg/g of creatinine for >3 months)	Aerobic exercise (walking) of moderate intensity (50–60% VO ₂ max.) Frequency: 3 times/week. Duration: 30 min per session. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down Without direct supervision	Aerobic capacity improved in the intervention group (greater exercise duration) ($p < 0.05$). No significant changes in the blood pressure levels at rest or in 24-h proteinuria ($p > 0.05$)								
Gomes et al. (2017)	To analyse the effect of aerobic exercise on bone turnover markers of overweight patients with CKD	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 24) vs. (n = 14) Duration: 24 weeks	F:M = 12:27 Age: 55.5 ± 8.3 years Inclusion: patients >18 years, CKD stage III-IV, BMI >25 kg/m ² . Absence of COPD, congestive heart failure or unstable angina	Low-moderate intensity aerobic exercise (40–60% VO ₂ max.) without supervision (walking in parks or in the street), or with direct supervision (on a treadmill) Frequency: 3 times/week Duration: 30 min per session. Increase of 10 min per session every 4 weeks up to 8th week Supervision/no supervision	Alkaline phosphatase was the only bone turnover marker which increased in the intervention group ($p < 0.05$) Functional capacity improved in the intervention group (6MWT) ($p < 0.001$), as did aerobic capacity (VO ₂ max.) ($p < 0.001$) Kidney function showed no difference between both groups (CKD EPI) ($p = 0.209$)								

– Table 1 (Contin	ued)				
Study and year of publication	Objective	Type of study	Participants	Interventions	Results
Van Craenenbroeck et al. (2015)	To analyse whether an aerobic training programme improves peripheral endothelial function	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: $(n = 25)$ vs. (n = 23) Duration: 12 weeks	F:M = 18:22 Age: 53.18 \pm 13.0 years Inclusion: CKD stage III-IV patients and without established cardiovascular disease (coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease or cerebrovascular disease)	Aerobic exercise programme (cycling) at moderate intensity (90% of the maximum heart rate) Frequency: 4 sessions/day Duration: 10 min each session Partial supervision	No differences in vascular function in vivo (dilatation measured by brachial artery flow) (p = 0.900). Aerobic capacity improved in the intervention group (VO ₂ max.) $(p < 0.001)$
Aioke et al. (2015)	To analyse the benefits of a physical activity programme at home in CKD patients not on dialysis who are overweight	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 15) vs. (n = 14) Duration: 48 weeks	F:M = 27:35 Age: 55.1 ± 11.6 years Inclusion: CKD stage III-IV patients with stable kidney function and who had diabetes and/or hypertension as a cause of their kidney disease	Aerobic exercise at home (walking in the park, the street, etc.) Frequency: 3 times/week. Duration: 30 min per session. Increase of 10 min per session every 4 weeks up to 8th week. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down No direct supervision	Functional capacity improved in the intervention group (6MWT) (p = 0.028), which also developed more upper limb and lower limb strength (bicep curl rep and STS repetitions) $(p < 0.001, p < 0.001)$ as well as improved lung capacity (maximum voluntary ventilation) (p = 0.005) Reduced SBP and DBP $(p = 0.012, p = 0.038)$ and improved kidney function (CKD EPI) $(p = 0.046)$
Aioke et al. (2018)	To analyse the benefits of a physical activity programme at home or at a centre in CKD patients not on dialysis who are overweight	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 25) vs. (n = 15) Duration: 24 weeks	F:M = 15:25 Age: 55.5 \pm 8.3 years Inclusion: Patients aged 18–70 years, CKD stage III-IV with stable kidney function and BMI >25 kg/m ²	Aerobic exercise of low-moderate intensity (40–60% VO ₂ max.) Frequency: 3 times a week; duration: 30 min per session. Increase of 10 min per session every 4 weeks up to 8th week. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down Direct supervision	Functional capacity improved in the intervention group (6MWT) (p < 0.05), which also developed more upper limb and lower limb strength (bicep curl reps and STS repetitions) (p < 0.05, p < 0.05). Improvement in perceived quality of life and sleep quality (measured by the SF-36 scale) (p < 0.05)
Howden et al. (2015)	To analyse the efficacy, adherence and safety of a physical activity programme with a phase at a centre followed by another phase at home in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 36) vs. (n = 36) Duration: 12 weeks	F:M = 18:22 Age: 61.1 ± 9.1 years Inclusion: patients with CKD stage III-IV and one or more uncontrolled cardiovascular risk factors (HTN, BMI, glycaemic control, etc.)	Aerobic exercise (walking or jogging, cycling, rowing, etc.) of moderate intensity (RPE 13–15 points) and resistance training (weights or elastic bands) Frequency: not specified. Duration: 30 min per session Partial supervision	Aerobic capacity (MET) ($p < 0.001$) and functional capacity improved in the intervention group (6MWT) ($p < 0.001$) Upper limb strength improved (grip strength) ($p = 0.003$) and BMI decreased ($p = 0.07$). No differences in eGFR (MDRD) ($p = 0.3$) or in proteinuria (albumin to creatinine ratio) ($p = 0.9$)
Howden et al. (2013)	To analyse the effect of physical activity on breathing capacity and the cardiovascular system in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (<i>n</i> = 36) vs. (<i>n</i> = 36) Duration: 48 weeks	F:M = 27:45 Age: 61.1 ± 9.1 years Inclusion: patients with CKD stage III-IV and one or more uncontrolled cardiovascular risk factors (HTN, BMI, glycaemic control, etc.)	Aerobic exercise (treadmill, exercise bike, rowing, etc.) at moderate intensity (RPE of 11–13) and resistance exercises Frequency: 2–3 times/week Duration: 20–30 min per session. Preceded and followed by a warm-up and cool-down Partial supervision	The intervention group improved aerobic capacity (VO ₂ max.) (p = 0.004) and lost weight $(p = 0.02)$. The eGFR was similar in both groups (MDRD) $(p = 0.28)$

