Design of a quality of life questionnaire in Spanish for children with chronic kidney disease

C. Aparicio López¹, A. Fernández Escribano, G. Garrido Cantanero², A. Luque de Pablos, E. Izquierdo García

Child Nephrology Services, Gregorio Marañón General University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. ¹ Hospital of Getafe, Madrid, Spain. ² Spanish National Transplant Organisation

Nefrologia 2010;30(2):168-76

ABSTRACT

Treatment for chronic kidney disease in children must assess the social and psychological aspects involved in the perceived quality of life of the child and its family. Our objective has been to design a specific tool in Spanish for measuring quality of life in paediatric patients with chronic kidney disease, since there is not a validated test for children at present. Results: We designed a specific questionnaire for kidney disease in children based on the quality of life test for adults with kidney disease (KDQOL-SFTM) and on the test of quality of life for children with epilepsy (CAVE) adapting them for children with kidney disease, naming it TECAVNER (Test of Quality of Life in Children with kidney disease). Reliability of this questionnaire determined by Cronbach alpha coefficient was 0.92. Limitations: Test-retest reliability and construct validity were not conducted. In conclusion, this is the first time that a specific health related quality of life test in Spanish for children with chronic kidney disease has been designed.

Key words: Health-related quality of life. Children. Specific disease questionnaire. Kidney disease.

INTRODUCTION

The difficulty in measuring health-related quality of life (HRQL) in children is due to the fact that there are specific instruments for some diseases and that the measuring instruments used in adults are not suitable for children. The health concept for children differs from that for adults, and

Correspondence: Cristina Aparicio López Servicios de Pediatría. Nefrología Pediátrica. Hospital Universitario de Getafe. Madrid. cris_aparicio@wanadoo.es Desarrollo de un cuestionario en español de medida de calidad de vida en pacientes pediátricos con enfermedad renal crónica

RESUMEN

El tratamiento actual de la enfermedad renal crónica en la infancia debe incluir los aspectos sociales y psicológicos implicados en la calidad de vida del niño y de su familia. Nuestro objetivo ha sido desarrollar un instrumento de medida específico de la calidad de vida de los pacientes pediátricos con enfermedad renal crónica en español, ya que no existe actualmente ninguno validado para niños. Hemos desarrollado un cuestionario en español específico para enfermedad renal en niños basado en el test de calidad de vida para adultos con enfermedad renal (KDQOL-SFTM) y en el test de calidad de vida para niños con epilepsia (CAVE) adaptándolos a niños con enfermedad renal, al que denominamos TECAVNER (Test de Calidad de Vida en Niños con Enfermedad Renal). La fiabilidad de dicho cuestionario determinada por el coeficiente ·Cronbach fue de 0,92. Las limitaciones del estudio consisten en que no se ha realizado validez de constructo ni test-retest. En conclusión, este trabajo constituye un primer intento para diseñar un cuestionario específico de calidad de vida relacionada con la salud en español para niños con enfermedad renal crónica.

Palabras clave: Calidad de vida relacionada con la salud. Niños. Cuestionario específico. Enfermedad renal.

factors such as employment or sexual activity are not applicable to the paediatric population. Independence is not applicable to children either, which constitutes an essential indicator of quality of life in adults, since children place more value on physical appearance or the number of friends they have. Another problem is that the information obtained from care givers does not always agree with the child's view. Some authors suggest that a high concordance rate indicates poor quality of life in children, since childhood is characterised by the progressive achievement of autonomy and independence from the parents' point of view. To receive information from

the children themselves we need to develop specific questionnaires for this age, although for the very young children we will ask the closest caregivers. Perhaps the ideal solution would be to rely on both opinions.

The most effective measurement methods in older children are self-applied questionnaires, while interviews are more suitable for younger children whose attention spans are limited.¹ Questionnaires can be generic, regardless of the health-disease status, not created to detect differences between disease or therapeutic modalities and specific, which are applied to one conduct disorder and are suitable for evaluating the influence of different treatments. Generic measurements are used when the objective is to establish comparisons between different diagnostic or population groups; however, specific instruments need to be used to determine HRQL and to compare treatments or variables of a specific disorder.