– Table 1 (Contin	ued)				
Study and year of publication	Objective	Type of study	Participants	Interventions	Results
Gregory et al. (2011)	To assess changes in the insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and their related factors in patients with CKD in stages III and IV after a progressive physical training programme	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. no intervention: (n = 10) vs. (n = 11) Duration: 48 weeks	Age: 54.9 ± 11.0 years Inclusion: patients with CKD II-IV and on treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs. No patient had been on a physical training programme before the start of the study	Aerobic exercise (treadmill, exercise bike) at moderate intensity (50–60% VO ₂ max.) and nutritional advice Frequency: 3 times/week Duration: 55 min per session. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down Direct supervision	No significant differences in any of the IGF system components (p > 0.05). Aerobic capacity (VO ₂ max.) $(p = 0.03)$ and exercise tolerance (total exercise time) (p < 0.01) improved in the intervention group
Headley et al. (2017)	To analyse the relationship between aerobic exercise and the acute and chronic blood pressure response in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 25) vs. (n = 21) Duration: 16 weeks	F:M = 13:30 Age: 58.5 ± 8.5 years Inclusion: CKD stage III-IV patients with stable kidney function and who had diabetes and/or hypertension as a cause of their kidney disease	Aerobic exercise at moderate intensity (50–60% VO ₂ max.) Frequency: 3 times/week Duration: 15–30 min per session. Progressive increases up to 55 min per session Direct supervision	The patients presented hypotension after one aerobic exercise session, without differences being found in their frequency between the control and intervention groups after 16 weeks of training ($p = 0.60$) There were no differences in systolic or diastolic blood pressure (measured on an outpatient basis) between both groups at the end of the study ($p = 0.67$, $p = 0.32$)
Headley et al. (2014)	To analyse the effect of short-term moderate intensity exercise on arterial stiffness in CKD stage III patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention (n = 25) vs. control (n = 21) Duration: 24 weeks	F:M = 16:30 Age: 57.5 ± 8.5 years Inclusion: CKD stage III-IV patients with stable kidney function and who had diabetes and/or hypertension	Moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (50–60% VO ₂ max.) Frequency: 3 times/week. Duration: 30 min per session. Progressive increase of time up to 55 min of exercise per session. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down Direct supervision	The VO ₂ peak ($p = 0.04$) increased in the intervention group, although the pulse wave velocity did not change ($p = 0.8$)
Headley et al. (2012)	To examine the effect of moderate-intensity training on kidney and vascular function in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 10) vs. (n = 11) Duration: 48 weeks	Age: 54.9 ± 11.0 years Inclusion: patients with CKD stage II-IV and aged 18–70. Patients were excluded if they had any contraindication to doing exercise	Moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (50–60% VO ₂ max.) Frequency: 3 times/week Duration: 45 min per session. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down Without direct supervision	Aerobic capacity (VO ₂ max.) improved in the intervention group ($p < 0.05$), as did LDL cholesterol ($p < 0.05$), with no changes in kidney function (MDRD). HR decreased (ambulatory and resting) ($p < 0.05$)

– Table 1 (Contin	ued)				
Study and year of publication	Objective	Type of study	Participants	Interventions	Results
Balakrishnan et al. (2010)	To analyse the effect of resistance training on the number of copies of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) of skeletal muscle and its association with the muscle phenotype (muscle mass and strength)	RCT: 2 groups, intervention (n = 13) vs. control (n = 10) Duration: 48 weeks	F:M = 6:17 Age: 64 ± 10 years Inclusion: adult patients with CKD stage III-IV with stable kidney function without treatment with renal replacement therapy	Resistance exercise (chest and leg press, knee extension and resistance training machines). At 80% intensity of one repetition maximum Frequency: 3 times/week Duration: 35 min per session. Preceded and followed by 5 min of warm-up and cool-down Direct supervision	Significant increase in muscle mtDNA in the intervention group $(p = 0.001)$. The change in the number of copies of muscle mtDNA was positively correlated with an increase in the muscle fibre cross-sectional area for type I $(r = 0.56, p = 0.01)$ and type II $(r = 0.46, p = 0.05)$.
Greenwood et al. (2015)	To analyse the effect of a moderate-intensity physical activity programme on kidney function and cardiovascular risk indices in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 8) vs. (n = 10) Duration: 12 months	F:M=3:15 Age: 53.5±13.1 years Inclusion: participants were included if they were >18 years and had evidence of a reduced GFR rate in the 12 months before the intervention	Aerobic exercise (exercise bike) of moderate intensity (RPE 11 points) and resistance exercise (bicep curl, leg press, knee extension, etc.) Frequency: 3 times/week. Duration: 20–40 min per session Partial supervision	The intervention group had less deterioration of eGFR (CKD-EPI) $(p = 0.02)$. They improved their pulse wave velocity $(p = 0.001)$, BMI $(p = 0.01)$ and weight $(p = 0.02)$ and waist circumference $(p = 0.003)$
Tang et al. (2017)	To analyse the effect of a physical exercise programme on physical function, psychological sphere and quality of life in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n=42) vs. (n=42) Duration: 12 weeks	F:M = 33:51 Age: 43.1 ± 14 years Inclusion: patients aged 18–70. CKD stage I-III with stable kidney function	Aerobic exercise (walking, cycling or jogging) of moderate intensity (12–15 points on the RPE) Frequency: 3 times/week. Duration: 20–30 min per session No direct supervision	Improvement in functional capacity in the intervention group (6MWT) ($p = 0.001$), as well as in lower limb strength (STS) ($p < 0.005$) In addition, an improvement in patient quality of life was observed (KDQOL-36) ($p = 0.002$)
Petcher et al. (2013)	To analyse the relationship of aquatic physical activity with mortality or initiation of dialysis in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: $(n = 7)$ vs. $(n = 9)$ Duration: 10 years	(F:M) = 7:9 Age: 49.7 (31–65) years Inclusion: patients with CKD stages III-V not on dialysis	Low-intensity aquatic aerobic exercise (40–50% VO ₂ max.) Frequency: 2 times/week Duration: 30 min per session (plus 10 min of warm-up and 10 min of cool-down) Direct supervision	No differences between both groups in the final endpoint of the study ($p = 0.089$). However, none of the members of the exercise group started dialysis or died in 10 years. In the control group, 55% of patients required initiation of renal replacement therapy or

passed away

– Table 1 (Continued)	1				
Study and year of publication	Objective	Type of study	Participants	Interventions	Results
Rossi et al. (2014)	To analyse the benefit of physical activity on physical condition and health-related quality of life in CKD stage III-IV patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 59) vs. (n = 48) Duration: 12 weeks	F:M=51:56 Age: 68.4 ± 12.4 years Inclusion: CKD stage III-IV patients with stable kidney function over the age of 18	Aerobic exercise (treadmill and/or exercise bike) and resistance exercises (upper and lower limb extensions and free weight exercises) Frequency: 2 times/week. Duration: 60 min per session Direct supervision	Functional capacity improved in the intervention group (6MWT) ($p < 0.001$). Health-related quality of life (RAND-36) improved in the items related to the physical sphere ($p < 0.001$)
Chen et al. (2010)	To analyse the effect of a personalised exercise programme on blood biochemistry values and other variables related to exercise in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n=45) vs. (n=49) Duration: 12 weeks	F:M = 20:74 Age: 73.2 (59–78) years Inclusion: patients with CKD stage III-V and stable kidney function without treatment with renal replacement therapy	Exercise adapted to the preferences and possibilities of each patient Frequency: 3–5 times/week Duration: 30 min per session Without direct supervision	Cholesterol levels were reduced in the intervention group (p < 0.001). No significant changes in haematocrit, haemoglobin or blood glucose $(p > 0.05)$
Koskamadis et al. (2011)	To analyse the effects of physical activity in CKD patients	RCT: 2 groups, intervention vs. control: (n = 18) vs. (n = 14) Duration: 24 weeks	F:M = 13:19 Age: 59.1 (31–83) years Inclusion: patients with CKD stage IV-V without renal replacement therapy. The exclusion criteria were age <18 years, pregnancy and functional or cardiovascular impairment which severely limited exercise capacity	Aerobic exercise (walking) of moderate intensity (RPE of 12–14) Frequency: 5 times/week. Duration: 30 min per session Without direct supervision	Exercise tolerance improved in the intervention group after the programme (increase in RPE during training) ($p < 0.001$). Quality of life also improved (FACIT-Sp questionnaire) ($p < 0.05$) and weight was reduced ($p = 0.007$)



Fig. 1 - Flow diagram of the selection of the articles included.

the MET (unit of measurement of the metabolic rate), which is the amount of energy consumed by an individual at rest, was analysed.²¹ Another of the studies included used the rating of perceived exertion.

Functional physical tests were implemented in six studies, using the six-minute walk test (6MWT) for this.^{17,19-21,29,31}

Muscle strength was measured in seven studies, measured by the number of reps of bicep curls^{19,20} and hand grips²¹ for upper-limb strength, and by the number of reps of the sit-tostand (STS) test^{15,19,20,29} for lower-limb strength.

In the analysis of body composition, anthropometric measurements such as body mass index (BMI), collected in ten trials,^{14,15,18–23,26,28} or waist circumference, analysed in five of the articles included^{15,22,23,26,28} were used.

Health-related quality of life was analysed in six studies. Three of them used the SF-36 questionnaire,^{14,20,25} two studies used the Kidney Disease Quality of Life (KDQOL-36)^{18,29} and another used the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Spiritual Well-Being (FACIT-Sp) Quality of Life Tool.³³

Evaluation of the quality of the studies

Despite the fact that the studies of this systematic review only included controlled clinical trials with random allocation, only 13 described the way in which the sequence was generated, with a score of 3 points on the Jadad scale.^{13–15,18,20–22,24,25,28,29,31,32} Eight articles had 1 point.^{16,17,19,23,26,27,30,33} None of the studies are described as double-blind.

Effects on kidney function

The analysis of grouped data from 429 patients demonstrated that physical activity does not have an impact on kidney function, with no differences observed in the estimated glomerular filtration rate between the intervention group and the control group at the end of the programme: SMD -0.1 (95% CI: -2.3 to 2.0; p = 0.90) (Fig. 2).

Furthermore a long-term RCT showed that an aerobic exercise programme had positive, although insignificant, effects on the progression to end-stage CKD or the need to start RRT; OR 0.2 (95% CI: 0.01 to 4.9; p = 0.32).³⁰

Proteinuria was not modified by physical activity either, by analysing 24 h urine protein and the albumin to creatinine ratio. A total of six studies were included which took into account data from 182 patients: SMD 26.6 (95% CI: -198.5 to 251.7; p = 0.82) (Fig. 3).

Cardiovascular and metabolic effects

The effects of physical activity on systolic blood pressure (SBP): SMD -1.6 (95% CI: -6.8 to 3.4; p=0.52) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) are conflicting: SMD -1.7 (95% CI: -5.0 to 1.5; p=0.31), according to data obtained from the analysis of 389 and 372 patients, respectively. Both meta-analyses showed positive, although insignificant, effects (Fig. 4). Only one study analysed left ventricular mass using echocardiography, with no differences found in the intervention group compared to the control group (p=0.98).²²

Some studies analysed the effect of exercise on haemoglobin, reflecting positive results on this with a high level of evidence. Grouped data from 168 patients were included: SMD 0.3 (95% CI: 0.1 to 0.5; p = 0.003).^{16,19,32,33}

There is conflicting evidence on the effect of physical activity on LDL cholesterol control: SMD 12.0 (95% CI: -2.5 to 26.6; p = 0.11). We are not able to make recommendations regarding HDL cholesterol levels. Data obtained from the meta-analysis show positive effects, although these are not statistically significant: SMD 0.9 (95% CI: -2.3 to 4.3; p = 0.56) (Fig. 5).

In three of the trials included, the effect of physical activity on glycated haemoglobin was analysed. The results obtained from the analysis of 115 patients did not show statistically

	Inte	rventio	on	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
Aioke 2015	3.5	13.7	15	-1.4	12.2	14	5.3%	4.90 [-4.53, 14.33]			
Aioke 2018	1.65	13	25	-1.2	13	15	6.8%	2.85 [-5.47, 11.17]			
Baria 2014	2.6	10.6	18	-1.8	14	9	4.3%	4.40 [-5.97, 14.77]			
Gomes 2017	1.7	13.28	24	-1	13.3	15	6.4%	2.70 [-5.87, 11.27]			
Greenwood 2015	3.7	18.7	8	-4.8	18.2	8	1.4%	8.50 [-9.58, 26.58]			
Headley 2012	1.2	18	10	5	29.9	11	1.1%	-3.80 [-24.70, 17.10]			
Hiraki 2017	-1.9	11.4	14	-1.6	12.9	14	5.8%	-0.30 [-9.32, 8.72]			
Howden 2013	-1.4	7.5	36	0.5	6.9	36	42.2%	-1.90 [-5.23, 1.43]			
Howden 2015	-1.8	10.5	36	0.2	12.1	36	17.1%	-2.00 [-7.23, 3.23]			
Leehey 2009	-5	22	7	-6	5.3	6	1.7%	1.00 [-15.84, 17.84]			
Leehey 2016	-1.9	19.91	14	-3.1	20.2	18	2.4%	1.20 [-12.79, 15.19]			
Van Craenenbroek 2015	1.1	14.2	19	-0.4	15.2	21	5.6%	1.50 [-7.61, 10.61]			
Total (95% CI)			226			203	100.0%	-0.14 [-2.30, 2.03]	+		
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 5.48$	8, df = 3	11 (P =	0.91); I	$^{2} = 0\%$							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.12$ (P = 0.90) Favours control Favours intervention											

Fig. 2 – Meta-analysis of the effect of aerobic exercise interventions and resistance exercise on glomerular filtration rate (estimated glomerular filtration rate; ml/min).