The questionnaires need to be reliable, valid, sensitive to change and adequately translated if the original is written in a different language, which explains why there are questionnaires for only a few paediatric diseases. Despite the fact that HRQL is being measured for many years in adults with chronic kidney disease (CKD), there is currently no Spanish-language questionnaire for children with CKD. We only have one English reference of a North American group of researchers working on its development.¹⁰

Our objective was to create a specific questionnaire on HRQL for children with chronic kidney disease (CKD) in Spanish. We wanted to determine the most important parameters associated with the disease and the questions that can be answered by children. We began with our previous study on the quality of life (QL) for children with CKD with a generic questionnaire, in which we found significant differences concerning the physical function, physical role and general health status healthy children.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Before developing this specific questionnaire on HRQL for children with CKD, we assessed their QL and the most affected areas in relation to healthy group using the MOS-SF 20 generic questionnaire, completed by our patients with CKD and their parents, as well as a homogeneous sample of healthy children and their parents.¹¹

At the same time, we searched the bibliography for specific questionnaires on the QL for children with chronic diseases^{9,12-14} and for adults with CKD, since there are no specific questionnaires for children with CKD in Spanish. KDQOL-SFTM is one of the most used instruments in adults with CKD.^{15,16} It is a specific instrument for adults with renal failure, comprising thirty questions, some of which belong to the SF-36 general health questionnaire of the IQOLA project, while others are specific to kidney disease.

Among the specific questionnaires for children with chronic diseases we found four developed in Spain and another five that have been adapted. The questionnaire for asthmatic children (PAQLQ)¹⁷ and the quality of life scale for children suffering from cancer (ECVNO),¹⁸ both developed in 1996, as well as the quality of life questionnaire for children with spina bifida (1997)¹⁹ and CAVE,²⁰ which measures the quality of life of children with epilepsy, stand out among the former. We decided to use CAVE as a basis for the creation of our questionnaire, since epilepsy, similar to CKD, is a chronic disease of long duration that generally requires frequent clinical and analytical tests, the taking of medication and a limitation of certain physical activities.

From the KDQOL-SF™ questionnaire we kept the general health questions of the SF-36 that constitute the general perception of health and pain and for which we adjusted the scope of physical activity and emotional status to the actual characteristics of childhood according to our experience with children and the parents' opinion. Concerning the specific questions on kidney disease, we kept those related to clinical symptoms and the aspects that mainly worry patients, which are the same in both children and adults, making small changes to adapt them to the paediatric age and substituting the questions related to the work environment and sexual activity with questions on school, playing, autonomy or family. Furthermore, we deleted the items referring to sleep, since sleep was not a concern for the children participating in our study nor was it mentioned by their parents. We added items referring to physical appearance and the number of hospital admissions and hospitalisation days, which influence children more than adults. From the CAVE questionnaire we kept the items on learning, autonomy, social relationships and school attendance, maintaining their initial wording, while we deleted the items on the intensity of the attack, its frequency and its management. From this questionnaire we did not use the item referring to the parents' opinion when evaluating their opinion as widely as possible. The parents themselves completed the entire questionnaire separately from their children. The new questionnaire is multidimensional and covers the physical function and the psychological and social aspects recommended by the World Health Organisation, as well as other aspects.

Once the first version of the questionnaire was completed, and after confirming that it was comprehensible for children over the age of nine and their parents, it was first given to children with kidney disease and their parents. This was done in order to determine the difficulties in its comprehension and completion. We therefore modified the wording of the most difficult-to-understand questions until the final version of questionnaire was completed, taking into account the opinion of parents and children.

All of the patients and their parents participated voluntarily in the study, with previous verbal explanation of the contents of the

Nefrologia 2010;30(2):168-76

originals

questionnaire, and granted their consent. The questionnaire was given at the hospital when the follow-ups were carried out, and both patients and their parents were told how and who needed to answer each questionnaire. The questionnaires were completed in the waiting room of the examination room and were given back that same day. Seventy-one children with CKD took part: 33 with functioning kidney transplant, 11 on peritoneal dialysis, 5 on haemodialysis and 22 with conservative treatment.

Children older than nine completed the questionnaire on their own while their parents did the same, trying to reflect how their children felt. For the children younger than nine the questionnaire was completed only by the parents.

To determine the questionnaire's reliability we carried out the Cronbach Alpha Coefficient, which studies the internal consistency of the different items that comprise the test. It calculates the correlation of the elements within the scale, quantifying the degree to which an instrument's items and aspects measure the same concept. A coefficient greater than 0.7 indicates good internal consistency.