	Intervention Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
Aioke 2015	-14	7.3	14	-3.8	6.7	15	14.1%	-10.20 [-15.31, -5.09]	_	
Aioke 2018	-13.3	7.2	25	-0.2	7.2	15	14.5%	-13.10 [-17.71, -8.49]		
Greenwood 2015	-2.6	14.6	8	-7.2	17	10	7.0%	4.60 [-10.01, 19.21]		
Headley 2012	0.9	14.7	10	-4.6	15	11	8.2%	5.50 [-7.21, 18.21]		
Headley 2014	-1.9	15.9	25	-5.3	25.3	21	8.3%	3.40 [-9.09, 15.89]		
Headley 2017	-1.9	15.9	25	-5.3	25.3	21	8.3%	3.40 [-9.09, 15.89]		
Howden 2013	-2.4	16.2	36	-0.5	17.5	36	11.9%	-1.90 [-9.69, 5.89]		
Howden 2015	-6	16	36	-5	21	36	11.2%	-1.00 [-9.62, 7.62]		
Leehey 2009	-17	16	7	-19	5	6	8.3%	2.00 [-10.51, 14.51]		
Leehey 2016	-1	18	14	-7	18	18	8.2%	6.00 [-6.57, 18.57]		
Total (95% CI)			200			189	100 .0 %	-1.68 [-6.80, 3.44]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 41.61;	Chi ² =	= 28.66	, df = 9) (P = 1	0.0007); $l^2 = 699$	%		
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.6	54 (P =	0.52)						Favours intervention Favours control	

	Inter	venti	on	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Aioke 2015	-6.6	4.4	14	-0.9	3.7	15	16.7%	-5.70 [-8.67, -2.73]	_ .
Aioke 2018	-7.1	4.8	25	1.9	6.7	15	15.2%	-9.00 [-12.88, -5.12]	
Greenwood 2015	-2.1	14.7	8	-7.6	6.9	10	6.2%	5.50 [-5.55, 16.55]	
Headley 2012	3.1	16.9	10	-0.1	12.1	11	5.1%	3.20 [-9.48, 15.88]	
Headley 2014	-1.7	10.6	25	-3.8	14.7	21	9.7%	2.10 [-5.44, 9.64]	
Headley 2017	2.5	10.8	25	-1.6	10.7	36	12.6%	4.10 [-1.39, 9.59]	+
Howden 2013	0.6	10.6	36	3.2	8.2	36	14.4%	-2.60 [-6.98, 1.78]	
Howden 2015	-2	12	36	0	11	36	12.9%	-2.00 [-7.32, 3.32]	
Leehey 2009	-6	10	7	-6	8	6	7.2%	0.00 [-9.79, 9.79]	
Total (95% CI)			186			186	1 00.0%	-1.73 [-5.06, 1.59]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 14.97;	Chi ² :	= 23.90						
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.0)2 (P =	Favours intervention Favours control						

Fig. 4 – Meta-analysis of the effect of aerobic exercise interventions combined with strength training on systolic and diastolic blood pressure (mmHg).



Fig. 5 – Meta-analysis of the effect of aerobic exercise interventions combined with strength training on LDL and HDL levels (mg/dl).

significant differences between both groups: SMD 0.1 (95% CI: -0.56 to 0.57; p = 0.98).^{14,16,21}

Only two works analysed other factors related to cardiovascular risk in CKD patients, such as phosphorus, calcium, parathyroid hormone (PTH) and vitamin D. No statistically significant differences were found between the intervention group and the control group in either of them.^{17,33}

Effects on physical condition

Aerobic exercise combined with resistance exercise in the short and medium-term has significant positive effects on physical condition, measured using peak oxygen uptake in the stress test, as demonstrated in the data obtained from 275 subjects: SMD 2.6 (95% CI: 1.6 to 3.7; p < 0.001). Trials which used MET and the RPE showed similar results.^{21,33} In addition, the analysis of six studies which included 212 patients demonstrated an improvement in functional capacity measured by the 6MWT: SMD 56.5 (95% CI: 28.8 to 84.3; p < 0.001) (Fig. 6).

In relation to limb strength, physical activity improves upper limb strength, measured by the number of bicep curl reps: SMD 6.7 (95% CI: 4.9 to 8.6; p < 0.001). Studies which measured upper limb strength using dynamometry offer insignificant positive results: SMD 2.1 (95% CI: -1.5 to 5.7; p = 0.25).^{17,21,24} On the other hand, evidence is conflicting on lower limb strength. Data were collected from 96 patients who showed positive, although insignificant, effects: SMD 4.5 (95% CI: -1.4 to 10.4; p = 0.14) (Fig. 7).

The effect of physical activity on anthropometric variables was also assessed in some studies. There is clear evidence on the positive effect of combined aerobic exercise and resistance exercises on BMI: SMD -0.8 (95% CI: -1.4 to -0.3; p=0.003). Exercise is also related to a reduction in waist circumference: SMD -3.3 (95% CI; -5.9 to -0.7; p=0.01) (Fig. 8).

Effects on quality of life

Physical activity offers benefits in terms of health-related quality of life. Of the three studies that used the SF-36, the meta-analysis of two of them showed statistically significant positive effects in the physical section: SMD 6.66 (95% CI: 0.91 to 12.40; p = 0.02).^{18,25} The third study presented the sum of each one of the questionnaire items independently, meaning that it was not possible to include it in the meta-analysis.³¹ The studies which used the KDQOL-36 questionnaire presented improvement when analysing the effects of the disease on quality of life: SMD 3.56 (95% CI: 0.49 to 6.63; p = 0.02).^{19,29} Only one study used the FACIT-Sp scale, obtaining positive results.³³

Effects on mortality

When analysing the effects on morality in patients with CKD, it was only possible to include a single study. This is a highquality RCT (score of 3 points on the Jadad scale) with a followup of ten years that shows a positive, although insignificant, effect: OR 0.1 (95% CI: 0.01 to 2.8; p = 0.1).³⁰

Discussion

The objective of this review was to describe the effect of an exercise programme on the progression of kidney disease and other factors associated with CKD. In previous studies, physical activity was not traditionally recommended for CKD patients due to the fact that the increase in sympathetic nerve activity during physical activity reduces renal blood flow, which could alter the intimate structures of the kidneys and affect their functioning.^{8,9} The review of the studies included in this meta-analysis shows that exercise is not related to changes in glomerular filtration rate. However, only nine of the reviewed studies analysed the impact of physical activity

	Interv	ion	Co	ontro	1		Mean Difference	Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
Aioke 2015	2	7	14	0.6	7.1	15	4.2%	1.40 [-3.73, 6.53]			
Aioke 2018	2.4	4	25	-0.8	4.1	15	16.2%	3.20 [0.60, 5.80]			
Baria 2014	2.6	4.2	18	0.7	5.2	9	7.2%	1.90 [-2.01, 5.81]			
Gomes 2017	3.8	6.9	15	-0.7	7.1	15	4.4%	4.50 [-0.51, 9.51]			
Greenwood 2015	2.9	5.3	8	-2.1	5	10	4.8%	5.00 [0.19, 9.81]			
Gregory 2011	2.2	4.6	8	-3.2	3.4	8	7.0%	5.40 [1.44, 9.36]			
Howden 2013	2.8	4.3	36	-0.4	4.9	36	24.2%	3.20 [1.07, 5.33]			
Leehey 2009	0.7	2.4	7	1	1.3	6	25.9%	-0.30 [-2.36, 1.76]			
Van Craenenbroek 2015	7.1	5	19	0.1	6	11	6.2%	7.00 [2.80, 11.20]			
Total (95% CI)			150			125	100.0%	2.66 [1.61, 3.71]	•		
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 16.0$	09, df =	8 (P =	= 0.04)	$ I^2 = 50$	0%						
Test for overall effect: Z =	4.97 (P	< 0.0	00001)						Favours control Favours intervention		
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 16.09$, $df = 8$ (P = 0.04); $I^2 = 50\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 4.97 (P < 0.00001) Favours interventio											

	Intervention Control							Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Aioke 2015	53.5	85.2	14	14.7	16.3	15	21.9%	38.80 [-6.59, 84.19]	
Aioke 2018	105.5	96.4	25	-9.7	80.9	15	16.8%	115.20 [59.49, 170.91]	
Baria 2014	84.3	76.9	18	15	85.5	9	13.2%	69.30 [3.10, 135.50]	
Leehey 2016	10	139	14	1	115	18	8.0%	9.00 [-81.13, 99.13]	
Rossi 2014	396	121	59	320	121	48	0.0%	76.00 [29.90, 122.10]	
Tang 2017	42	55.8	42	-5	43.6	42	40.1%	47.00 [25.58, 68.42]	
Total (95% CI)			113			99	100.0%	56.58 [28.87, 84.30]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 378.87	; Chi ²	= 6.61						
Test for overall effect	Z = 4.0	00 (P <	0.000		Favours control Favours intervention				





Fig. 7 – Meta-analysis of the effect of aerobic exercise interventions and aerobic exercise combined with resistance training on upper limb strength (number of bicep curl reps) and lower limb strength (number of STS test repetitions).

on the progression of kidney disease, three of them with low quality, which is why we should interpret these results with caution.^{15,17,19–22,28,30} Pechter et al.³⁰ conducted the study with the longest follow-up of the review, including as a final study point the initiation of RRT or all-cause mortality. However, it is necessary to take into account that it is a study with a very small sample, with seven patients in the intervention group and nine in the control group. Over the ten years of followup of the water aerobic exercise intervention, they found that no one in the intervention group reached the end point of the study, while 55% of the control group had to undergo dialysis or passed away. Nevertheless, these differences were not statistically significant between both groups. Similarly, the review published by MacKinnon et al.³⁴ concluded that a better physical condition and doing exercise were correlated with a lower risk of mortality, as well as a deterioration of kidney function, and a higher graft survival rate in transplanted patients. Other studies identified similar results on mortality in a population on haemodialysis.³⁵

	Inter	vent	tion	Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Aioke 2015	-0.3	3.9	14	0	4.1	15	4.0%	-0.30 [-3.21, 2.61]	
Aioke 2018	1.6	13	25	0.8	4.8	15	1.1%	0.80 [-4.85, 6.45]	
Baria 2014	-0.5	2.8	18	-0.1	5.5	9	2.3%	-0.40 [-4.22, 3.42]	
Greenwood 2015	-2.5	3.4	8	0.6	4.1	10	2.8%	-3.10 [-6.57, 0.37]	
Gregory 2011	-0.8	5.6	10	-1	7.2	11	1.1%	0.20 [-5.29, 5.69]	
Headley 2012	-2.5	5.6	10	-0.6	6.5	11	1.3%	-1.90 [-7.08, 3.28]	
Howden 2013	-0.6	1.4	36	0.3	1.4	36	80.2%	-0.90 [-1.55, -0.25]	
Howden 2015	-0.6	7.3	36	0.3	8	36	2.7%	-0.90 [-4.44, 2.64]	
Leehey 2016	0	6	14	-0.3	6	18	1.9%	0.30 [-3.89, 4.49]	
Van Craenenbroek 2015	-0.6	5.7	19	0.4	5.6	21	2.7%	-1.00 [-4.51, 2.51]	
Total (95% CI)			190			182	100.0%	-0.89 [-1.47, -0.31]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.74$	4, df = 9	(P =	0.97);	$1^2 = 0\%$					
Test for overall effect: Z =	3.01 (P	= 0.0	003)						Favours intervention Favours control
									Favours intervention Favours control

	Inter	vent	ion	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Baria 2014	-1.6	11.9	18	1.5	5.7	9	15.5%	-3.10 [-9.74, 3.54]	
Greenwood 2015	-5.1	8.8	8	2.1	9.8	10	9.2%	-7.20 [-15.81, 1.41]	
Gregory 2011	-1.2	12.4	9	1	7.2	9	7.8%	-2.20 [-11.57, 7.17]	
Headley 2012	-3.3	12.4	10	-0.5	14.8	11	5.0%	-2.80 [-14.44, 8.84]	
Howden 2013	-1.4	5.5	14	1.6	5	36	62.4%	-3.00 [-6.31, 0.31]	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect	= 0.88, d :: Z = 2.5	f = 4 50 (P =	59 (P = 0.9 = 0.01)	93); I ² =	• 0%	75	100.0%	-3.33 [-5.95, -0.72]	-10 -5 0 5 10 Favours intervention Favours control

Fig. 8 – Meta-analysis of the effect of aerobic exercise interventions combined with resistance training on BMI and waist circumference.