RESULTS

We developed a specific questionnaire on QL for children with CKD which we called TECAVNER (quality of life test in children with kidney disease), based on the CAVE²⁰ and KDQOL-SFTM questionnaires.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ This questionnaire determines the general health status, physical status, pain and vital status and provides us with a particular view on the specific quality of life caused by kidney disease and how it is perceived by patients.

The questionnaire is divided into 14 domains, some of which comprise various items or questions with a score ranging from 0 to 100 - a higher score indicating a better health status. The maximum score is 5,700, which corresponds to the best health status. The domains are as follows:

- 1. General health: personal evaluation of the current health status and resistance to the disease (2 items: questions 1 and 2).
- 2. Physical function or activity, that is, how the health status limits daily physical activities such as walking, playing, jumping, etc. (6 items: questions 3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5).
- 3. School attendance, that is, school absences caused by the disease (1 item: question 5).
- 4. Learning, that is, the cognitive field regarding other children of the same age (1 item: question 6).
- 5. Autonomy, that is, the different autonomy capabilities according to the child's age, such as eating and getting dressed without help, going to school alone, etc. (1 item: question 7).

- 6. Social relationships, that is, how the health status interferes with daily social activities (1 item: question 8).
- 7. Pain, that is, its intensity and effects on everyday life (1 item: question 9).
- 8. Emotional well-being, that is, how emotional problems interfere with daily activities (6 items: questions 10.1, 10.2, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6 and 10.7).
- 9. Fatigue-energy, that is, the feeling of full energy, vigour or cheerfulness, and tiredness, fatigue or exhaustion (3 items: questions 10.3, 10.8 and 10.9).
- 10. Cognitive function (3 items: questions 10.10, 10.11 and 10.12).
- 11. Emotional presentation caused by kidney disease (4 items: questions 11.1, 11.2, 11.3 and 11.4).
- 12. Symptoms of kidney disease (9 items: questions 12.1, 12.2, 12.3, 12.4, 12.5, 12.6, 12.7, 12.8 and 12.9).
- 13. Effects of kidney disease on the patient's life (14 items: questions 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.4, 13.5, 13.6, 13.7, 13.8, 13.9, 13.10, 13.11, 13.12, 13.13 and 13.14).
- 14. Personal time dedicated to medical care (5 items: questions 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18).

The questionnaire's reliability using the Cronbach Alpha Coefficient is 0.925 in the child self-report and 0.9156 in the parent proxy-report. Internal consistency is very good, since the Cronbach Alpha Coefficient is greater than 0.7 in all domains.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This questionnaire (TECAVNER) can be used in children with CKD, is easy to answer and only requires approximately half an hour to complete. It is extremely reliable (Cronbach Alpha Coefficient at 0.925) with a high internal consistency in all domains, taking into account an acceptable reliability level if the coefficient is greater than 0.7. The questionnaire's Spanish version for adults with kidney disease (KDQOL-SF) has an internal consistency with a Cronbach Alpha Coefficient for each domain between 0.67 and 0.87, while for the entire questionnaire the internal consistency is 0.93.21 The questionnaire's limitations are that although its internal validity has been determined and is very good, we have not carried out the test-retest nor the construct validity which would absolutely validate the test.

With this questionnaire we attempt to make the first step in the study of HRQL in children with CKD, and although we



171

have yet to validate it, we have applied it to our group and the preliminary results obtained, included in this article, ²² lead us to believe that it can be a good test for paediatric patients with CKD. The broadening of this study to a multi-centre one that includes a larger number of patients would grant greater reliability and validity to this questionnaire, since there is no other specific and validated instrument in Spanish in the current literature to measure the quality of life of paediatric patients with CKD. The results will help us understand the limitations of our patients and their concerns, thus we will be able to offer them a treatment according to their true needs. By including a higher number of patients we could determine the sensitivity to

change and evaluate how the patients' quality of life improves or deteriorates when changing one substitute treatment to another.