Proteinuria is also a fundamental risk factor in CKD progression. It is known that certain situations, such as intense exercise, can contribute to the onset or the increase of proteinuria.^{36,37} In the review carried out, doing moderate physical activity did not lead to a higher degree of proteinuria, which is why it could be prescribed safely in patients with CKD.^{13,14,16,21,26,30}

The effects of physical activity on aerobic capacity are well known, and this has been seen in the articles included in this meta-analysis.^{16-20,22,23,28} This group of patients presents very little tolerance to exercise due, among other reasons, to the accumulation of the body's waste products, anaemia and muscle mass loss. All of this leads to lower levels of physical activity compared to the general population.³⁸ Exercising is seen to provide a significant improvement in functional capacity and aerobic capacity, with our results coinciding with those of another meta-analysis recently published by Vanden-Wyngaert et al.³⁹ Therefore, we can confirm that there is a high level of evidence for the improvements that an exercise programme brings to functional capacity, with results being observed from the first 12 weeks of intervention.^{14,15,19,20,29,31} Furthermore, the increase in haemoglobin levels with training, observed in the review that we have carried out, may contribute to this improvement, reducing the feeling of asthenia.^{14,16,21}

CKD is a significant risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The increase in CKD in recent decades has been parallel to the increase in obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome. The already-commented on improvement in anaemia associated with exercise may provide these patients with an obvious benefit in terms of cardiovascular health. Furthermore, physical fitness could improve other aspects such as blood pressure.^{40,41} Better control of blood pressure is very important in these patients, as they have a high cardiovascular risk and hypertension is a recognised factor for kidney damage and CKD progression.⁴² In the studies of this meta-analysis, it is observed that doing aerobic exercise offers better control of SBP levels in the short and medium-term, although without reaching a significant difference.^{14,16,19–22,24–26,28} In terms of DBP, there is no evidence to make recommendations for this either.^{14,19–22,24–26,28}

HDL and LDL levels were not modified with physical activity.^{14,16,18,21,26} It is important to remember that the lipid profile of CKD patients is different to that of dyslipidaemia in the general population, and it varies according to the severity of the kidney dysfunction, which makes the interpretation of the results gathered in the different studies of this review difficult. Dyslipidaemia in CKD is characterised by hypertriglyceridaemia, variable levels of LDL cholesterol and low levels of HDL cholesterol. In the initial stages we still found elevated levels of LDL cholesterol, but in the more advanced stages this parameter normalised or even reduced. The National Observatory of Atherosclerosis in Nephrology (NEFRONA project) observed a progressive decrease in total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and non-HDL cholesterol levels, proportional to the stage of the kidney disease.43

Glycated haemoglobin was not modified with physical activity according to the results obtained in this review. Certain works relate higher levels of glycated haemoglobin to a higher mortality rate in patients on haemodialysis. However, various factors such as anaemia and use of erythropoiesisstimulating agents or iron supplements mean that the presence of CKD alters the relationship between blood glucose and haemoglobin, which makes their interpretation and their applicability in these patients difficult.⁴⁴ Furthermore, hyperphosphataemia and secondary hyperparathyroidism have been linked to higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in CKD patients. Some works relate the practice of high- and low-intensity physical activity to changes in bone metabolism, along with a mild reduction in PTH.⁴⁵ In this meta-analysis, no statistically significant differences were found, although the trials included were of low quality, which is why more studies are necessary in order to be able to make recommendations in this regard.^{17,33}

In this review, we analysed anthropometric variables which were also related to cardiovascular disease, such as BMI and waist circumference. In the first case, an exercise intervention showed a significant reduction in BMI.^{14,15,18–23,26,28} With regard to waist circumference, patients had a lower circumference upon finishing the exercise programme.^{15,22,23,26,28} These results are important, as both variables have been reported as predictors of cardiovascular risk and CKD progression.⁴⁶

As CKD progresses, complications also increase. Musculoskeletal disorders seem to be the main limiting factor of the ability to do exercises, and muscle breakdown is one of the strongest predictors of mortality in individuals with CKD.⁴⁷ Based on the results provided by this meta-analysis, a training programme improved upper limb strength.^{19,20} However, more studies are needed to assess the results of exercise on lower limb strength: there were four clinical trials, one of them high-quality, in which positive, although insignificant, changes were found.^{15,19,20,29}

The effects on quality of life are also of particular relevance. The symptoms of the disease itself limit physical activities, interfere with daily activities, intensify pain and its effect on everyday tasks and mean that the patient gives a worse assessment of their state of health.48 This review demonstrates that an aerobic exercise programme offers benefits to quality of life, improving the physical component, which has been described as the main factor that alters the quality of life of CKD patients who are not on dialysis or who have not received a transplant.^{14,18,25,26,29,31} A meta-analysis published by Zhao et al.⁴⁹ reveals that physical activity can improve fatigue, anxiety and depression in patients with CKD, especially those on haemodialysis. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the appropriate type of physical activity for these types of patient, including it from the early stages to improve their physical condition and delay the progression of the disease.

The limitations of our study include the fact that the review and inclusion of the articles cited was carried out by one single investigator. However, the entire process and its results were subsequently supervised by the rest of the investigators. Other limitations that should be mentioned are the heterogeneity of the patients' characteristics and the design of the clinical trials included. This meant that we encountered difficulties when analysing some data, given that the various articles used different measurement units, and in some of them the results or the exercise programme used were not detailed clearly. Despite this, the review was thorough, including all the articles which met the selection criteria and analysing all the parameters which were possible from the methodological point of view. Finally, only reports in Spanish and English were included; as a result, data from relevant studies published in other languages may be missing. Nevertheless, the articles in English and Spanish include the largest number of works and the publications with the greatest impact.