Last but not least, we emphasised that the patients' collaboration and that of their parents was, in general, excellent. Many of them, still without any significant problems detected, required and lacked psychological help both for the patients and for other family members who, although healthy, had a poor quality of life, anxiety and depression. The simple fact of completing the questionnaire made them feel better, since they could express their feelings and emotions, and they felt closer to the health professionals.

inglier number of patients we coul	d determine the sensitivity to emotions	s, and they left closer to the	neatur professionais.
Annex 1. Specific quality of life	test for children with chronic kidney di	isease (TECAVNER)	
A. GENERAL PERCEPTION OF HEALT	TH STATUS		
1. In general, would you say that y	your health is:		
Excellent	1 🗆		
Very good	2 🗆		
Good	3 🗆		
Normal	4 🗆		
Bad	5 🗆		
2. How would you describe your c	urrent health status, compared with last ye	ear?	
Much better now	1 🗆		
Somewhat better now	2 🗆		
More or less the same	3 🗆		
Somewhat worse now	4 🗆		
Much worse now	5 🗆		
B. PHYSICAL ACTIVITY			
Physical ability			
-	o or jump similar to other children of your a	age?	
Yes	1 🗆		
No	2 🗆		
Limitation of daily activities			
4. Does your kidney disease make	it difficult for you to carry out daily activitie	es that other children do?	
4.1. Go to school (you ha	ve to spend less time at school)	Yes □	No □
4.2. Play		Yes □	No □
4.3. Take a bath		Yes □	No □
4.4. You did fewer things	than what you wanted to do	Yes □	No □
4.5. You had to stop carry	ring out some tasks due to your health	Yes □	No □
C. SCHOOL ATTENDANCE			

5.

Very bad Total truancy

Bad Missing a third or more of the trimester

Normal Missing one week or more of the trimester

Good Fewer than seven days of the trimester

Very good No absence

originals -

D. LEA	RNING						
5.							
	Very bad		None, including lo	oss of what has bee	en learned		
	Bad		Hardly any, witho				
	Regular		Average, slow cor				
	Good		Similar to the ave				
	Very good		Excellent, above t	he average			
E. AUT	ONOMY						
7 . You	must relate to	o the proper func	tions of the child's	age (a one-vear-old	unweaned hahv must	have autonomy to be hand-fed,	
					at and get dressed with		
	Very bad	3	None		J		
	Bad		Partial dependence	C.Y			
	Normal			fictitious, family ov	ver-protection		
	Good		No comment				
	Very good		Excellent				
F. SOCI	IAL RELATIO	NSHIPS					
3.							
	Very bad		Total isolation				
	Bad		Tendency to isolation, occasional relationships within the family circle				
	Normal			on within and outsi	de the family circle		
	Good		No comment	ladia a alaina a sadaa aa			
	Very good		Excellent social re	lationships, extreme	e extroversion		
G. PAII	N						
1 Have	val falt pair	in any part of vo	uur badu ayar tha l	act four weaks?			
. Have			our body over the la	ast four weeks?			
	Yes, very int		6 🗆				
	Yes, intense		5 🗆				
	Yes, modera	ate	4 🗆				
	Yes, slight		3 🗆				
	Yes, minima	ıl	2 🗆				
	No, none		1 🗆				
H. EM	OTIONAL ST	ATUS. PSYCHIC F	UNCTION				
10 \A/b	sich of the fee	alings helow did v	ou experience over	the last month? To	what extent did you	feel them?	
IO. VVI	1. Never	eilings below did y	ou experience over	the last months it	o what extern did you	reer them?	
	Occasion	aally					
	3. Sometin	-					
	4. Quite a						
	 Quite a Many tir 						
	-	nes					
	6. Always						
	ery nervous						
1 🗆		2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 □	
10.2. N	Melancholic, n	othing cheered m	ne up				
1 🗆		2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 □	
10.3. F	ull of vitality						
1 🗆	,	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆	

172



10.4. Tranquil, at pe	ace 2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
10.5. Depressed, fee	eling blue 2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
10.6. Exhausted 1 □	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
10.7. Happy 1 □	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
10.8. Tired 1 □	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
10.9. With energy to 1 □	o do what I want 2 🏻	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
10.10. Difficulty in r 1 □ 10.11. Difficulty in c 1 □	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 □ on or thought 4 □	5 □	6
10.12. Slow reaction 1 □	n to things said on 2 🏻	done 3	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
I. KIDNEY DISEASE	:				
11. Emotional prese	ntation for kidney	/ disease:			
To what degree do y 1. Totally t 2. Somewh 3. I do not 4. Somewh 5. Totally f	rue nat true know nat false	of the following st	atements to be true	e or false?:	
11.1. My kidney dise 1 □	ease greatly affec	ts my life 3 □	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
11.2. It takes over to 1 □	oo much of my tii	me 3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
11.3.Feel frustrated 1 □	in having to deal 2 \square	with my disease 3 □	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
11.4. feel like a burd 1 □	den to my family 2 □	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆	6 🗆
12. Symptoms of kid	dney disease				
During the last four 1. Never 2. A little 3. Normal 4. A lot 5. Extreme	ly	re you bothered by	the following symp	toms?	

Nefrologia 2010;30(2):168-76

3 🗆

4 🗆

5 🗆

2 🗆

1 🗆

C. Aparicio López et al. Design of a quality of life questionnaire in Spanish for children with chronic kidney disease

originals. 12.2. Cramps 2 🗆 3 🗆 4 🗆 5 🗆 1 🗆 12.3. Skin irritation 2 🗆 3 🗌 4 🗆 5 🗆 1 🗆 12.4. Skin dryness 1 🗌 2 🗆 3 🗌 4 🗆 5 🗆 12.5. Fainting or sickness 4 🗆 5 🗆 1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗌 12.6. Loss of appetite 1 🔲 2 П 3 🗌 4 🗌 5 🗌 12.7. Tired, with no strength 1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗆 4 🗆 5 🗆 12.8. Tingling in the hands or feet 4 🗆 5 🗆 1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗆 12.9. Nauseas or stomach upsets 1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗆 4 🗆 5 🗆 **13.** Effects of kidney disease on your life: How much does your disease bother you in the following areas? 1. Never 2. A little 3. Normal 4. A lot 5. Extremely 13.1. Limitation of liquids 3 🗆 4 🗆 5 🗆 1 🗆 2 🗆 13.2. Limitation of diet 3 🗌 5 🗌 1 🗌 2 🗆 4 🗌 13.3. Change in the family mealtimes due to the medication 1 🗆 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 🗆 Taking of medication 13.4. Outside meals 1 🔲 2 🗆 3 🗌 4 🗌 5 🗌 13.5. With meals 1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗆 4 🗆 5 🗆 13.6. Taste of the medication 1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗌 4 🗆 5 🗆 13.7. How much does it bother you to take the medication? 5 🗌 2 \square 3 🗌 4 🗌 13.8. Go to hospital for medical examinations

174

4 🗆

4 🗌

4 🗆

5 🗆

5 🗆

5 🗆

3 🗆

13.9. Carrying out blood tests (pain or feeling of discomfort due to the extraction)

3 🗌

3 🗌

2 🗆

2 🗆

2 \square

1 🗆

1 🔲

1 🗌

13.10. Hospital admissions



	ending on doctors		_	_		
1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆		
13.12. Depe	ending on parents 2 □	3 🗆	4 🗆	5 🗆		
13.13. Your 1 □	physical appeara	nce: short, catheter 3 \square	fistula, changes due	e to medication, bone d \Box	eformities	
_		_	esults are affected b			
1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 \square	5 🗆		
J. TIME USE	D IN KIDNEY D	ISEASE				
f you received medical care in the last four weeks, can you remember how many times you required seeing the doctor or be hospitalised?						
14. How many nights did you spent in hospital?						
	□1-2 days		6-10 days □11-15	days □16-20 days	□>20 days	
15. How many times did you go to hospital for an examination or to the emergency department?						
□ 0 days	☐ 1-2 days	☐ 3-5 days ☐	6-10 days \[\Boxed{11-15}	days 16-20 days	☐ >20 days	
16. How many times did you require a visit by a nurse or another health professional at home?						
□ 0 days	☐ 1-2 days	☐ 3-5 days ☐	6-10 days \[\Boxed{11-15}	days 🗆 16-20 days	☐ >20 days	
17. How many times did you require general care from your family members (e.g. to take a bath, get dressed, etc.)?						
	☐ 1-2 days	1 3		days 16-20 days	☐ >20 days	
18. How many times did you call the hospital, your doctor or nurse for a medical examination over the telephone?						
	☐ 1-2 days			5 days □ 16-20 days	☐ >20 days	

SUPPORTING SUMMARY

1. What is known of the issue?

There are generic questionnaires that measure the quality of life in children, allowing for a comparison to be made with healthy groups; however, there are no specific questionnaires on the quality of life of children with kidney disease that allow for the assessment of variations within the disease itself. Over the last years, four questionnaires have been developed in Spanish and another five have been adapted, which are specific on the quality of life of children with certain chronic diseases (reference 19), but none of which are on kidney disease. In the United States a group of researchers (reference 20) is working on the development of a specific questionnaire for children with kidney disease in English, which should be translated into Spanish and validated prior to its application.