In conclusion, physical activity carried out routinely and at low-medium intensity offers significant benefits for CKD patients. It improves aerobic and functional capacity, impacting positively on perceived quality of life. It enables the control of blood pressure levels to be optimised, and improves certain analytical parameters such as haemoglobin. The most widely used routine is 30 min per day, three to five times a week, with the intensity and frequency needing to be adapted to the patient's tolerability. The effects of exercise on kidney disease progression and the initiation of RRT are not clear, and the evidence regarding this is limited. However, it does not display negative effects on kidney function. Therefore, physical activity can be safely recommended in stable patients, and the results of this meta-analysis support the inclusion of exercise as a core element in the care of CKD patients.

Funding

This study has received no specific funding from public, private or non-profit organisations.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

 Martínez-Gallardo R, Ferreira-Morong F, García-Pino G, Cerezo-Arias I, Hernández-Gallego R, Caravaca-Magariños F. Insuficiencia cardíaca en la enfermedad renal crónica avanzada: relación con el acceso vascular. Nefrologia. 2012;32:206–12,

http://dx.doi.org/10.3265/Nefrologia.pre2011.Dec.11223.

- Fletcher B, Magyari P, Preussak K, Churilla J. Entrenamiento físico en pacientes con insuficiencia física. Rev Med Clin. 2012;23:757–65, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0716-8640(12)70378-4.
- Isnard-Rouchon M, Coutard C. L'activité physique, un facteur protecteur cardiovasculaire et métabolique chez les patients porteurs d'une insuffisance rénale terminale. Nephrol Ther. 2017;13:544–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nephro.2017.01.027.
- Roshanravan B, Gamboa J, Wilund K. Exercise and CKD: skeletal muscle dysfunction and practical application of exercise to prevent and treat physical impairments in CKD. Am J Kidney Dis. 2017;69:837–52, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.01.051.
- Moon SJ, Kim TH, Yoon SY, Chung JH, Hwang HJ. Relationship between stage of chronic kidney disease and sarcopenia in Korean aged 40 years and older using the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (KNHANES IV-2, 3, and V-1, 2), 2008–2011. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:e0130740, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130740.
- Delgado C, Grimes BA, Glidden DV, Dhilpak M, Sarnak MJ, Johansen KL. Association of frailty based on self-reported physical function with directly measured kidney function and mortality. BMC Nephrol. 2015;16:203, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12882-015-0202-6.

- Roshanravan B, Robinson-Cohen C, Patel KV, Ayers E, Littman AJ, de Boer IH, et al. Association between physical performance and all-cause mortality in CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;24:822–30, http://dx.doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2012070702.
- Tlatoa-Ramírez HM, López-López JC, Luna-Blas HG, Aguilar-Becerril JA, Ocaña-Servín HL. Proteinuria inducida por el ejercicio. Rev Med Inv. 2014;2:141–5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-3106(15)30012-1.
- Painter P, Marcus RL. Assessing physical function and physical activity in patients with CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;8:861–72, http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.06590712.
- Zelle DM, Klaasen G, van Adrichem E, Bakker SJ, Carpeleijn E, Navis G. Physical inactivity: a risk factor and target for intervention in renal care. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2017;13:152–68, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrneph.2016.187.
- Johansen KL, Chertow GM, Kutner NG, Dalrymple LS, Grimes BA, Kaysen GA. Low level of self-reported physical activity in ambulatory patients new to dialysis. Kidney Int. 2010;78:1164–70, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ki.2010.312.
- **12**. Jadad AR, Enkin M. Randomized controlled trials: questions, answers and musings. 2nd ed. Singapur: Blackwell Publishing; 2007.
- Hiraki K, Shibagaki Y, Izawa KP, Hotta C, Wakamiya A, Sakurada T, et al. Effects of home-based exercises on pre-dialysis patients: a randomized pilot and feasibility trial. BMC Nephrol. 2017;18:198, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0613-7.
- Leehey DJ, Collins E, Kramer HJ, Cooper C, Butler J, McBurney C, et al. Structured exercise in obese diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Nephrol. 2016;44:54–62, http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000447703.
- Baria F, Kamimura MA, Aioke DT, Ammirati A, Rocha ML, de Mello MT, et al. Randomized controlled trial to evaluate the impact of aerobic exercise on visceral fat in overweight chronic kidney disease patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014;29:857–64, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gft529.
- Leehey DJ, Moinuddin I, Bast JP, Qureshi S, Jelinek CS, Cooper C, et al. Aerobic exercise in obese diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease: a randomized and controlled pilot study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2009;8:62, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2840-8-62.
- Gomes TS, Aioke DT, Baria F, Graciolli FG, Moyses RMA, Cuppari L. Effect of aerobic exercise on markers of bone metabolism of overweight and obese patients with chronic kidney disease. J Ren Nutr. 2017;27:364–71, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2017.04.009.
- Van Craenenbroek AH, van Craenenbroek EM, van Ackeren K, Vrints CJ, Conraads VM, Verpooten GA, et al. Effect of moderate aerobic exercise training on endothelial function and arterial stiffness in CKD stages 3–4: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Kidney Disease. 2015;66:285–96, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.03.015.
- Aioke DT, Baria F, Kamimura MA, Ammirati A, de Mello MT, Cuppari L. Impact of home-based aerobic exercise on the physical capacity of overweight patients with chronic kidney disease. Int Urol Nephrol. 2015;47:359–67, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11255-014-0894-8.
- 20. Aioke DT, Baria F, Kamimura MA, Ammirati A, Cuppari L. Home-based versus center-based aerobic exercise on cardiopulmonary performance, physical function, quality of life and quality of sleep of overweight patients with chronic kidney disease. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2018;22:87–98, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10157-017-1429-2.
- Howden EJ, Coombes JS, Strand H, Douglas B, Campbell KL, Isbel NM. Exercise training in CKD: efficacy, adherence, and safety. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015;65:583–91, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.09.017.