2. What contribution does the study make?

Our study contributes to the creation of a specific questionnaire that measures the quality of life of children with kidney disease in Spanish, with a reliability rate of 0.92 that is determined by using the Cronbach Alpha Coefficient with an internal consistency greater than 0.7 (very good) in all aspects. It is the only Spanish-language questionnaire for children with kidney disease, and we only know of one other questionnaire, which is being developed in English.

REFERENCES

- Pal DK. Quality of life assessment in children: a review of conceptual and methodological issues in multidimensional health status measures. J Epidemiol Community Health 1996;50:391-6.
- 2. Eiser C. Children's quality of life measures. Arch Dis Child 1997;77:350-4.
- 3. Meriel EM, Campbell S. Measuring quality of life. Arch Dis Child 1997;77:347-50.
- Achenbach TM, McConaughy SH, Howell CT. Child/adolescent behavioural and emotional problems: implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity. Psychol Bull 1987;101:213-32.
- Pantell RH, Lewis CC. Measuring the impact of medical care on children. J Chronic Dis 1987; 40(Suppl 1):99S-108S.
- Bradley C. Measuring quality of life in diabetes. En: Marshall SM, Home PD, Riazzi RA, editores. Diabetes Annual. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 1996;10:207-27.
- 7. Millstein S, Irwin C. Concepts of healh and illness. Different constructs or variations on a theme? Health Psychol 1987;6:515-9.
- 8. Jenney M, Campbell S. Measuring quality of life. Arch Dis Child 1997;77:347-54.
- Pane S, Solans M, Gaite L, Serra-Sutton V, Estrada MD, Rajmil L. Document d'Avaluació. Instrumentos de calidad de vida relacionada con la salud en la edad pediátrica. Revisión sistemática de la literatura: actualización. Barcelona: Agencia d'Avaluació de Tecnologia i Recerca Mèdiques
- Goldstein SL, Graham N, Warady BA, Seikaly M, McDonald R, Burwinkle TM, et al. Measuring health-related quality of life in children with ESRD: performance of the generic and ESRD-specific instrument of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL). Am J Kidney Dis 2008;51:285-97.
- 11. Aparicio C, Fernández A, Izquierdo E, Luque de Pablos A, Garrido G. Quality of life perceived by children with chronic kidney disease and by their parents. Nefrologia 2010;30(1):103-9.

- 12. Wallander JL, Schmith M. Quality of life measurement in children and adolescents: issues, instruments, and applications. J Clin Psychol 2001;57:571-85.
- 13. Eiser C, Morse R. A review of measures of quality of life for children with chronic illness. Arch Dis Child 2001;84:205-11.
- Matza LS, Swensen AR, Flood EM, Secnik C, Leidy NK. Assessment of health-related quality of life in children: A review of conceptual, methodological and regularly Issues. Value in Health 2004;7:79-92.
- Laupacis A, Muirhead N, Keown P, Wong C. A disease specific questionnaire for assessing quality of life in patients on hemodialysis. Nephron 1992;60:302-6.
- Hays RD, Kallich JD, Mapes DL, Coons SJ, Carter WB. Development of the Kidney Disease Quality of Life (KDQOL) instrument. Quality Life Res 1991;3:329-38.
- 17. Juniper EF, Guyatt Gh, Feeny DH, Griffith LE, Towsend M. Measuring quality of life in children with asthma. Qual Life Res 1996;5:35-46.
- Expósito D, Gebrero B, Olivares S, Ruiz T. Evaluación de la CV en niños enfermos de cáncer mediante el ECVNO y POQOLS. Psiquis 1996;17:383-8.
- 19. Parkin PC, Kirpalani HM, Rosenbaum PL, Fehlings DL, Van Nie, Willan AR, et al. Development of a health-related quality of life instrument for use in children with spina bífida. Qual Life Res 1997;6:123-32.
- 20. Herranz JL, Casas C. Escala de calidad de vida del niño con epilepsia (CAVE). Rev Neurol (Barc) 1996;24:28-30.
- 21. Alvarez-Ude F, Galán P, Vicente E, Álamo C, Fernández-Reyes MJ, Badía X. Adaptación transcultural y validación preliminar de la versión española del Kidney Disease Questionnaire (Cuestionario de la enfermedad renal). Nefrología 1997;27:486-96.
- 22. Aparicio C, Fernández A, Izquierdo E, Luque A, Garrido G. Measurement of health related quality of life in children with chronic kidney disease using a specific test. Nefrologia 2010;30(2):177-84.