- 22. Howden EJ, Leano R, Petchey W, Coombes JS, Isbel NM, Marwick TH. Effects of exercise and lifestyle intervention on cardiovascular function in CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;8:1494–501, http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.10141012.
- 23. Gregory SM, Headley SA, Germain M, Flyvbjerg A, Frystyk J, Coughlin MA, et al. Lack of circulating bioactive and immunoreactive IGF-I changes despite improved fitness in chronic kidney disease patients following 48 weeks of physical training. Growth Horm IGF Res. 2011;21:51–6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ghir.2010.12.005.
- Headley S, Germain M, Wood R, Joubert J, Milch C, Evans E, et al. The blood pressure response to acute and chronic exercise in chronic kidney disease. Nephrology (Carlton). 2017;22:72–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nep.12730.
- Headley S, Germain M, Wood R, Joubert J, Milch C, Evans E, et al. Short-term aerobic exercise and vascular function in CKD stage 3: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;64:222–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.02.022.
- 26. Headley S, Germain M, Milch C, Pescatello L, Coughlin MA, Nindl BC, et al. Exercise training improves HR responses and VO_2 peak in predialysis kidney patients. Med Sci Sports Excer. 2012;44:2392–9,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318268c70c.
 27. Balakrishnan VS, Rao M, Menon V, Gordon PL, Pilichowska M, Casaneda F, et al. Resistance training increases muscle mitochondrial biogenesis in patients with chronic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;5:996–1002, http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.09141209.
- 28. Greenwood SA, Koufaki P, Mercer TH, MacLaughlin HL, Rush R, Lindup H, et al. Effect of exercise training on estimated GFR vascular health, and cardiorespiratory fitness in patients with CKD: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015;65:425–34, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.07.015.
- 29. Tang Q, Yang B, Fan F, Li P, Yang L, Guo Y. Effects of individualized exercise program on physical function, psychological dimensions, and health-related quality of life in patients with chronic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial in China. Int J Nurs Pract. 2017;23:e12519, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ijn.12519.
- Pechter Ü, Raag M, Ots-Rosenberg M. Regular aquatic exercise for chronic kidney disease patients: a 10-year follow-up study. Int J Rehabil Res. 2014;37:251–5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MRR.0000000000063.
- Rossi AP, Burris DD, Lucas FL, Crocker GA, Wasseman JC. Effects of a renal rehabilitation exercise program in patients with CKD: a randomized, controlled trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;9:2052–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.11791113.
- Chen PY, Huang YC, Kao YH, Chen JY. Effects of an exercise program on blood biochemical values and exercise stage of chronic kidney disease patients. J Nurs Res. 2010;18:98–107, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JNR.0b013e3181dda726.
- Koskamadis GC, John SG, Clapp EL, Viana JL, Smith AC, Bishop NC, et al. Benefits of regular walking exercise in advanced pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012;27:997–1004, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfr364.
- MacKinnon HJ, Wilkinson TJ, Clarke AL, Gould DW, O'Sullivan TF, Xenophontos S, et al. The association of physical function and physical activity with all-cause mortality and adverse clinical outcomes in nondialysis chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2018;9:209–26, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215518817083.
- Stack AG, Molony DA, Rives T, Tyson J, Murthy BV. Association of physical activity with mortality in the US dialysis population. Am J Kidney Dis. 2005;45:690–701, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2004.12.013.

- Bellinghieri G, Savice V, Santoro D. Renal alterations during exercise. J Ren Nutr. 2008;18:158–64, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.jm.2007.10.031.
- Saeed F, Naga Pavan Kumar Devaki P, Mahendrakar L, Holley JL. Exercise-induced proteinuria? J Fam Pract. 2002;61:23–6.
- Beddhu S, Baird BC, Zitterkoph J, Neilson J, Greene T. Physical activity and mortality in chronic kidney disease (NHANES III). Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;4:1901–6, http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01970309.
- 39. Vanden-Wyngaert K, van Craenenbroeck AH, van Biesen W, Dhondt A, Tanghe A, van Ginckel A, et al. The effects of aerobic exercise on eGFR, blood pressure and VO₂peak in patients with chronic kidney disease stages 3-4: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:e0203662, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203662.
- Stump CS. Physical activity in the prevention of chronic kidney disease. Cardiorenal Med. 2011;1:164–73, http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000329929.
- Thompson S, Wiebe N, Padwal RS, Gyenes G, Headley SAE, Radhakrishnan J, et al. The effect of exercise on blood pressure in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS ONE. 2019;14:e0211032, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211032.
- Santamaría-Olmo R, Gorostidi-Pérez M. Presión arterial y progresión de la enfermedad renal crónica. NefroPlus. 2013;5:4–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.3265/NefroPlus.pre2013.May.12105.
- Bermúdez-López M, Betriu A, Valdivieso JM, Bretones del Pino T, Arroyo D, Fernández E. Más allá de los parámetros lipídicos

tradicionales en la enfermedad renal crónica. Nefrologia. 2018;38:109–13, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2017.09.008.

- 44. De'Marziani G, Elbert AE. Hemoglobina glicada. Utilidad y limitaciones en pacientes con enfermedad renal crónica. Rev Nefrol Dial Traspl. 2018;38:65–83.
- 45. Marinho SM, Moraes C, Barboza JE, Carraro Eduardo JC, Fouque D, Pelletier S, et al. Exercise training alters the bone mineral density of hemodialysis patients. J Strength Cond Res. 2016;30:2918–23, http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000001374.
- 46. Flint AJ, Rexrode KM, Hu FB, Glynn RJ, Caspard H, Manson JE, et al. Body mass index, waist circumference and risk of coronary heart disease: a prospective study among men and women. Obes Res Clin Pract. 2010;4:171–81, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.orcp.2010.01.001.
- 47. Desmeules S, Lévesque R, Jaussent I, Leray-Moragues H, Chalabi LB, Canaud B. Creatinine index and lean body mass are excellent predictors of long-term survival in haemodiafiltration patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2004;19:1182–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfh016.
- 48. Perales-Montilla CM, Duschek D, Reyes del Paso GA. Calidad de vida relacionad con la salud en la enfermedad renal crónica: relevancia predictiva del estado de ánimo y la sintomatología somática. Nefrologia. 2016;36:275–82, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2015.12.002.
- 49. Zhao QG, Zhang HR, Wen X, Wang Y, Chen XM, Chen N, et al. Exercise interventions on patients with end-stage renal disease: a systematic review. Clin Rehabil. 2019;33:147–56, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215518817083.